



Comisiwn Ffiniau
i Gymru

Boundary Commission
for Wales

Boundary Commission
for Wales

Initial Consultation Period: Representations

Volume 8: 9900-10075

BCW-9900 / [REDACTED] / Llanrhos

I agree with the Boundary Commission for Wales proposals for Aberconwy.

I believe that the changes reflect the former Conwy Constituency which included Bangor and Llandudno. It is also true that Rhos on Sea and Penrhyn Bay are naturally linked.

Despite being widely spread, the constituency proposals will include a lot of areas that have similar geography (the coast) as well as similar cultures.

Larger constituency areas also means that the serving MP is able to reach a wider population and apply the success of its programmes to more people.

Therefore I agree with the proposals.

[REDACTED]

BCW-9901 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

This proposal is unnecessary and totally illogical, based on history, geography, transport links and community ties.

BCW-9902 / [REDACTED] / Conwy

Our boundaries do not need changing

BCW-9903 / Andrew RT Davies MS / Cardiff**From:** [REDACTED]**Sent:** 27 November 2021 13:07**To:** BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>**Subject:** Representations - Andrew RT Davies MS

Dear Sir or Madam

Please find below representations from Andrew RT Davies MS regarding the Commission's 2023 Review of Parliamentary Constituency Boundaries in Wales.

[REDACTED]

Office of Andrew RT Davies MS

Dear Sir or Madam

I write in relation to the Commission's proposed changes to parliamentary constituency boundaries in Wales as part of the 2023 review. I make these representations in my capacity as regional MS for South Wales Central.

The Commission has proposed a number of changes to parliamentary boundaries within my South Wales Central electoral region. In the case of the proposed Rhondda constituency, I support the Commission's proposals in their entirety. In relation to all other constituencies within South Wales Central, I submit that some changes should be made to the Commission's draft proposals to better reflect community ties within those constituencies.

I have set out my counter proposals in relation to these constituencies below:

Vale of Glamorgan

While I broadly support the Commission's decision to retain the Vale of Glamorgan constituency, I am deeply concerned that the Dinas Powys ward has been transferred to Cardiff South and Penarth. Residents of Dinas Powys very much look to Barry and Wenvoe for their services, rather than to the city of Cardiff and the town of Penarth. There is no direct train link between Dinas Powys and Penarth, for example, so residents inevitably go to Barry instead for their services. Similarly, key council services in Dinas Powys are centred around Wenvoe and Barry.

I therefore counter propose that the existing boundaries of the Vale of Glamorgan constituency are retained. The existing constituency is the only one in Wales to have an electorate within quota. The Dinas Powys ward should therefore remain within the Vale of Glamorgan constituency.

Cardiff North

I do not support the proposed inclusion of the Taffs Well ward within the Cardiff North constituency. I believe this should remain within the Pontypridd constituency, which is located within the Rhondda Cynon Taf council area. While I accept that occasionally constituencies do have to cross parliamentary boundaries, this should be avoided where possible.

Similarly, I believe the Llandaff North ward would better reflect community ties if it were instead included within the Cardiff West constituency. The community of Llandaff North is most closely associated with that of Llandaff. They share many local ties and links. These ties would be better reflected with both communities located within the Cardiff West constituency.

Cardiff Central

I do not support the proposed inclusion of the Llanrumney and Rumney wards within the Cardiff Central constituency. Community ties would be better reflected with Rumney remaining within the Cardiff South and Penarth constituency and Llanrumney transferring to Cardiff North. The Commission will be aware that the Cardiff Central constituency is urban in nature, containing the city's urban core and many inner city areas. The communities of Llanrumney and Rumney instead lie on the outskirts of the city, and they do not share links with the more metropolitan communities of Cathays, Plasnewydd, Cyncoed, Roath and Penylan. I also propose that the Riverside ward is included within the Cardiff Central constituency. This community is urban in nature, lying adjacent to the city's central business district. Its housing includes many multi-occupancy dwellings, like the neighbouring Cathays ward.

Cardiff South and Penarth

For the reasons outlined above, I counter propose some minor changes to the Cardiff South and Penarth constituency. The Rumney ward should remain within this constituency, as I believe this better reflects local ties.

Similarly, I do not believe it appropriate to include the Dinas Powys ward within this constituency. Instead, it should remain within the Vale of Glamorgan constituency, which would better reflect its links with Wenvoe and Barry.

Cardiff West

For the reasons outlined above, I counter propose some minor changes to the Cardiff West constituency. The Riverside ward shares more local characteristics with the neighbouring Cathays ward, with both forming part of Cardiff's urban core. The adjacent ward of Llandaff is suburban in nature and instead shares more characteristics with the Llandaff North ward.

I therefore counter propose that the Llandaff North ward is included within the Cardiff West constituency, and the Riverside ward is transferred to the Cardiff Central constituency.

Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare

I broadly support the creation of a Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare constituency. While this proposed constituency crosses local authority boundaries, the towns of Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare share many local links.

Most prominently, both towns are served by Transport for Wales rail services on the Merthyr line, with the Aberdare branch having been reinstated over 3 decades ago. The existing Merthyr Tydfil and Rhymney constituency, by contrast, shares no such links. Indeed, a train journey from Merthyr to Rhymney would take over two hours, and passengers from either town wishing to travel to the other are required to travel into Cardiff to catch a connecting train.

However, I submit some counter proposals to those the Commission have suggested. I do not believe the Nelson and Cwmbach wards reflect local ties and I suggest these are removed. I would, however, include the Aberaman North and Aberaman South wards, which have very strong ties to the town of Aberdare.

Pontypridd

I counter propose some minor alterations to the Pontypridd constituency. For the reasons outlined above, I submit that the Aberaman North and Aberaman South wards are included within the Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare constituency. I also submit that the Taffs Well and Cwmbach wards are included within the Pontypridd constituency. These wards are all located within the Rhondda Cynon Taf local authority area, and so my counter proposal better reflects local ties.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my representations.

Kind regards,

Andrew RT Davies MS
South Wales Central Regional MS

BCW-9905 / [REDACTED] / St Andrews Major

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 November 2021 13:29
To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>
Subject: Exclusion of Dinas Powys from the Vale

Dear Sir/Madam, it was with utter dismay that I heard of the possibility of St Andrews Major being segregated from the Vale Community ♀ Both my business & personal life are strongly connected with the Vale & i am therefore opposed to being linked with the ever increasing Urbanisation that is worryingly, growing ever closer to our rural villages. Traditionally Dinas Powys & St Andrews have always looked to Barry & Wenvoe as an extension of their community & Penarth has always appeared intrinsically linked with Cardiff; both obviously being Urban Communities with a very different ethos from the village of Dinas Powys & the rural hamlet of St Andrews Major , which has always been proudly connected with the wider farming community of the Vale. I would strongly urge you to reconsider moving the Boundaries , which surely would cause considerable upset & unrest to individuals already attempting to cope with the additional stress & difficulties inflicted by the COVID- 19 Pandemic Yours faithfully, [REDACTED]

BCW-9906 / [REDACTED] / Newport

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 11 November 2021 13:57

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Objection to initial proposal for boundary change to Newport East constituency

I am writing to confirm my objection to the Boundary Commission's initial proposal to subsume part of the current Newport East constituency (Caldicot Castle, Dewstow, Green Lane, Mill, Rogiet, Severn, The Elms and West End), into Monmouth's constituency boundary.

Reasons for my objection:

By making this change, people in the above areas of Caldicot will belong to two different constituencies: in Westminster (Monmouth) and in the Senedd (Newport East). This is unnecessarily confusing.

The current constituency boundary has been in place since 1983. Boundary changes have occurred previously but not since the introduction of the Senedd. As with the previous point, making this change now the Senedd is in place is unnecessarily confusing.

I understand the Commission's need to review electoral boundaries but the changes seem to reflect an eastern bias with existing eastern constituencies being expanded to include additional electoral wards to the west.

Alternative proposal:

Defer this proposal and engage in consultation with the Welsh Parliament. Consider a joint government solution for Welsh electoral boundaries to ensure consistency and prevent unnecessary confusion.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

BCW-9907/ Simon Baynes MP/ Clwyd South

SIMON BAYNES MP
Clwyd South



**HOUSE
OF COMMONS**
LONDON SW1A 0AA

Boundary Commission for Wales
Ground Floor, Hastings House
Fitzalan Court
Cardiff
CF24 OBL

2nd November 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write as the Member of Parliament for Clwyd South.

I would like to offer some thoughts on how you have allocated the wards in my constituency.

Firstly, you have suggested that the County Borough of Wrexham wards of Brymbo and Minera are attached to County of Flintshire wards within a proposed Alyn and Deeside Constituency. None of the wards in my constituency are currently in the County of Flintshire and I disagree with your proposal to separate these two wards from other wards in the County Borough of Wrexham. It means that the County Borough of Wrexham would have to deal with 3 MPs rather than 2, and Alyn and D_eeside would consist of 2 Local Authorities rather than 1.

A number of my constituents in these 2 wards have expressed their disappointment at this proposal and would much prefer to be linked to other Wrexham wards. These wards look to Wrexham and have close ties to their neighbouring wards such as Coedpoeth, Bryn Cefn and Gwenfro. I would therefore suggest these 2 wards be included in the Wrexham Constituency.


This would enable Pant, Johnstown and the part of the Ponciau ward within the Rhosllanerchrugog Community to be reunited with Ruabon where they have strong local ties. They are very closely linked and access many of their services from Ruabon.

The Llangollen community is partly in the County Borough of Wrexham and the County of Denbighshire and it makes sense to unite it in the proposed Montgomeryshire seat. However, the County of Denbighshire wards of Corwen and Llandrillo are more separate and could be linked to other Denbighshire wards to the North.

My final point is regarding the name of Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr. I do not think Glyndwr accurately describes the wards that are added to the Montgomeryshire constituency. All the wards are from my constituency and a better description would be Clwyd South which would ensure the name of that existing constituency was preserved.

I am grateful to you for considering my representations and hope your revised proposals will make the suggested alterations.

Kind regards,



Simon Baynes MP/AS

Member of Parliament for Clwyd South / Aelod Seneddol dros Ode Clwyd



BCW-9908 / Geraint Davies MP / Swansea

I am writing as the Swansea West MP for the past 11 years to make a counterproposal to that of the Boundary Commission for Wales (BCW) for Swansea West & Gower and Swansea Central & North constituencies.

The current BCW proposal essentially cuts the central urban footprint of Swansea West in half and puts a rural part of Gower on top of one half. This divides urban communities in the current constituency and instead joins up half of the urban footprint with an unconnected rural area of Gower.

The existing Swansea West constituency runs westwards from the River Tawe with an urban footprint along the coast that reaches into the Gower.

The counterproposal below therefore aims to reconnect local ties and convenience, to reflect the geography and respect existing boundaries.

In summary this comprises:-

1. Keeping the four wards in the original Gower seat– Pontardulais (4,954), Mawr (1,438), Llangyfelach (3,946) and Penllergaer (2,553), comprising 12891 voters - within the Swansea West & Gower constituency instead of them being moved into Swansea Central and North
2. Moving two wards originally in the Swansea West constituency - Sketty (11,304) and Mayals (2,148) comprising 13452 voters - to rejoin Uplands in Swansea Central and North
3. Moving Morriston (12,105) from Swansea Central and North into Swansea East and Neath in exchange for Bonymaen (5391) and St.Thomas (5514).
4. This would give the new Swansea West and Gower constituency 74653 voters (75214 – 13452 from Sketty/Mayals + 12891 from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach & Penllergaer)
5. And the new Swansea Central and North would have 75,560 voters (76199 - 13452 +1289 -12,105 + 5391+5514)

This would have the following implications for the statutory factors that will be considered:-

Special geographical considerations

Unlike the existing BCW proposal, the counterproposal does not take a rural part of the established rural constituency of Gower and put it on top of an urban area; nor does it cut away part of the established urban constituency of Swansea West and put it in a rural area with a dividing line cutting through a continuous urban footprint in a way that local people would find hard to understand.

The coast and the River Tawe are natural boundaries for the existing Swansea West constituency. West of the River Tawe, the Castle, Uplands, Sketty and Mayals wards run seamlessly into each and are geographically contiguous along the coast.

Therefore, they should remain connected together within the same constituency.

Adding St. Thomas and Bonymaen would continue the coastal frontage to the east of the River Tawe.

Existing boundaries

Therefore the counterproposal more greatly respects the existing and established constituency boundaries of Gower and Swansea West by repatriating wards exchanged between them. All wards fall within the City and County of Swansea local authority.

Local ties

Mayals is in essence part of Sketty and Sketty is closely integrated with Uplands and Castle for work, leisure, shopping and transport routes and connections.

Swansea University campus is in Sketty and its student population primarily lives in the Uplands and Castle wards. Therefore, students and other communities cross the Sketty/Uplands boundary on a daily basis for work, study, leisure and worship.

Swansea's Muslim population is concentrated in Swansea West, largely in Sketty, Uplands and Castle, so Swansea Mosque's worshippers are primarily represented by one MP. Similarly, church congregations migrate seamlessly across Uplands and Sketty.

Therefore, separating Sketty from Uplands and Castle could cause confusion and undermine local ties across established communities.

Similarly, communities in from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach and Penllergaer, currently in the Gower, are rural and semi-rural, and don't consider themselves as part of the urban city centre for community identity but can look towards Llanelli, Neath and Gower.

Inconvenience

It follows from the above that the unamended BCW proposal would create avoidable inconvenience by splitting the student, Muslim and other communities.

Inconvenience would arise from unnecessarily slicing an urban area in one constituency in two and putting half in a rural constituency and connecting the other half with rural wards separated from that rural constituency.

Therefore, this counterproposal is better in terms of convenience.

Local support for alternative

The counterproposal will command greater widespread support from communities and faith groups, in particular those linked to the city centre and businesses, the university community, and equally rural currently who do not regard themselves as closely tied to the city.

Statutory Electorate range

The electorate of the counter-proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency would be 74,653 voters and of the Swansea North and Central constituency 75,560 which would both be within the BCW range of 69,724- 77,062.

In conclusion, this counterproposal more effectively maintains community and geographic integrity whilst respecting existing boundaries, so I commend it to the Boundary Commission for Wales.

Geraint Davies

Labour and Cooperative MP for Swansea West

BCW-9909 / [REDACTED] / Cowbridge

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 02 November 2021 14:19

To: SEW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Vale of Glamorgan Boundary Changes - Exclusion of Dinas Powys

To whom it may Concern,

My lifetime and work experience has clearly confirmed that people of a broad spectrum of common interest congregate in defined often geographically specific areas.

When I came to work in South Wales forty years ago the Valleys, the Metropolitan Areas of Cardiff and Swansea and the Vale of Glamorgan were politically and obviously self delineating. A position which has consolidated over time, none more so than in the Vale of Glamorgan and the Valleys.

In the case of the Vale this is so much the case that the area is regarded as a 'bell weather' constituency which gives pundits a view of the overall political trend in the UK and the likely party to be in Government.

The only caveat to these observations is that the exclusion of Penarth from the Vale appears odd since geographically it sits more obviously in the Vale of Glamorgan. However it is clear that Penarth society affinity with Cardiff offsets this. With Dinas Powys this is definitely not the case where the political, social and geographical affinity is clearly with the Vale of Glamorgan.

Consequently other than for some arbitrary abstract formulaic reason I consider that there is absolutely no value in, or justification for, transferring the Dinas Powys area from a rural to a metropolitan constituency.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

BCW-9910 / [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

This represents a fairer distribution of the population at elections so I support this move to make it fairer and more democratic.

BCW-9911 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

As a resident of Caerphilly, I am bewildered and confused with the proposal to link parts of Caerphilly with Newport. Anyone with any knowledge or understanding of the town will know that the people of Caerphilly have a much closer relationship with Cardiff than Newport. I have spoken to many of my neighbours on this matter who find this decision nonsensical.

I would ask the Commission to look again at this illogical proposal.

Instead, the Commission should come up with new proposals which more accurately reflect the wishes of the local community, which because of transport links etc look to Cardiff not Newport.

BCW-9912/ [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

I support this because it represents a fair distribution of the population to constituency

BCW-9913/ [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

I support the proposed boundaries for the new constituency as they appear to represent a fair distribution of voters.

BCW-9914 / [REDACTED] / Powys

From: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

October 30th

Dear Sir,

This is just to say that I support the Commissioners draft boundary proposals for a new Montgomeryshire- based seat, based on the history, geography, topography, and local government boundaries of the area. These proposals seem to me to be an immense improvement on the previous ones. Just a suggestion- the new seat could be called Montgomeryshire and the Vales (i.e. the vales of Ceiriog and Llangollen -> old seat) but I don't feel too strongly on the matter.

Otherwise congratulations to the Boundary Commission on its good work.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

BCW-9915/ [REDACTED] / Llandyrnog

I recognise the significant amount of work undertaken by the Commission. I understand and recognise the need and the rationale for the review and the need for change. It is disappointing that Vale of Clwyd will be split in half but I accept the broader reasons for this. I live in what is the proposed Delyn. I am broadly in agreement with the proposals.

- Prestatyn, Gronant, and villages to the East up to but not including Bagillt and Flint sit appropriately with the proposed Delyn. There is natural community flow and transport connectivity.
- Bagillt and Flint sit very much more comfortably with the more industrial communities to the East of them in Deeside and Connah's Quay. Community flow for retail and employment more naturally moves east towards Chester and beyond. Therefore, I believe they should not be included with proposed Delyn.
- The area of Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd sits more appropriately with the proposed Delyn constituency with the community linked for secondary education and retail very much with Ruthin. Therefore, I propose that Llanfair DC is included in the proposed Delyn as the Commission suggests.
- I propose that Argoed, New Brighton and Leeswood be included in the proposed Delyn as the community ties for employment, retail and education very much sit with the town of Mold.
- Corwen and Llandrillo, whilst equidistant from Bala and Ruthin, have a stronger link with Ruthin. Many children attend school in Ruthin, communities use Ruthin for retail as it has greater provision and they are both within the County of Denbighshire hence reducing the division of areas of the County boundaries.
- I strongly propose that the name of Delyn be changed to Clwyd East which is a more appropriate name being the eastern half of the geographical vale of Clwyd and would be well recognised by communities.

BCW-9916/ [REDACTED] / Rhos on Sea

i do not wish to Rhos on Sea to move to Aberconwy

BCW-9917/ Ruthin Town Council Clerk/ Ruthin

RESPONSE OF RUTHIN TOWN COUNCIL TO THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT PROPOSALS OF THE LOCAL DEMOCRACY AND BOUNDARY COMMISSION

Ruthin Town Council considered the Draft Proposals of the Local Democracy and Boundary Commission (the Commission) at its public meeting on 18 October 2021 and very strongly disagrees with the proposals outlined in respect of Ruthin and Wales as a whole.

Whilst acknowledging that the Rules of the Parliamentary Constituencies Act 1986 (as amended) (the Act) sets out the number of constituencies to be provided for Wales, Ruthin Town Council has significant concerns that reducing the number of MPs in Wales from 40 to 32 will be hugely detrimental to democracy. Given that Wales is currently represented by 6.15% of UK MPs (40 out of 650 constituencies), removing 20% of Welsh MPs would reduce a small voice and representative strength of Wales within Westminster even further.

The criteria which the Commission may take into account (as per the Act) include:

- Special geographical considerations, including in particular the size, shape and accessibility of a constituency;
- Local government boundaries which existed or were prospective on 1 December 2020;
- Boundaries of existing constituencies;
- Any local ties that would be broken by changes in constituencies; and
- The inconvenience attendant on such changes'

Currently the town of Ruthin lies within the UK Parliamentary Constituency of Clwyd West. Ruthin is Denbighshire's county town located in the south of the Vale of Clwyd, and is a vibrant market town with a strong Welsh speaking influence (over 40% Welsh speakers). There are close and natural links between Ruthin and the surrounding rural communities (which are also home to a high % of Welsh speakers) in the Vale of Clwyd whose residents frequent the town for education, employment, leisure and healthcare needs. There is concern that the proposed boundary changes will have a negative impact on the Welsh language in Ruthin and our closely linked rural communities.

The draft proposals to include Ruthin in the Constituency of Delyn, which covers an area from Prestatyn in the North, to Flint in the East appear to totally disregard the Commission's criteria (as outlined above). Within the proposed new Delyn constituency, Ruthin is located at the far South Western edge of this constituency, thus side-lining the town from its neighbouring rural and natural communities as well as many parts of Denbighshire. There are concerns that as Delyn has a different economic profile and significantly fewer Welsh speakers, this may be detrimental to

Ruthin and its residents. It is considered that the proposed constituency of Clwyd is a far more suitable and balanced proposition for Ruthin than Delyn.

Whilst acknowledging the numerical parameters of the proposed constituencies, rural areas have inherent factors which require additional consideration, awareness and sensitivity. Increasing geographical areas and number of electors for proposed constituencies could diminish the voice of residents whilst increasing the workload of MPs. It is requested that a greater level of consideration is given to the constituency numbers and geography of constituencies with rural areas.

Ruthin Town Council urges the Commission to give proper consideration to Ruthin's geographical situation and its relationship to the surrounding area and therefore to relocate Ruthin from the current proposal of Delyn into the proposed constituency of Clwyd.

BCW-9918/ [REDACTED] / Cardigan

I support the boundary change as it will give a more representative view of the population. I live in a sparsely populated area and these changes will resolve the difference between more densely areas

BCW-9919/ [REDACTED] / Lampeter

Long overdue and should be fully accepted out of fairness for our futures.

BCW-9920/ [REDACTED] / Dinas Powys

Dinas Powys [D.P.], is a rural community with outlying farms commensurate with it's location within the Vale of Glamorgan, while the rural village of of St Andrews , is linked to D.P. through it's church and cemetery. The D.P. church in Wales school of St Andrews, facilitates access for pupils from Barry who wish to attend a faith school. The local Barry hospital is of considerable benefit for D.P. residents, giving them medical facilities within easy reach. In other ways Barry provides optical and dental care as well as ease of shopping. Most recently booster Covid vaccinations were done in Barry's Holm View leisure centre, more environmentally friendly than travel to Cardiff.

Many of the sports played in D.P. are linked to those of Barry and the Vale, thus fostering a local community spirit and rivalry.

Local council facilities are extremely efficient and recent flooding in D.P., was promptly dealt with.

The population of the Vale is within the required numbers so why place Rural Dinas Powys in a Metropolis setting! A larger size will be more unwieldy to govern.

BCW- 9921/ Jayne Bryant MS/ Newport West

Consultation Response – Newport West and Caerphilly

In this consultation response, we list the several concerns we have with the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency, justifying why these issues are relevant, and noting where they deserve further scrutiny.

Ultimately, this consultation response signals our disquiet with the proposed boundaries, and advocates that the Boundary Commission reconsider its plans for Newport West.

Our alternative proposal is made in the final part of this response.

Jayne Bryant – Member of the Senedd for Newport West

Crossing council lines

Issue 1: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency takes in two council areas

A clear and obvious initial issue with the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is that it crosses council areas, dividing wards between the civic centres of Newport City Council and Caerphilly County Borough Council.

This would not be anybody's first preference. The current Newport West constituency functions with all council issues under the jurisdiction of NCC.

Most importantly, for constituents, having an MP who represented two council areas may drive uncertainty over who to contact when issues and concerns arise.

It is a pre-eminent observation that there exists an element of confusion amongst the Welsh population over who holds competence over different areas of policy. The lines between the responsibilities of councils, the Welsh Parliament, and the UK Parliament remain uncertain, in spite of Welsh Government's frequent attempts to lay out its legislative competence. This understanding is reflected the academic literature on Welsh governance (see the conclusions of Entwistle et al, 2014, among others).

The observation is also well-known amongst council officers, councillors, and parliamentarians, from our day-to-day constituency work. Consistently, casework must

be passed between our offices, and our areas of jurisdiction need to be made clear to constituents. In the current constituency, this can work effectively, with each parliamentary office working together, but strictly within Newport City Council boundaries.

In melding two council areas, the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency would immediately blur already unclear lines. The Newport West and Caerphilly MP – far from working alongside their directly corresponding Member of the Senedd and Councillors – would be required to work as a go-between in the middle of no less than five layers of competence. First, their own UK Parliamentary competence; second, those of the Newport West MS; third, those of the Caerphilly MS; fourth, those of CCBC; fifth, those of NCC.

Practically, this would make collaborative working on cases more difficult, more complex, and less efficient. Elsewhere, the proposals would immediately set back established council-MP relations and areas of joint working.

More than anything it would make it harder for an MP to keep abreast of all council developments in their constituency.

The Usk

Issue 2: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency and the proposed Newport East constituency ignore the clear and obvious natural boundaries separating the city of Newport

Establishing boundaries is a difficult task, so it is sensible to take the lead of nature wherever possible. Natural boundaries have been relied upon for centuries to establish areas of commonality and difference.

Today, natural separation points are clearly used to demarcate constituencies across the UK. We would be loath to ignore the historical, cultural, and practical significances of naturally-established borders.

In Newport, we are lucky to have at our disposal an obvious and blatant natural boundary dividing the West and East of our city. The Usk represents a clear point at which to separate. The river has served the people of Newport well as a well-known, and readily visible, border.

As such, the recommendations of the Boundary Commission around the river's edge – choosing to ignore and supersede the Usk – seem nothing short of farcical. For Newport East to gain land West of the river – in the Bettws, Malpas, Pillgwenlly, Shaftesbury and Stow Hill wards – makes very little sense. Moreover, for the new Newport West and Caerphilly constituency to hold few of Newport city's Western wards seems just as absurd.

The new constituency boundaries would remove the clarity of the real border between West and East, and replace it with a poorly-defined, unnatural and unnecessarily complex street-by-street boundary. This would see wards and communities which have been tied together for generations on the Western banks of the Usk needlessly split.

Most inappropriate would be the division of the Gaer and Pillgwenlly; and Stow Hill and Allt-yr-Yn. The proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency would fragment streets, quite literally, neighbour by neighbour. Observing the detail of the proposed boundary reveals the extent of this issue. For instance, Caerau Road and Stow Hill would see houses mere metres away from one another divided. The proposed boundary cuts capriciously through allotments to the West of Coldra Road. Neighbours along Barrack Hill would no longer share the same MP. The proposed boundary sees Harlequin Drive – with no exaggeration – bizarrely divides along front lawns and garden fences.

Whilst we recognise that boundaries cannot always be clear cut, we believe that the Boundary Commission has made an obvious mistake in choosing to repudiate the Usk, which must be reversed before the implementation of any new constituency.

Ward comparators

Issue 3: wards in the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency have little commonality

The new Newport West and Caerphilly constituency appears to combine wards with little or no common interest or demography.

The wards which are retained from the current Newport West constituency – Graig, Rogerstone, Tredegar Park, Shaftesbury, Gaer, Allt-yr-Yn, and Marshfield – are themselves diverse and divergent. This, we believe, contributes to the current Newport West's strengths.

Nevertheless, this divergence pales in comparison to that which would emerge in the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency.

Take these three examples.

Allt-yr-Yn

Allt-yr-Yn ward is, in effect, a Newport city centre ward. It is mostly comprised of built-up housing, and commercial buildings.

According to Newport City Council's 2017 ward wellbeing profile, it has high economic activity, relative to other Newport wards and Wales-wide.

Almost 69% of its residents 16-74 are economically active and it is overrepresented in better paid, higher skilled occupations.

12.5% of economically active residents aged 16-74 are Managers, Directors and Senior Officials; the Wales average is 9.3%.

24.3 % are in Professional Occupations; Wales-wide, this figure is 15.2%.

It has a relatively high adult qualification level. 37.5% of residents over 16 have level 4 qualifications or above; 19.1% have no qualifications.

Pupils at schools in Allt-yr-Yn generally perform at a very high level for Key Stage 3.

Marshfield

Marshfield is an affluent Newport rural-urban boundary ward. It comprises villages, farmland, coastland and parts of the Gwent levels.

Like Allt-yr-Yn, it has high economic activity and is overrepresented in well-paid occupations. Its comparative figure for 16–74-year-olds who are economically active is 76%.

On the same employment metrics as Allt-yr-Yn, Marshfield compares favourably. Over 15% of economically active 16–74-year-old residents are Managers, Directors and Senior Officials; 23.3% are in Professional Occupations. Again, both groups are represented here well above the Wales averages.

Its level 4+ adult qualification level is around 37%, but the number of residents with no qualifications is somewhat lower than Allt-yr-Yn, at just over 16%.

Pupils at schools in Marshfield generally perform at a very high level for Key Stage 3, with all LSOAs recording qualification rates above the Newport and Wales average.

Marshfield and Allt-yr-Yn may be divided by their land-use, but they share a relatively concordant profile when it comes to several indicators of affluence and education. They also share a close geographical tie, despite parts of Marshfield coming under CF postcodes.

Aber Valley

Aber Valley, by contrast, is a rural Caerphilly valley community. Geographically, it lies well to the West of Cardiff City Centre.

Discernibly, its economic activity is lower than both Newport wards, at 64.7% (2011 figures). Its economic inactivity is higher, with over 40% of females aged 16-74 economically inactive.

In terms of occupation groups, Aber Valley is significantly underrepresented in professional employment. Only 6.7% of 16-74 year-old economically active residents are Managers, Directors and Senior Officials; 9.4% work in Professional Occupations. This demonstrates a clear and unavoidable difference with both Newport wards.

The adult qualification level in Aber Valley is, again, difficult to match to Marshfield and Allt-yr-Yn. Just 13.6% of residents aged 16 and above are level 4+ qualified. The number of residents with no qualifications is over twice what it is in Marshfield, at almost 35%.

Clearly, these three wards represent very different communities. Frankly, it is a disservice to the cultural and historical makeup of Wales to assert that communities in the valleys are the same as those in our cities. No one would advocate that any constituency contain wards with a single, unified profile, but the proposed constituency's ward divides are marked, between the parts of Newport which are retained, and the parts of Caerphilly which are added.

Centres of power

Issue 4: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency creates two discordant centres of power, which would be difficult for a Member of Parliament to balance

The proposed constituency actively creates two centres of power, both of which are poorly weighted.

The 'Newport section' of the proposed constituency secedes parts of the city centre to the proposed Newport East constituency, but retains others. As such, there remains an urban centre of power in and around Allt-yr-Yn in the proposed constituency, albeit one which is significantly hollowed-out relative to the current Newport West.

The 'Caerphilly section' of the proposed constituency retains the entire Caerphilly urban area – a second centre of power.

In the event of the proposed constituency coming into effect, any Member of Parliament would be constantly divided between their two centres of power.

Issues like where to host a constituency office, surgeries, and events would arise almost immediately. Lengthy travel between the two centres of interest would be frequent and impossible to avoid – both for constituents and the MP.

The chance of internal political conflict between the two urban centres, especially with regard to support from the MP on the part of community organisations and businesses, would be heightened massively. Intrinsicly, one centre of interest's gain would become the other's loss.

Both the 'Newport section' and the 'Caerphilly section' deserve active and concentrated representation from any MP. Containing both within the same constituency would make this task almost impossible.

Cross-constituency travel

Issue 5: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is cumbersome and inconvenient for cross-constituency travel

From the north-western tip of the proposed constituency, north of Senghenydd, to the south-eastern edge, at the West Usk Lighthouse, is a distance of well over 20 miles. Travel

between the two points must be made by car, taking in a mixture of single-lane roads and B-roads: there is no viable public transport route between these two distance points in the proposed constituency.

This is, frankly, an impractical situation.

Any Member of Parliament would face difficulty when travelling widely in the constituency. They would be forced to adopt a car as their main mode of transport; an irresponsible measure to take, given the scale of the climate crisis.

The current Newport West boundaries are operable because much of the constituency is reachable on foot, or by bus, especially in and around the city centre. Rural areas are either reachable by public transport or on a short car journey.

The proposed boundaries make this much harder. With Newport Bus only covering the NCC area, public transport options narrow substantially, and active travel becomes impossible.

Alternative proposals

From the brief overview of concerns raised above, it is manifest that the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is unfit for purpose, both practically and culturally, for any Member of Parliament.

As such, it is sensible to offer our alternative proposal for the Boundary Commission to consider.

The Boundary Commission states that by law, every new constituency proposed must contain between 69,724 and 77,062 Parliamentary electors (as of 2 March 2020).

It is our belief that this stipulation should be readily fulfilled by retaining the current boundaries for Newport West, and adding to this two wards from the current **Islwyn** constituency – that is, **Risca West** and **Risca East** respectively.

These two wards, of 3,973 and 4,611 electors respectively, would allow Newport West to become a constituency of 75,624; well within the Boundary Commission's requirement. The addition of the two Risca wards is the natural choice. Most importantly, Risca already has strong links with communities within the current Newport West. Specifically, its ties

to the community of Rogerstone are clear and flagrant, with the wards melding almost seamlessly into one another, along Risca Road.

Risca's facilities are frequently used by residents of Rogerstone and vice versa – sports clubs and leisure centres, retail facilities, and public transport options are used by people living in both communities. This stands in stark contrast to the Boundary Commission's current proposal, which intends to wed valley communities with inner city wards – where no historic links are shared, and no practical commonality currently exists.

A draft map of our proposal follows on the next page.




Figure 1: Newport West - our Alternative Proposal

BCW-9922/ Jessica Morden MP/ Newport

I write in response to the Boundary Commission for Wales 2023 Parliamentary Review (Initial Proposals) in support of the proposed Newport East constituency.

The proposals include the movement of the Monmouthshire County Council wards in the existing Newport East constituency (Mill, The Elms, Rogiet, Caldicot Castle, Dewstow, Green Lane, Severn and West End) to a new Monmouthshire constituency. These communities have been an integral part of the Newport East constituency for many years. However I do accept with a heavy heart the attraction to the commission of meeting the electoral quota with a coterminous constituency and Local Authority in Monmouthshire.

The proposals for the new Newport East constituency incorporate the wards of Bettws, Caerleon, Malpas, Pillgwenlly, Shaftesbury and Stow Hill - all of which currently fall in the existing Newport West constituency. I agree with the commission's recommendation that these wards (all of which form part of the Newport City Council local authority) unite with the Newport City Council wards in the existing and proposed Newport East constituencies.

For example, the inclusion of Caerleon ward in the new Newport East seat makes logistical sense; especially the southern half of the Caerleon ward - including Christchurch and the Celtic Manor - which lies south and east of the River Usk (the current boundary between the two Newport seats) and a stone's throw from Chepstow Road, which is one of the main arteries through the existing Newport East seat.

Moreover, Pillgwenlly and areas within the Stow Hill ward has an extremely close demographic and socio-economic profile to Victoria (Maindee) ward. A number of public sector and voluntary agencies work concurrently in the three wards - both of which form part of Newport City Council's Central community hub area, and Gwent Police's Newport Central patrol area - and there are strong cultural ties between the communities in both wards, which are Newport's two most ethnically diverse. For example, many constituents in Victoria ward travel the short distance to the churches and mosques in the city centre and Pillgwenlly to worship.

Similarly, it makes logistical sense for Victoria ward to fall in the same constituency as Newport City Centre for economic reasons. For example, Newport City Council's City Centre Masterplan includes the Riverside quarter on the east of the river (including the Clarence Place and Rodney Parade areas of Maindee ward) alongside areas of the Stow Hill and Shaftesbury wards which are currently in Newport West.

The other Newport West wards including in the new Newport East seat (Bettws and Malpas) also have a similar economic profile and ties to wards in the existing Newport East seat. Indeed, the housing estate in Bettws was originally built to

accommodate workers at Llanwern steelworks to the east of the city.

The boundary commission's proposals also ensure that the Newport City Council wards which fall within the existing Newport East constituency (Alway, Beechwood, Langstone, Llanwern, Lliswerry, Ringland, St Julians and Victoria) remain in the new Newport East seat. This is welcome.

Langstone is a long-established community of Newport, and is home to a number of businesses which market themselves as being part of the city. Llanwern ward is also synonymous with Newport, and home to some of Newport's largest and most strategically important employers in Tata Steelworks and CAF Rail. The Glan Llyn estate which falls within the ward is a very short distance away from the Spyty Retail Park and nearby populations Lliswerry ward. Similarly Llanwern High School (which is the catchment secondary school for all of the ward) falls within Ringland, which itself is a relatively short distance from the Cot Hill and Llanwern Village areas in Llanwern ward. Further to the east, the villages of Underwood and Llanmartin have always looked west to Newport rather than east to Monmouthshire - and like Bettws in Newport West, the construction of the Underwood estate in 1960s was largely intended to accommodate steelworkers at Llanwern.

So the rationale for keeping these wards within a Newport seat is clear.

BCW-9923/ [REDACTED] Ceredigion

These boundary changes are long overdue and I support them entirely...

BCW-9924/ [REDACTED] Wales Green Party/ Unknown

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 November 2021 14:58
To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>
Subject: Wales Green Party Boundary Commission Proposals for Westminster Review 2023

Please find enclosed the formal submission from the Wales Green Party for the Boundary Review process due to end in 2023. I am pleased to say that I would be only too happy to attend any oral inquiry sessions that the Commission wish to hold in 2022, ideally in Mid Wales but would be happy to take part remotely if distance or circumstances prevail

[REDACTED]
Wales Green Party Elections Co-ordinator.

Row Labels	Sum of Electorate
Amman, Neath and the Swansea Valleys	75931
Blaenau Gwent and the Rhymney Valley	71752
Caerphilly	73734
Cardiff, Bute	72475
Cardiff, Llanishen	72271
Cardiff, St. Fagans	75082
Carmarthenshire	73530
Ceredigion and the Preselis	75239
Conwy Coast	72521
Cwmbran and Pontypool	71928
Deeside	70972
Denbighshire North	71578
Eifionydd	71289
Gower	70576
Llanelli	70484
Merthyr Tydfil and the Northern Rhondda	71935
Monmouthshire	76683
Neath and Port Talbot	70349
Newport	75765
Ogmore Vale and Porthcawl	72243
Pembrokeshire Coast	69845
Penarth and Barry	76589
Pencoed and the Vale of Glamorgan	75148
Pontypridd	73002
Senghenydd	72050
Snowdonia and Montgomeryshire North	73240
Swansea	74949
The Brecon Beacons and Montgomeryshire South	75830
The Southern Rhondda	71578
The Welsh Marches and Wrexham South	71443
Wrexham North and Mold	69778
Ynys Môn	52415
Grand Total	2312204

BCW-9925/ [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

I see no solid reason for moving this boundary outside the historical county of Ceredigion, or splitting up the county of Pembrokeshire: the only advantage I can see would be to the usually unsuccessful parties in the constituency by tacking on an area with a very different culture, especially when it comes to the Welsh language. And if that is why it's being proposed, that is a shameful piece of work. Our MPs have been Of The County for generations, hard working & truly representational, as all their colleagues SHOULD be.

BCW-9926/ [REDACTED] / Tredegar

I want to write in to support your plans for a Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney constituency.

Having grown up in Pontlottyn and now living and serving as a councillor in Tredegar, I'm aware of the strong community links between Rhymney and Blaenau Gwent. In fact, bringing together Tredegar, Ebbw Vale and Rhymney would bring the constituency back to the Ebbw Vale constituency that existed before Blaenau Gwent.

So many of these areas are former mining, steel or iron towns/villages and share a common heritage and character. The area I represent as a councillor in Tredegar has much in common with the Rhymney Valley and would benefit from having the same MP to further build links between our communities.

There are also good transport connections between Tredegar and Rhymney. Many people in Tredegar use the Rhymney stations to travel to Cardiff for work or leisure, and the Heads of the Valleys Road is well used for people travelling between Rhymney and the towns in Blaenau Gwent.

Finally, as a Blaenau Gwent councillor, I appreciate that the Commission are keeping the Blaenau Gwent council area in one constituency which will continue to help us deal with one MP who understands the needs of the whole borough and can represent us together.

BCW-9927/ [REDACTED] / Prestatyn

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 02 November 2021 15:06

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Boundary Review, North Wales, Clwyd constituencies

Dear Sirs.

I am minded to write over the proposed changes to the constituencies in North Wales.

I do so as an individual born in Rhyl, educated from a child in Wrexham, a present resident and former Mayor of Prestatyn, and former lecturer at Deeside College, Kelsterton, Cartrefle Teacher Training College, Wrexham, and the former Plas Coch Technical College, Wrexham, all combined formerly as the North East Wales Institute of Higher Education, now Glyndwr University.

I have always had the interests of this area uppermost in my mind.

Politically, the representation of the various constituencies needs to be balanced against needs more easily determined by the homogeneity of the population balanced by geography, identity, and particular identifying characteristics; the proposal for example to put the town of Rhyl into an area including Towyn and Kinmel Bay is eminently sensible, holiday parks and tourism abounding. This should be part of a constituency Clwyd West, representing and recognising an ancient boundary that was once part of the old Shire county of Denbighshire.

Similarly the town of Prestatyn should be in a constituency noted Clwyd East, extolling the coastal links and Deeside population, the immediate hinterland of Gwaenysgor and rural areas enclosed thereafter. The town has ancient links from its history of where the Dee meets the Irish Sea including stone age settlements abutting Trelawnyd, mineral deposits once mined by the Romans around Meliden taken up river along the Dee to Chester, an ancient motte and baily castle to defend a later population from Viking attack, and more recently the nearest settlement to the now defunct Point of Ayr colliery all highlighting the mining, quarrying, and trading differences to the population of Rhyl, reflected on in its ancient history.

My preferences, in terms of the needs best served, would be identifying the history of the area including ecumenical, ancient monastries, population characteristics and the natural identity of the location which all tend toward a more easterly inclusion and conclusion. This should form part of a constituency Clwyd East.

I hope my representations will be acceptable to you, and assist your deliberations.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

BCW-9928/ [REDACTED] / Lampeter

I think these boundary changes are long overdue in Wales and reflect more fairly present population locations and numbers.
I support these proposed constituency changes.

BCW-9929/ Wayne David MP/ Caerphilly

I cannot emphasise enough the disbelief and angst which has been expressed by many of my constituents at the initial proposals of the Boundary Commission.

Undoubtedly, many of my constituents feel aggrieved at the Commission's initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies. But most reaction, and it has to be said hostility, is focused on the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

The main arguments against these initial proposals can be summarised as follows:

1. Geography, history, transport and local ties.

Between the communities of Machen (on the Eastern extremity of the Caerphilly constituency) and the village of Rhiwderin (on the Western extremity of Newport West) there is a large area of open countryside with few residents. The distance of 5.3 kilometres (3.3 miles) between Machen and Rhiwderin provides a natural divide between the two areas and helps to determine the identity of both the Newport area and the Caerphilly constituency from Machen westwards.

This natural divide reflects the fact that the town of Caerphilly developed firstly as a market town and then later the whole of the Rhymney valley, including Caerphilly, was dominated by the coal industry. Newport, on the other hand, grew as a port and is today developing as a modern city.

The geographic and historic orientation of the Caerphilly basin (and linked to it the communities of Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen (BTM), and the Aber Valley) is towards the lower part of the Rhymney Valley from, and including, Llanbradach northwards. Yet the initial proposals separate Caerphilly from its natural hinterland and creates a totally artificial divide between the village of Llanbradach and the town of Caerphilly. The natural 'community of interest' between Caerphilly, BTM and the Aber Valley is with the lower part of the Rhymney Valley and most definitely not with the City of Newport.

Today, the travel to work patterns are very much North-South rather than East-West. People from the Rhymney Valley, including Caerphilly, travel to and from Cardiff and not Newport. Similarly, when people socialise and 'shop', they travel from the Rhymney Valley, including Caerphilly, to Cardiff and not to Newport. These obvious travel patterns are made relatively easy by the transport infrastructure – the A469 services the middle and lower part of the Rhymney Valley (connecting Caerphilly town to its hinterland) and this is augmented by the Rhymney Valley railway line which connects the whole of the Rhymney Valley, including Caerphilly, to Cardiff.

Soon, the Rhymney Valley line will be part of the wider 'Metro' system.

It can be seen therefore that the communications are excellent between Caerphilly and its hinterland and are in sharp contrast to the modest links between the Caerphilly constituency and Newport West. While there is a good road link between Machen and Rhiwderin, use of this road is modest, and there is no rail link between Caerphilly and Newport.

2. The counter-proposal.

The alternative, counter-proposal, which I submit is indicated on the attached map. This would create a constituency of Caerphilly, and a constituency of Newport West and Newbridge. Central to the counter-proposal is the acknowledgement that the obvious and natural link is between Caerphilly and its hinterland, rather than to Newport West.

I am proposing therefore that Caerphilly, BTM and the Aber Valley be linked to the communities further up the Rhymney Valley, and that the Western part of Newport West be linked to the Eastern parts of the existing Islwyn constituency. In more detail, I set out below why this proposal is more logical and is very likely to have more public support than the Commission's initial proposal.

I would like to emphasise that both the constituencies in this counter-proposal are within the electoral quota.

i) As I have set out above, it is far more appropriate for the Caerphilly basin, BTM and the Aber Valley, to be linked to the lower and middle parts of the Rhymney Valley. To repeat, this is Caerphilly town's natural hinterland.

ii) My counter-proposal for the new 'Caerphilly' constituency also includes communities which are currently within the Islwyn Parliamentary constituency, such as Pontllanfraith and Blackwood. It is important to note that in this part of South Wales, the all-important valleys are less pronounced, and communications between Blackwood, Pontllanfraith and Ystrad Mynach (including the Ysbty Ystrad Fawr hospital) are excellent, with the A472 being an arterial route connecting these mid-valley areas. What is also the case is that the communities in and around the Caerphilly basin have much more in common with Pontllanfraith and Blackwood than they do with the City of Newport. In fact, the socio-economic relationship between the communities in this central valleys area is very strong, with many constituents in Hengoed and Ystrad Mynach working in Blackwood. Moreover, the ward of Maesycwmmmer was part of the Caerphilly Parliamentary constituency until very recently.

iii) Similarly, the communities in Eastern Islwyn, such as Newbridge and Crumlin have as great an affinity with Newport as they do with communities further West such as Ystrad Mynach and Llanbradach. Furthermore, Risca is umbilically linked

with the Western part of Newport by the A467. This road provides an excellent, and well-used, access to the villages north of Newport, in the Ebbw valley, as well as to the town of Risca. In fact, the socio-economic orientation of these Eastern Gwent valley communities is to Newport.

It is important to realise that both the Sirhowy and Ebbw Rivers flow to Newport and the communities along the length of those two rivers are also orientated towards that city.

Conclusion

In conclusion, I believe that my counter-proposal is far more logical. It acknowledges geographic, transport and socio-economic realities to a far greater extent than the initial proposal from the Boundary Commission. Crucially, I firmly believe that my counter-proposal is far more likely to receive public acceptance and support than the initial proposal from the Boundary Commission.

For clarity, I set out the wards which would constitute the new Caerphilly constituency and then the constituency of Newport West and Newbridge.

Counter-proposal 'Caerphilly' constituency

- St James (4,267)
- Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen (7,902)
- Morgan Jones (5,636)
- St. Martins (6,582)
- Penyrheol (9,021)
- Aber Valley (4,655)
- Llanbradach (3,239)
- Ystrad Mynach (4,067)
- Maesycwmmmer (1,811)
- Hengoed (4,055)
- St Cattwg (5,579)
- Pengam (2,760)
- Cefn Fforest (2,845)
- Blackwood (6,330)
- Pontllanfraith (6,343)

Total: 75,092

Counter-proposal 'Newport West & Newbridge' constituency


- Marshfield (4,897)
- Tredegar Park (3,329)
- Gaer (6,543)

- Graig (5,053)
- Allt-yr-Yn (7,088)
- Rogerstone (9,421)
- Risca East (4,611)
- Risca West (3,973)
- Crosskeys (2,527)
- Ynysddu (2,978)
- Abercarn (4,139)
- Newbridge (4,892)
- Crumlin (4,332)
- Penmaen (4,219)
- Argoed (2,035)

Total: 70,037

Please see the 'supporting documents' section for a map of the new 'Caerphilly' and 'Newport West and Newbridge' constituencies that I have outlined in my counter-proposal.

All wards are accounted for without any needing to be transferred to other constituencies, and as stated previously, both constituencies in the counter-proposal are within the electoral quota.





HOUSE OF COMMONS
LONDON SW1A 0AA

2 November 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing in response to your consultation on your 2023 Review of the Parliamentary Boundaries in Wales. I am writing as a local resident and as the Member of Parliament for the Vale of Glamorgan constituency. I believe both factors give me an intimate knowledge of the community, the local ties, established communication links, networks and accessibility, and how the Member of Parliament's association with the stated links provide a clear line of support to constituents.

The current Vale of Glamorgan constituency falls within the target set parameters of parliamentary electors required by this review. This raises the question of why is the constituency changing and can the requirements of the review in relation to other constituencies be met without the proposed change to the Vale of Glamorgan?

I believe they can but will focus my attention on the inconveniences that will flow from the Commission's initial proposal to exclude Dinas Powys from the Vale of Glamorgan and to add it to the new Cardiff South and Penarth constituency. In line with the statements made in the Commission's publication the following points will relate to:

- ♦ physical geography considerations
- ♦ local government boundaries
- ♦ existing constituency boundaries;
- ♦ local ties that would be broken by changes to constituencies;
- ♦ inconveniences that may flow from changes to constituencies

Although local services provided by authorities and charities would still be provided on the same basis, the tripartite relationship - between constituents, local services and the Member of Parliament offers clarity and consistency, particularly in how relationships develop over time. I am contacted weekly from Penarth residents who believe that I am their MP because their town falls into the Vale of Glamorgan Local Authority. This is despite Penarth being in the title of Cardiff South and Penarth constituency. The proposed changes risk creating greater confusion.

As a community, Dinas Powys has long resisted being subsumed by Cardiff. It is a distinctly separate community and prides itself on being a village. The Community Councillors regularly state the ward is made up of villages and hamlets and does not include a town! The reason that I highlight this is to emphasise a backdrop where distinct culture emanates from Dinas Powys, against its neighbours – Cardiff being a large City and Penarth being a significant town. Although Penarth is included in the Vale of Glamorgan Authority area the

Rt Hon Alun Cairns MP

There are many voluntary and community organisations in Dinas Powys. These stem from those focussed on supporting older people through to running the charitable library, providing particular attention to children. The focus of these groups is to support residents, with the assistance of the Local Authority, the administrative centre being Barry. Support for community care to medical services at Barry hospital; social services and educational support to dental care along with community transport links all hold ties closer with Barry than Cardiff.

The umbrella of the Vale Local Authority is central to so much of community activity. In December 2020 there was a severe flood in Dinas Powys. All support services came from the Vale; Fire and Rescue from Barry or sandbags from the Wenvoe highways facility that abuts St Andrews Major in Dinas Powys.

Should Dinas Powys be included within a Cardiff constituency, the Member of Parliament would have the additional complexity of working across the natural charitable zone or boundary areas. This would hamper the developed and established links that already exist. Some support services provided by the Vale of Glamorgan or Welsh Government are split into rural or urban focus. Creative Rural Communities grant programme is one example, where Dinas Powys and its villages / hamlets have qualified. This underlines the rurality and network of the ward that doesn't naturally fit with Cardiff. Adding a rural community with Cardiff is not conducive to the make-up of the community and support networks could be lost.

In relation to schools and education, established links with Barry are strong. Primary children whose parents want a Church based education often attend St Andrews Major school when All Saints in Barry is at capacity. Furthermore, there is a close cross school engagement between St Andrews Major and the other Church in Wales schools in the rural Vale and Barry. Dinas pupils who want a Catholic education attend St Richard Gwyn in Barry.

Transport links play a big part in supporting local ties. The main road from Barry to the greater Cardiff is via Dinas Powys. This underlines the links between the administrative centre and support. Public transport bus services run along this route and their close proximity means that access to gain the support services I mentioned above is established and convenient to service users and the Authority's main centre in providing that support.

Train services are equally an important factor in the lifestyle of Dinas Powys residents. Services run regularly between Barry and Dinas. There is no means of accessing Penarth via a direct train service. This would require a visit to Cardiff first. The complexity of such a journey creates a natural geographic barrier with the next nearest town.

Such transport links are important to medical care in Barry Hospital, with its minor injury unit in order to reduce the call on A&E services at the regional centre in Cardiff. Importantly, Barry Hospital is also the site of GP Out of Hours care. Accessing these services in Barry underlines the natural and established link.

As a result of the transport network, residents' local shopping and socialising is focussed around Barry, although facilities at Culverhouse Cross, that largely falls into Wenvoe Ward, is also a centre. Dinas Powys is the natural bridge between Wenvoe Ward and Barry. Dinas has close ties with its neighbour, Wenvoe, which is also in the Vale of Glamorgan constituency.

An extremely important service to the most vulnerable is Green Links Community Transport. This is a door to door minibus service administered by the Local Authority. In addition to the Authority's management based in Barry the routes originate and end in the neighbouring ward, Wenvoe.

Sport is an important factor in community life. Dinas Powys has a strong football presence among its younger population who are part of the Vale of Glamorgan League. This stems across a wide age group from the youngest children to adults and as a result of the league structure, there are regular transfers between teams based in Barry. When teams fold, Barry is the natural place for players to gravitate in seeking an alternative side.


I believe that natural adjustments can be made between the proposed four Cardiff constituencies to ensure the required number of electors live in each, whilst at the same time protecting the integrity of the Vale of Glamorgan constituency. I am concerned that the rural Dinas Powys ward would be 'lost' as part of a metropolitan group of constituencies, when the current fit better meets the natural and established community links.

All these factors are relevant to the MPs activity and his or her relationship with constituents. It would be more inconvenient for constituents to have to deal with MPs and Local Authorities that have different centres of gravity. Outcomes from the relationships between charitable groups that are part of a natural network are greater than the sum of the contribution of each. The MP will regularly act as a link between the organisations within the network. Moving Dinas Powys would mean best practice ideas etc. from organisations based on a similar footing (rural, social and economic ties etc.) would be lost.

I recognise that there will always be cross border (local authority) work for some MPs but this is better avoided or minimised. Moving Dinas Powys to another constituency, increases the inconvenience, as per the Commission's stated guidance, more than necessary. In the case of Penarth, which naturally already looks to Cardiff both socially and economically, it is already part of a long standing position.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours faithfully,



Rt Hon Alun Cairns MP
Vale of Glamorgan

BCW-9931/ [REDACTED] / Mountain Ash

The changing of the boundaries splitting Mountain Ash and Aberdare make no sense. The new ward of Pontypridd would mean vastly different areas with vastly different incomes and social backgrounds being in one area. A household living on the M4 corridor is likely to be vastly different to a household in the valleys. Simply looking at house prices and employment figures would show that the new proposed boundaries would simply benefit the more affluent areas. Maintaining the Cynon Valley boundaries and the Merthyr and Rhymney boundaries would make more sense as the areas are of a similar background and need.

BCW-9932/ Fay Jones MP/ Brecon and Radnorshire

From: JONES, Fay [REDACTED]

Sent: 02 November 2021 15:26

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Draft Proposals - Brecon and Radnorshire Parliamentary Constituency

Good evening,

I am writing to voice my support for the changes proposed to the constituency of Brecon and Radnorshire. Currently the largest constituency in England and Wales, I support the addition of nine extra wards from the Upper Neath valley.

In extending the constituency past Ystradgynlais, the Boundary Commission has fulfilled the legislation's objection of increasing the electorate without connecting disparate communities. There are clear links to the proposed new wards. For example, many children in the Sennybridge area already attend Ystalyfera High School. The proposals would also add a number of Welsh speaking areas to the constituency, which builds on the welsh speaking community in Ystradgynlais. The proposals also meet the criteria laid down in the Bill to limit the numbers of local authorities covered by each parliamentary constituency. Adding wards from Neath Port Talbot makes clear sense – particularly in view of the existing cooperation between Powys County Council and the Neath Port Talbot local authority in projects such as the Global Centre for Rail Excellence.

I also support the new name of the constituency as Brecon and Radnor.

Yours sincerely,

Fay Jones

Fay Jones MP
Member of Parliament for Brecon and Radnorshire

BCW-9933/ [REDACTED] / Llandyrnog

From: [REDACTED]

November 2021 16:08

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: New Parliamentary Constituencies

Dear Sir/Madam,

In light of the new boundaries proposals for limiting the number of parliamentary constituencies, we have some concerns over the local proposed boundaries. We absolutely support the reduction of the number of constituencies.

We live and run a business in the village of Llandyrnog in Vale of Clwyd.

Llandyrnog Village has a Denbigh post code and is situated between Ruthin and the County town of Denbigh, the Vale of Clwyd side of the Clwydian hills and has nothing in common with industrial Flintshire as I gather there is a proposal to link it to. We are mainly in a farming area and feel very strongly that we should retain our closeness to the other towns in this area. It makes no sense to link this area with an area that we have nothing in common with.

Many thanks for your

time. Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

BCW-9934 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

I refer to the recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries and want to make the following comments. As a resident of Caerphilly Town, I am distressed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, and I am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Caerphilly Town I have never identified as being aligned with Newport for any leisure, shopping or business activities, I have always engaged with Cardiff and north of Caerphilly. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, either by car or public transport. The roadlinks to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

All of my neighbours and family who I have spoken to share my view that trying to link our community to Newport rather than Cardiff makes no sense at all and would not be welcomed.

In my opinion there are a number of areas in the Islwyn constituency which would more readily identify with Newport than Caerphilly, and they already benefit from better transport links with Newport.

Looking from a historical view, Caerphilly is more connected to other places in the Rhymney Valley, especially from its mining history. Also, geographically, Caerphilly is located in the basin of the Rhymney Valley and the railway line runs naturally from the top of the Rhymney Valley to Cardiff through Caerphilly. We have cultural connections with schools for example taking part in Eisteddfods together, with no cultural connections with Newport.

In conclusion I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Caerphilly town.

I understand that Wayne David MP for Caerphilly, has made a detailed and viable alternative proposal and I would like to ask the Commission to adopt that.

BCW-9935 / [REDACTED] / Neath

Personally I think this is a better constituency organisation than previously when we were part of Aberavon, we have much more in common with Neath and the Neath Valley. Although not a labour voter, I should however be sorry to lose Stephen Kinnock as our MP.

BCW-9936 / [REDACTED] / Newport

Love it. Equal number of voters, fewer politicians.

BCW-9937 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I submit this comment as Chair of the Dunvant, Killay, Mayals and Sketty Ward of Swansea West Constituency. I make this submission on behalf of members of this ward. We have discussed the details of the proposed changes of the commission, counter proposals and the implications on our members within the constituency. After careful deliberations, the general consensus of the ward is to support the counter proposal of Geraint Davies MP, as follows:

The current BCW proposal essentially cuts the central urban footprint of Swansea West in half and puts a rural part of Gower on top of one half. This divides urban communities in the current constituency and instead joins up half of the urban footprint with an unconnected rural area of Gower.

The existing Swansea West constituency runs westwards from the River Tawe with an urban footprint along the coast that reaches into the Gower.

The counterproposal below therefore aims to reconnect local ties and convenience, to reflect the geography and respect existing boundaries. In summary this comprises:-

1. Keeping the four wards in the original Gower seat– Pontardulais (4,954), Mawr (1,438), Llangyfelach (3,946) and Penllergaer (2,553), comprising 12891 voters - within the Swansea West & Gower constituency instead of them being moved into Swansea Central and North
2. Moving two wards originally in the Swansea West constituency - Sketty (11,304) and Mayals (2,148) comprising 13452 voters - to rejoin Uplands in Swansea Central and North
3. Moving Morriston (12,105) from Swansea Central and North into Swansea East and Neath in exchange for Bonymaen (5391) and St.Thomas (5514).
4. This would give the new Swansea West and Gower constituency 74653 voters (75214 – 13452 from Sketty/Mayals + 12891 from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach & Penllergaer)
5. And the new Swansea Central and North would have 75,560 voters (76199 - 13452 +1289 -12,105 + 5391+5514)

This would have the following implications for the statutory factors that will be considered:-

Special geographical considerations

Unlike the existing BCW proposal, the counterproposal does not take a rural part of the established rural constituency of Gower and put it on top of an urban area; nor does it cut away part of the established urban constituency of Swansea West and put it in a rural area with a dividing line cutting through a continuous urban footprint in a way that local people would find hard to understand.

The coast and the River Tawe are natural boundaries for the existing Swansea West constituency. West of the River Tawe, the Castle, Uplands, Sketty and Mayals wards run seamlessly into each and are geographically contiguous along the coast. Therefore, they should remain connected together within the same constituency. Adding St. Thomas and Bonymaen would continue the coastal frontage to the east of the River Tawe.

Existing boundaries

Therefore the counterproposal more greatly respects the existing and established constituency boundaries of Gower and Swansea West by repatriating wards exchanged between them. All wards fall within the City and County of Swansea local authority.

Local ties

Mayals is in essence part of Sketty and Sketty is closely integrated with Uplands and Castle for work, leisure, shopping and transport routes and connections.

Swansea University campus is in Sketty and its student population primarily lives in the Uplands and Castle wards. Therefore, students and other communities cross the Sketty/Uplands boundary on a daily basis for work, study, leisure and worship.

Swansea's Muslim population is concentrated in Swansea West, largely in Sketty, Uplands and Castle, so Swansea Mosque's worshippers are primarily represented by one MP. Similarly, church congregations migrate seamlessly across Uplands and Sketty.

Therefore, separating Sketty from Uplands and Castle could cause confusion and undermine local ties across established communities.

Similarly, communities in from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach and Penllergaer, currently in the Gower, are rural and semi-rural, and don't consider themselves as part of the urban city centre for community identity but can look towards Llanelli, Neath and Gower.

Inconvenience

It follows from the above that the unamended BCW proposal would create avoidable inconvenience by splitting the student, Muslim and other communities.

Inconvenience would arise from unnecessarily slicing an urban area in one constituency in two and putting half in a rural constituency and connecting the other half with rural wards separated from that rural constituency.

Therefore, this counterproposal is better in terms of convenience.

Local support for alternative

The counterproposal will command greater widespread support from communities and faith groups, in particular those linked to the city centre and businesses, the university community, and equally rural currently who do not regard themselves as closely tied to the city.

Statutory Electorate range

The electorate of the counter-proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency would be 74,653 voters and of the Swansea North and Central constituency 75,560 which would both be within the BCW range of 69,724- 77,062.

The decision to support this proposal is taken with the best interest of our community members.

BCW-9938 / Hefin David MS/ Hengoed

I wish to object to the proposal to merge the southern area of Caerphilly with Newport West. The Caerphilly constituency is being split in four and none of the proposals show geographical nor historical consistency. The proposal for merging Caerphilly and Newport makes least sense. My Westminster colleague Wayne David MP has outlined his specific concerns and I fully support these. While I won't repeat his well argued and detailed submission, I would highlight the two key points that, particularly; the cultural and historical development of the two areas are significantly different and; the travel to work patterns are largely north- south, with the area linking well to the A470 to Cardiff. The main rail route runs directly through the constituency from Rhymney to Cardiff.

I support his counter proposal, namely:

To create a 'Caerphilly' constituency consisting of the following wards:

- St James (4,267)
- Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen (7,902)
- Morgan Jones (5,636)
- St. Martins (6,582)
- Penyrheol (9,021)
- Aber Valley (4,655)
- Llanbradach (3,239)
- Ystrad Mynach (4,067)
- Maesycwmmmer (1,811)
- Hengoed (4,055)
- St Cattwg (5,579)
- Pengam (2,760)
- Cefn Fforest (2,845)
- Blackwood (6,330)
- Pontllanfraith (6,343)

Total: 75,092

To create a 'Newport West & Newbridge' constituency consisting of the following wards:

- Marshfield (4,897)
- Tredegar Park (3,329)
- Gaer (6,543)
- Graig (5,053)
- Allt-yr-Yn (7,088)
- Rogerstone (9,421)
- Risca East (4,611)
- Risca West (3,973)
- Crosskeys (2,527)
- Ynysddu (2,978)
- Abercarn (4,139)
- Newbridge (4,892)
- Crumlin (4,332)
- Penmaen (4,219)
- Argoed (2,035)

Total: 70,037

BCW-9939 / [REDACTED] / Wrexham

Llangollen is swallowed by this new constituency, when it should be in Clwyd south, Culturally and historically it has been tied to Clwyd south, as such i feel it should be included, and not overlooked

BCW-9940 / [REDACTED] / Wrexham

Flintshire has the best flag of any of the counties, and removing it is a shame because it is gorgeous

BCW-9941 / [REDACTED] / Bargoed

As a resident of Bargoed I am dismayed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, but am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Caerphilly County I have never identified as being tied into Newport for any leisure or business activities, my engagement is with Cardiff. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, be it by car or public transport. The road links to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

I believe that there are a number of areas, currently in the Islwyn constituency which would far more readily identify with Newport than my local community, and many of those Islwyn communities also benefit from much better transport links with the City of Newport.

In conclusion, I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Caerphilly County.

I understand that Wayne David MP, for Caerphilly has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

BCW-9942 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

There are several reasons why this is unacceptable, including issues of geography, history, community links, demographics, and local economy, all of which are explored in further detail below. The Neath constituency has a proud history spanning 103 years, and during that time has been served by just 6 long-serving Members of Parliament. For almost 40 years (since the last boundary changes), the communities of the Neath constituency have shared a continuous history, supporting each other through difficult times such as the Miners' Strike, which affected people in every corner of the constituency.

At best the proposals make no sense and at worse, if adopted would create huge inconvenience to the individuals and communities affected by them. The geographical difficulties which would be created if Parliamentary representation was placed within Brecon and Radnor's already huge, land-locked area would be significant. The individual's right to convenient access to Parliamentary representation would be severely weakened. The Upper Swansea and Amman Valleys should firmly remain where they belong within the Neath Constituency.

I live in the Swansea valley ..a clue there nowhere near Brecon or Radnor. I have more in common with the moon than Radnor. Swansea and Neath are closely tied historically industrially and culturally. Pontardawe needs to remain with Neath.

The proposals would cut all long held ties with other valley communities within Neath. These valley communities share a history of familiar lifestyles, cultural links, industrial heritage, and traditions. The industrial heritage and culture of the Swansea and Aman Valleys stand in stark contrast to the rural agricultural base of the Brecon & Radnor constituency.

The proposals would also place Parliamentary representation outside the boundaries of Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council and all Town and Community Councils that serve these wards. Again creating a barrier to ease of access and familiarity.

Links with the preserved County of West Glamorgan, which dates back to the ancient County of Glamorgan, would be severed. West Glamorgan remains a preserved County for the Lieutenancy and Shrievalty. These functions feature prominently in the civic life of these valley communities and they promote and encourage the voluntary sector and individual volunteering.

In summary, the proposals would weaken the fundamental and basic right of the people of the Upper Swansea and Amman Valleys to easy and convenient access to democracy and its processes.

BCW-9943 / [REDACTED] / Ystrad Meurig

I support this because it represents a fair distribution of the population to constituency. Redressing the balance of voters and seats.

BCW-9944 / [REDACTED] / Llandrindod Wells

Why are the number of MPs for Wales being reduced when representation for Wales in Westminster is already below what it should be to have any meaningful influence? If the answer is because of the Senedd then all powers should be devolved. Otherwise WM Government cannot be properly held to account for decisions made about Wales by the people of Wales and their representatives.

BCW-9945 / [REDACTED] / Llandysul

Thank you for your efforts in creating new boundaries with respect to local, cultural, geographical, Welsh language, and community ties. It is very much appreciated. I am in favour of the newly proposed county of Ceredigion-Preseli. I have doubts however about specific boundary lines. I'll start by saying some things about me and my experiences growing up in the Sir Ceredigion/Sir Gaerfyrddin/Sir Benfro area, an area I love very much.

I am a young adult woman who grew up in rural south Ceredigion. It was normal to travel across county lines into Pembrokeshire and Carmarthenshire when travelling. As a child, my family were on benefits and I was a young carer. We did not have a car and were reliant on bus services. It was an isolated and deprived life in a lot of ways caused in part by restrictions caused county boundaries.

The services available to us were of poor quality and few and far between. Bus services were irregular and only went to a select few places. We simply couldn't take the risk of going further afield, knowing that if we were stuck somewhere, we would have no means to go back home, so we didn't travel a lot. Social care services such as Young Carers were focused in the Aberystwyth area and therefore couldn't provide the care and services necessary because of the distance and lack of funds.

It was tough and isolating and lonely as a child. I think that all children should be able to live without these feelings and be able to experience their surroundings and country. If I could have gone the few miles south into Pembrokeshire instead of making the rare trek northwards, then I would have been able to access more, regularly-occurring activities like social care services, school trips, shopping, nature reserves, museums, etc because they were within county remits and, crucially, not as far away. Having Ceredigion-Preseli as one county would prompt a lot of services to expand and allow people further access. Unfortunately, cuts and a lack of funding from the British Government mean these things are not going to change for the better any time soon within south Ceredigion with Ceredigion left as is.

When I saw the new proposed changes to create Ceredigion-Preseli I knew that if this was the county I had lived in as a child, then I would have had a life more lived. Other children would have. Adults would have. I can't sit by and not take this opportunity to make suggestions I know would improve life a lot for people in this area. I implore you all to keep the new county boundaries as close to the initial proposals as possible, with a few changes.

Boundary changes for Ceredigion-Preseli:

Where the boundaries go along the Afon Teifi, expand them outwards (into other counties) by a metre or two.

Keep Newcastle-Emlyn in Carmarthenshire.

Keep Llandysul within Ceredigion-Preseli and expand Ceredigion-Preseli to include Pont-Tyweli.

Make sure Newgale and St Davids stay within Ceredigion-Preseli.

Expand Ceredigion-Preseli to include the following:

Velindre Wood

All of Llyn Penrhaedr

Half of Claerwen Reservoir

Expand to the eastern edge of Llyn Brianne reservoir to coed Ffos-y-gath

Rhuddallt + Nant y Ffin

All of Cenarth

Boundary changes for other counties:

Expand Caerfyrddin to include Narbeth

Please anything to retain all or part of Arfon

BCW-9947 / [REDACTED] / Dinas powys

I have serious issues with this proposal to move dinas powys to Cardiff south and penarth. We have very little connection with penarth or even Cardiff. We as a family use the facilities of Barry including dentist opticians shops and restaurants also leisure centres and beaches. Dinas powys has a great affinity with the vale of Glamorgan. And very little with the Cardiff south and penarth area.

BCW-9948 / [REDACTED] / Llandysul

My family and I have lived in the Teifi Valley for many years, and my family has a history in the area.

I am strongly in favour of the proposed new county of Ceredigion-Preseli. It will be a strongly Welsh speaking area. I don't think Llandysul and Pont-Tyweli/Pontweli should be in separate counties any more. They should be both together within the new county of Ceredigion-Preseli.

Please make any new changes as minimal as possible.

BCW-9949 / [REDACTED] / Mid Glamorgan

I would like comment on the proposed creation of the Newport West & Caerphilly Constituency into which my Council Ward of Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen would be put. Whilst there is a physical proximity between the lower Rhymney Valley and the Western part of Newport City, the cultural, social and economic links between the two areas are very limited.

Transport links between Caerphilly and Newport West are very limited and most residents of the Lower Rhymney Valley area would not look to Newport either for transport or economic links. The main transport hub in Caerphilly, be it train or bus links the area with Cardiff and communities further North up the Valley. Most people who live in the lower Rhymney Valley area would travel for work and leisure to Cardiff rather than Newport. Equally residents of Western Newport would be very unlikely to seek employment and leisure opportunities in the Caerphilly area. There is a sizable rural area between Machen and Rhiwderin villages which effectively acts as a break between the Lower Rhymney Valley and Western Newport.

There is very little social linkage between Caerphilly and Newport either. The Caerphilly area is composed of the town of Caerphilly itself, and small former mining villages like Bedwas and Trethomas. There is also the rural belt of even smaller villages and hamlets like Draethen and Rudry between Caerphilly and Cardiff. None of these areas have much in common with a city like Newport. Rather they have much more in common with other former mining communities further up the Valleys.

To put two areas like Western Newport and the Lower Rhymney Valley into a single Constituency to my mind goes against the idea that a Parliamentary seat should be composed of communities that have strong historical, social and economic links. Newport West and Caerphilly will link two areas with very little in common.

Thank you

[REDACTED]

BCW-9950 / [REDACTED] / Carmarthen

I write to support the Boundary Commission for Wales' proposals for the Caerfyrddin constituency with one minor caveat.

Given the constraints on the Commission placed by the legislation, the proposals for West Wales broadly make sense. However, there is one minor adjustment which would improve on the Caerfyrddin/ Llanelli division of Carmarthenshire without causing a numerical problem. Llangunnor Ward which is part of Carmarthen town should be included in the Caerfyrddin seat rather than the Llanelli seat as in the current initial proposals.

Under the previous arrangements the boundary along the Towy south of Carmarthen created an artificial division severing the southern end of the town; but in the overall context of the Carmarthen East & Dinefwr seat it made sense to sever some of the suburbs of Carmarthen Town as they were all included together in the Carmarthen East & Dinefwr seat preserving community links.

BCW-9951 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I think the proposed boundary for my postcode area makes sense and I think this should be what is taken forward and implemented.

BCW-9952 / [REDACTED] / Preston

No wards need to be split

planname	planconstnumber	planconstname	planconstelect	prmauthcd	prmauthnm	wardcode	wardname	electorate
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000819	Raglan	1618
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000791	Caerwent	1699
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000793	Cantref	1668
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000794	Castle	1611
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000795	Croesonen	1609
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000796	Crucorney	1773
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000797	Devauden	1228
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000799	Dixon with Osbaston	1968
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000800	Drybridge	3034
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000801	Goetre Fawr	2045
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000803	Grofield	1308
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000804	Lansdown	1644
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000805	Larkfield	1484
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000806	Llanbadoc	1099
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000807	Llanelly Hill	3286
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000808	Llanfoist Fawr	1971
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000809	Llangybi Fawr	1477
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000810	Llanover	1781
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000811	Llantilio Crossenny	1527
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000812	Llanwenarth Ultra	1128
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000813	Mardy	1430
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000815	Mitchel Troy	985
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000816	Overmonnow	1662
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000817	Portskewett	1885
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000818	Priory	1546
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000821	St. Arvans	1304
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000822	St. Christopher's	1756
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000823	St. Kingsmark	2346
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000824	St. Mary's	1539
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000826	Shirenewton	1850
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000828	Thornwell	1961
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000829	Trellech United	2249
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000830	Usk	1930
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000832	Wyesham	1701
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000792	Caldicot Castle	1768
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000798	Dewstow	1404
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000802	Green Lane	1482
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000814	Mill	2256
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000820	Rogiet	1365
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000825	Severn	1325
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000827	The Elms	2523
WalesPlan1	1	Monmouth	72681	W06000021	Monmouthshire	W05000831	West End	1456
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000773	Cwmyniscoy	987
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000768	Blaenavon	4575
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000769	Brynwern	1295
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000770	Coed Eva	1859
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000774	Fairwater	3918
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000776	Llantarnam	4864
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000780	Panteg	5828
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000782	Pontnewynydd	1129
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000783	Pontypool	1438
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000784	St. Cadocs and Penygarn	1341
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000787	Trevethin	2463
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000788	Two Locks	4715
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000790	Wainfelin	1847
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000992	Abersychan	5044
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000994	Greenmeadow	2028
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000997	New Inn	4777
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000998	Pontnewydd	5186
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000999	Snatchwood	1819
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05001000	St Dials	3317
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05001001	Upper Cwmbran	4207
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000772	Croesyceiliog South	1460
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000993	Croesyceiliog North	2745
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000995	Llanyrafon East and Ponthir	1803
WalesPlan1	2	Torfaen	70591	W06000020	Torfaen	W05000996	Llanyrafon West	1946
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000943	Ebbw Vale South	2959
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000751	Abertillery	3074
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000760	Georgetown	3091
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000761	Llanhilleth	3387
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000764	Sirhowy	4210
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000765	Six Bells	1740
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000766	Tredegarr Central and West	4027
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000936	Badminton	2452
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000937	Beaufort	2717
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000938	Blaina	3497
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000939	Brynmaur	4028
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000940	Cwm	3254
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000941	Cwmillery	3383
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000942	Ebbw Vale North	3268
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000944	Nantyglo	3350
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000019	Blaenau Gwent	W05000945	Rassau	2463
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000722	Bargoed	4431
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000729	Gilfach	1534
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000718	Aberbargoed	2706
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000728	Darren Valley	1870
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000734	Moriah	3128
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000737	New Tredegarr	3357
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000742	Pontlloftyn	1432
WalesPlan1	3	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114	W06000018	Caerphilly	W05000748	Twyn Carno	1721

WalesPlan1	3 Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney	73114 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000721 Argoed	2035
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000927 Pontllanfraith	6343
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000719 Abercarn	4139
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000724 Blackwood	6330
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000726 Crosskeys	2527
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000736 Newbridge	4892
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000749 Ynysddu	2978
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000919 Cefn Fforest	2845
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000920 Crumlin	4332
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000922 Maescywmmmer	1811
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000924 Pengam	2760
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000925 Penmaen	4219
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000928 Risca East	4611
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000929 Risca West	3973
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000745 St. Cattwg	5579
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000750 Ystrad Mynach	4067
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000730 Hengoed	4055
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000921 Llanbradach	3239
WalesPlan1	4 Islwyn	72263 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000735 Nelson	3563
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000844 Marshfield	4897
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000839 Graig	5053
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000847 Rogerstone	9421
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000851 Tredegar Park	3329
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000833 Allt-yr-yn	7088
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000022 Newport	W05000838 Gaer	6543
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000930 St. James	4267
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000931 St. Martins	6582
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000923 Morgan Jones	5636
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000926 Penyrheol	9021
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000720 Aber Valley	4655
WalesPlan1	5 Newport West and Caerphilly	74394 W06000018 Caerphilly	W05000723 Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen	7902
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000842 Llanwern	3544
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000841 Liswerry	9110
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000837 Caerleon	6603
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000834 Alway	5931
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000848 St. Julians	6287
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000852 Victoria	5121
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000835 Beechwood	5611
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000846 Ringland	5968
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000840 Langstone	3878
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000845 Pillgwenlly	5174
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000843 Malpas	6114
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000849 Shaftesbury	3778
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000850 Stow Hill	3384
WalesPlan1	6 Newport East	76159 W06000022 Newport	W05000836 Bettws	5656
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000860 Ely	9576
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000855 Caerau	7859
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000856 Canton	11457
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000858 Creigiau/St. Fagans	4409
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000861 Fairwater	9642
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000866 Llandaff	7078
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000871 Pentyrch	2819
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000875 Radyr	5425
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000877 Riverside	9621
WalesPlan1	7 Cardiff West	73878 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000867 Llandaff North	5992
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000865 Lisvane	2942
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000876 Rhiwbina	9354
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000881 Whitchurch and Tongwynlais	12928
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000900 Pontprennau/Old St. Mellons	8047
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001012 Llanishen	13492
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001013 Llanrumney	7758
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001015 Rumney	6536
WalesPlan1	8 Cardiff North	72133 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001016 Trowbridge	11076
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000857 Cathays	13099
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000872 Penylan	9858
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000873 Plasnewydd	12285
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001014 Pentwyn	10783
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05001011 Cyncoed	8475
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000862 Gabalfa	5922
WalesPlan1	9 Cardiff Central	70033 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000864 Heath	9611
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000934 Plymouth	4584
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000935 Sully	3696
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000863 Grangetown	13257
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000933 Llandough	1578
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000636 Cornerswell	4069
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000652 Stanwell	3365
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000650 St. Augustine's	5318
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000932 Dinas Powys	6388
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000853 Adamsdown	5692
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000634 Cadoc	7244
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000854 Butetown	7834
WalesPlan1	10 Cardiff South and Penarth	72106 W06000015 Cardiff	W05000879 Splott	9081
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000648 Rhoose	5796
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000632 Baruc	6080
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000633 Buttrills	4447
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000638 Cowbridge	5240
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000640 Dyfan	4170
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000641 Gibbonsdown	3827
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000642 Illtlyd	6242
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000644 Llandow/Ewenny	2362
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000645 Llantwit Major	7939

WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000649 St. Athan	2765
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000651 St. Bride's Major	2732
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000890 Llanharry	3221
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000646 Peterston-super-Ely	1855
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000654 Wenfoe	2915
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000637 Court	3370
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000661 Brynna	3616
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000889 Llanharan	2819
WalesPlan1	11 Vale of Glamorgan	72838 W06000014 Vale of Glamorgan	W05000635 Castleland	3442
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001023 Llantwit Fardre	4825
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001018 Beddau	3172
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001019 Church Village	4424
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001026 Tyn-y-Nant	2454
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000689 Rhondda	3458
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000686 Pontypridd Town	2208
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000671 Hawthorn	3180
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001021 Graig	1885
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000699 Treforest	2862
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000690 Rhydfelen Central/Ilan	3037
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000697 Trallwng	2824
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000693 Ton-teg	3183
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000705 Ynysybwll	3433
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001024 Mountain Ash East	2254
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000680 Mountain Ash West	3123
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000657 Abercynon	4441
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000663 Cilfynydd	2110
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000681 Penrhiwceiber	4056
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000669 Glyncoch	2006
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001025 Talbot Green	1965
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000691 Taffs Well	2855
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001022 Llantrisant Town	3187
WalesPlan1	12 Pontypridd	73003 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000891 Pont-y-clun	6061
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000677 Llwyn-y-pia	1637
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000664 Cwm Clydach	1987
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000667 Ferndale	3114
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000678 Maerdy	2283
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000682 Pentre	3902
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000683 Pen-y-graig	3929
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000687 Porth	4282
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000694 Tonypanydy	2686
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000698 Treallaw	2895
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000700 Treherbert	4158
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000701 Treorchy	5693
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000702 Tylorstown	3054
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000704 Ynysir	2380
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000706 Ystrad	4285
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000668 Gilfach Goch	2437
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000696 Tonyrefail West	4896
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000666 Cymmer	3977
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000616 Nant-y-moel	1745
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000620 Ogmore Vale	2357
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000595 Blackmill	1839
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000610 Llangeinor	887
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000625 Pontycymmer	1773
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000596 Blaengarw	1333
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000594 Bettws	1595
WalesPlan1	13 Rhondda and Ogmore	73557 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000695 Tonyrefail East	4433
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000658 Aberdare East	4909
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000714 Plymouth	4096
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000707 Bedlinog	2977
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000708 Cyfarthfa	5457
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000709 Dowlais	5014
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000710 Gurnos	3477
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000711 Merthyr Vale	2798
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000712 Park	3296
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000713 Penydarren	3818
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000715 Town	5998
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000716 Treharris	5270
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000024 Merthyr Tydfil	W05000895 Vaynor	2880
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000688 Rhigos	1370
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000672 Hirwaun	3167
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000684 Pen-y-waun	1973
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000659 Aberdare West/Llwydcoed	7404
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001020 Cwmbach	3751
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05001017 Aberaman South	3541
WalesPlan1	14 Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805 W06000016 Rhondda Cynon Taf	W05000655 Aberaman North	3609
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001030 Margam	2309
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000551 Aberavon	4048
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000568 Cymmer	2011
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000570 Glynccorwg	792
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000574 Gwynfi	879
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000587 Sandfields West	4917
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001029 Bryn and Cwmafon	5220
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001032 Port Talbot	4342
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001033 Sandfields East	5038
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001035 Tai-bach	3643
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000605 Cornelly	5359
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001027 Baglan	5383
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05000557 Briton Ferry West	2033
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000012 Neath Port Talbot	W05001028 Briton Ferry East	2148
WalesPlan1	15 Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278 W06000013 Bridgend	W05000619 Nottage	2741

WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000629	Rest Bay	1990
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000626	Porthcawl East Central	2580
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000627	Porthcawl West Central	2967
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000565	Coedffranc West	3587
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000563	Coedffranc Central	2892
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000581	Pelenna	936
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000628	Pyle	5545
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000564	Coedffranc North	1811
WalesPlan1	15	Aberavon and Porthcawl	76278	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000562	Cimla	3107
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000552	Aberdulais	1712
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000555	Blaengwrach	1491
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000559	Bryn-coch North	1787
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000560	Bryn-coch South	4547
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000561	Cadoxton	1365
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000566	Crynant	1508
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000571	Glynneath	2577
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000577	Neath East	4468
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000578	Neath North	2931
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000584	Resolven	2387
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000590	Tonna	2072
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05001031	Onllwyn	935
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05001034	Seven Sisters	1554
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000585	Rhos	1997
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000582	Pontardawe	4283
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000553	Allt-wen	2023
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000575	Lower Brynamman	1040
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000592	Ystalyfera	2169
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000572	Godre'r graig	1514
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000567	Cwmilynfell	921
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000573	Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen	2220
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000591	Trebanos	1092
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000962	Clydach	5821
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000579	Neath South	3694
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000533	Mawr	1438
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000970	Llangyfelach	3946
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000976	Penllergaer	2553
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000544	Pontardulais	4954
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000011	Swansea	W05000967	Gorseinon	3340
WalesPlan1	16	Neath	74786	W06000012	Neath Port Talbot	W05000569	Dyffryn	2447
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000593	Aberkenfig	1868
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000599	Bryncoch	1757
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000630	Sarn	1786
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000631	Ynysawdre	2748
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000896	Brackla	8276
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000898	Coychurch Lower	1160
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000899	Oldcastle	3783
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000617	Newcastle	4287
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000615	Morfa	3210
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000624	Pen-y-fai	1853
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000604	Coity	3006
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000609	Litchard	2080
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000622	Pendre	1654
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000602	Cefn Cribwr	1180
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000612	Llangynwyd	2351
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000614	Maesteg West	4327
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000613	Maesteg East	3741
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000601	Caerau	4795
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000611	Llangewydd and Brynhyfryd	1878
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000603	Cefn Glas	1360
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000897	Bryntirion, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr	6574
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000608	Hendre	3175
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000607	Felindre	2087
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000618	Newton	3035
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000598	Bryncethin	1261
WalesPlan1	17	Bridgend	75706	W06000013	Bridgend	W05000623	Penprysg	2474
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000545	St. Thomas	5514
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000960	Bonymaen	5391
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000964	Cwmbwrla	5622
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000969	Landore	4821
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000972	Morrison	12105
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000973	Mynyddbach	6625
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000961	Castle	10312
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000979	Townhill	5592
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000975	Penderry	7397
WalesPlan1	18	Swansea East	74486	W06000011	Swansea	W05000971	Llansamlet	11107
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000959	Bishopston	2743
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000524	Gower	2990
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000525	Gowerton	3978
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000537	Newton	2894
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000538	Oystermouth	3313
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000550	West Cross	5142
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000966	Fairwood	2278
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000974	Penclawdd	2932
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000977	Pennard	2229
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000968	Kingsbridge	3506
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000546	Sketty	11304
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000527	Killay South	1857
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000534	Mayals	2148
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000965	Dunvant	3494
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000526	Killay North	2031
WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000963	Cockett	10473

WalesPlan1	19	Gower and Swansea West	74146	W06000011	Swansea	W05000548	Uplands	10834
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000479	Hengoed	3352
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000460	Bigyn	4544
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000461	Burry Port	3278
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000470	Dafen	2456
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000471	Elli	2357
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000472	Felinfoel	1334
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000475	Glanymor	4312
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000478	Hendy	2697
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000480	Kidwelly	2818
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000495	Llannon	4079
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000498	Liedi	3825
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000499	Llwynhendy	3010
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000501	Pembrey	3417
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000504	Pontyberem	2154
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000509	Swiss Valley	2097
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000511	Trimsaran	1887
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000512	Tycroes	1862
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000513	Tyisha	2390
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05001002	Bynea	3282
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05001003	Llangennech	3954
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000494	Llangyndeyrn	2905
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000011	Swansea	W05000532	Lower Loughor	1795
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000011	Swansea	W05000980	Upper Loughor	2146
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000011	Swansea	W05000978	Penyrheol	4621
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000476	Glyn	1661
WalesPlan1	20	Llanelli	76139	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000477	Gorslas	3906
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000457	Abergwili	1912
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000458	Ammanford	1984
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000459	Betws	1825
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000473	Garnant	1558
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000474	Glanamman	1802
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000483	Llanddarog	1678
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000484	Llandeilo	2307
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000486	Llandybie	3277
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000488	Llanfihangel Aberbythych	1503
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000490	Llangadog	1629
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000500	Manordeilo and Salem	1816
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000502	Penygroes	2347
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000503	Pontamman	2092
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000505	Quarter Bach	2218
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000508	Saron	3353
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000496	Llansteffan	1656
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000465	Cardmarthen Town West	3601
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000468	Cynwyl Elfed	2468
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000463	Cardmarthen Town North	3691
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000481	Laugharne Township	2161
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000506	St. Clears	2500
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000510	Trelech	1754
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000482	Llanboidy	1705
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000464	Cardmarthen Town South	2746
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000514	Whitland	1830
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000485	Llandovery	1963
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000467	Cilcwm	1175
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000487	Llanegwad	2040
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000507	St. Ishmael	2318
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000493	Llangunmor	2077
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000469	Cynwyl Gaeo	1351
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000497	Llanybydder	2027
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000489	Llanfihangel-ar-Arth	2196
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000491	Llangeler	2772
WalesPlan1	21	Cardmarthen	75001	W06000010	Cardiganshire	W05000466	Cenarth	1669
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000381	Llanfihangel Ystrad	1666
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000357	Aberaeron	1088
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000358	Aberporth	1839
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000359	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Mwldan	1522
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000360	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Rhyd-y-Fuwch	895
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000361	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Teifi	824
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000362	Aberystwyth Bronglais	936
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000363	Aberystwyth Canol/Central	1358
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000364	Aberystwyth Gogledd/North	1478
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000365	Aberystwyth Penparcau	2084
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000366	Aberystwyth Rheidol	1776
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000367	Beulah	1413
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000368	Borth	1677
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000369	Capel Dewi	1068
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000370	Ceulanamaesmawr	1551
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000371	Ciliau Aeron	1613
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000372	Faenor	1985
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000373	Lampeter	1660
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000374	Llanarth	1222
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000375	Llanbadarn Fawr - Padarn	767
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000376	Llanbadarn Fawr - Sulien	973
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000377	Llandyfriog	1466
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000378	Llandysiliogogo	1653
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000379	Llandysul Town	1067
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000380	Llanfarian	1193
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000382	Llangeitho	1168
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000383	Llangybi	1186
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000384	Llanrhystyd	1255
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000385	Llansantffraed	1935

WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000386	Llanwenog	1419
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000387	Lledrod	1812
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000388	Melindwr	1578
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000389	New Quay	810
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000390	Penbryn	1762
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000391	Pen-parc	1933
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000392	Tirymynach	1403
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000393	Trefeurig	1382
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000394	Tregaron	951
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000395	Troedyraur	1110
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000008	Ceredigion	W05000396	Ystwyth	1673
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000949	Cilgerran	1594
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000402	Clydau	1189
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000950	Crymych	2099
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000448	St. Dogmaels	1775
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000422	Maenclochog	2462
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000434	Newport	878
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000951	Dinas Cross	1313
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000451	Scleddau	1158
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000421	Llanrhian	1232
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000447	St. David's	1521
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000407	Fishguard North West	1208
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000406	Fishguard North East	1495
WalesPlan1	22	Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire	75584	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000408	Goodwick	1509
WalesPlan1	22	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000956	Llangwm	1814
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000409	Haverfordwest: Castle	1674
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000410	Haverfordwest: Garth	1660
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000413	Haverfordwest: Priory	1907
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000415	Johnston	2044
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000425	Merlin's Bridge	1619
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000426	Milford: Central	1578
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000427	Milford: East	1571
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000428	Milford: Hakin	1774
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000429	Milford: Hubberston	2004
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000430	Milford: North	2047
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000431	Milford: West	1523
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000435	Neyland: East	1793
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000436	Neyland: West	1590
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000449	St. Ishmael's	1125
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000455	The Havens	1196
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000456	Wiston	1581
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000947	Burton	1503
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000953	Haverfordwest: Portfield	1765
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000954	Haverfordwest: Prendergast	1628
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000958	Rudbaxton	945
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000452	Solva	1274
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000419	Letterston	1873
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000948	Camrose	2190
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000438	Pembroke Dock: Llanion	1915
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000439	Pembroke Dock: Market	1357
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000440	Pembroke Dock: Pennar	2442
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000437	Pembroke Dock: Central	1091
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000442	Pembroke: St. Mary North	1675
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000441	Pembroke: Monkton	1022
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000414	Hundleton	1416
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000443	Pembroke: St. Mary South	1063
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000444	Pembroke: St. Michael	2082
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000957	Martletwy	1603
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000400	Carew	1179
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000423	Manorbier	1655
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000418	Lamphey	1429
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05001037	Penally	1398
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05001038	Tenby: North	1658
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000454	Tenby: South	1664
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000955	Kilgetty/Begelly	1830
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000450	Saundersfoot	1904
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000952	East Williamston	1965
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000433	Narberth Rural	1293
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000417	Lampeter Velfrey	1284
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000432	Narberth	1704
WalesPlan1	23	Mid and South Pembrokeshire	75299	W06000009	Pembrokeshire	W05000946	Amroth	992
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000053	Bryn-crug/Llanfihangel	772
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000041	Aberdaron	698
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000042	Aberdovey	907
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000043	Abererch	986
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000044	Abermaw	1591
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000045	Abersoch	519
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000047	Bala	1413
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000050	Botwnnog	734
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000051	Bowydd and Rhiw	1235
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000052	Brithdir and Llanfachreth/Ganllwyd/Llanelltyd	1132
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000055	Clynog	736
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000056	Corris/Mawddwy	1023
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000057	Criccieth	1280
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000062	Diffwys and Maenofferen	779
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000063	Dolbenmaen	900
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000064	Dolgellau North	953
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000065	Dolgellau South	1072
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000066	Dyffryn Ardudwy	1169
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000067	Efail-newydd/Buan	1026
WalesPlan1	24	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803	W06000002	Gwynedd	W05000072	Harlech	1516

WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000075 Llanaelhaearn	1187
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000076 Llanbedr	768
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000077 Llanbedrog	709
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000079 Llandderfel	1135
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000080 Llanengan	847
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000081 Llangelynin	1625
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000084 Llanuwchllyn	686
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000086 Llanystumdwy	1547
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000090 Morfa Nefyn	945
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000091 Nefyn	1003
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000095 Penrhyndeudraeth	1826
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000098 Porthmadog East	1178
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000099 Porthmadog West	1329
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000100 Porthmadog-Tremadog	933
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000101 Pwllheli North	1528
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000102 Pwllheli South	1310
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000105 Teigl	1355
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000106 Trawsfynydd	1088
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000108 Tudweiliog	668
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000109 Tywyn	2476
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000110 Waunfawr	1298
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000104 Talsarn	1399
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000049 Bontnewydd	865
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000103 Seiont	2233
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000054 Cadnant	1514
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000058 Cwm-y-Glo	753
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000089 Menai (Caernarfon)	1724
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000071 Groeslon	1374
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000082 Llanllyfni	915
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000085 Llanwnda	1507
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000097 Penygroes	1369
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000093 Peblig (Caernarfon)	1603
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000078 Llanberis	1613
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000111 Y Felin heli	1803
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000083 Llanrug	1396
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000048 Bethel	1025
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000094 Penisarwaun	1365
WalesPlan1	24 Dwyfor Meirionnydd	69803 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000060 Deiniolen	1463
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000113 Betws-y-Coed	967
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000115 Bryn	1390
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000116 Caerhun	1677
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000117 Capelulo	1284
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000119 Conwy	3295
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000121 Crwst	1581
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000123 Eglwysbach	1257
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000127 Gogarth	2795
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000128 Gower	850
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000133 Llansanffraid	1814
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000138 Mostyn	2758
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000139 Pandy	1463
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000140 Pant-yr-afon/Penmaenan	2167
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000146 Trefriw	1026
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000148 Uwch Conwy	1276
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000913 Craig-y-Don	2685
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000914 Deganwy	3289
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000915 Marl	3539
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000916 Penrhyn	3874
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000917 Pensarn	2274
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000918 Tudno	3591
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000046 Arllechwedd	1091
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000069 Gerlan	1696
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000107 Tregarth & Mynydd Llandygai	1628
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000092 Ogwen	1697
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000061 Dewi	1301
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000087 Marchog	1579
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000059 Deiniol	920
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000070 Glyder	1257
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000068 Garth	556
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000073 Hendre	940
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000088 Menai (Bangor)	1548
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000074 Hirael	1066
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000131 Llandrillo yn Rhos	6110
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000137 Mochdre	1425
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000132 Llangernyw	1104
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000003 Conwy	W05000149 Uwchaled	1139
WalesPlan1	25 Bangor and Conwy	72068 W06000002 Gwynedd	W05000096 Pentir	2159
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000316 Llanellwedd	987
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000284 Aber-craf	1143
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000286 Beguildy	1135
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000289 Bronllys	1060
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000290 Builth	1849
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000291 Bwlch	800
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000294 Crickhowell	2410
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000295 Cwm-twrch	1557
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000296 Disserth and Trecoed	1055
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000298 Felin-fâcch	1102
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000301 Glasbury	1901
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000303 Gwernyfed	1178
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000304 Hay	1355
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000306 Knighton	2296
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000307 Llanafanfawr	1141

WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000308 Llanbadarn Fawr	925
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000311 Llandrindod East/Llandrindod West	949
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000312 Llandrindod North	1517
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000313 Llandrindod South	1726
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000320 Llangattock	762
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000321 Llangors	901
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000322 Llangunllo	1071
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000328 Llanwrtyd Wells	1450
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000329 Llanyre	978
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000331 Maescar/Llywel	1405
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000334 Nantmel	1243
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000340 Old Radnor	1375
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000341 Presteigne	2174
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000342 Rhayader	1589
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000344 St. David Within	1263
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000345 St. John	2365
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000346 St. Mary	2194
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000347 Talgarth	1305
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000349 Tawe-Uchaf	1763
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000354 Ynyscedwyn	1705
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000355 Yscir	888
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000356 Ystradgynlais	1935
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000893 Llangynidr	865
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000894 Talybont-on-Usk	1537
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000288 Blaen Hafren	1876
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000324 Llandiloes	2149
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000310 Llandinam	1155
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000305 Kerry	1607
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000336 Newtown East	1401
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000337 Newtown Llanllwchaearn North	1796
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000335 Newtown Central	2122
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000293 Churchstoke	1292
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000339 Newtown South	1215
WalesPlan1	26 Brecon and Radnor	70914 W06000023 Powys	W05000338 Newtown Llanllwchaearn West	1447
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000245 Dyffryn Ceiriog/Ceiriog Valley	1685
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000159 Llandrillo	931
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000151 Corwen	1799
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000162 Llangollen	3302
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000285 Banwy	847
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000300 Glantwymyn	1701
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000330 Machynlleth	1701
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000309 Llanbrynmair	798
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000292 Caersws	1831
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000343 Rhiwcyonon	1724
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000299 Forden	1215
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000287 Berriew	1102
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000333 Montgomery	1107
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000351 Welshpool Castle	962
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000353 Welshpool Llanerchydol	1602
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000317 Llanfair Caereinion	1301
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000302 Guilsfield	1827
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000318 Llanfihangel	895
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000325 Llanwddyn	846
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000326 Llanrhaeadr-ym-Mochnant/Llansilin	1815
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000319 Llanfyllin	1217
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000327 Llansantffraid	1563
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000332 Meifod	1069
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000315 Llandysilio	1441
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000314 Llandrinio	1763
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000352 Welshpool Gungrog	1995
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000350 Trevern	1066
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000003 Conwy	W05000114 Betws yn Rhos	1623
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000003 Conwy	W05000134 Llansannan	1495
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000163 Llanrhaeadr-yng-Nghinmeirch	1496
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000156 Efenechtyd	1321
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000161 Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwern	1830
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000175 Ruthin	4260
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000158 Llanbedr Dyffryn Clwyd/Llangynhafal	1170
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000157 Llanarmon-yn-Ial/Llandegla	2033
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000160 Llandyrnog	1765
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000153 Denbigh Lower	3483
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000152 Denbigh Central	1462
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000154 Denbigh Upper/Henllan	2265
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000178 Trefnant	1503
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000179 Tremerchion	1344
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000177 St. Asaph West	1290
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000176 St. Asaph East	1472
WalesPlan1	27 Denbigh and Montgomery	70569 W06000023 Powys	W05000297 Dolforwyn	1652
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000144 Rhwi	4991
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000118 Colwyn	3373
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000126 Glyn	3088
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000135 Llysfaen	1906
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000143 Pentre Mawr	2861
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000112 Abergele Pensarn	1959
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000130 Llanddulas	1353
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000125 Gele	3997
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000124 Eirias	2800
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000129 Kinmel Bay	4607
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000003 Conwy	W05000145 Towyn	1845
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000150 Bodelwyddan	1612
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000169 Rhuddlan	2913

WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000155 Dyserth	1882
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000172 Rhyl South East	6253
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000171 Rhyl South	2874
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000174 Rhyl West	3283
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000170 Rhyl East	3693
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000173 Rhyl South West	3732
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000167 Prestatyn North	4729
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000165 Prestatyn East	3162
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000164 Prestatyn Central	2829
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000166 Prestatyn Meliden	1529
WalesPlan1	28 Rhyl and Colwyn	74132 W06000004 Denbighshire	W05000168 Prestatyn South West	2861
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000236 Whitford	1911
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000199 Ffynnongroyw	1474
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000200 Flint Castle	1426
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000201 Flint Coleshill	2938
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000202 Flint Oakenholt	2538
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000203 Flint Trelawny	2710
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000204 Greenfield	1983
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000205 Gronant	1257
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000206 Gwernaffield	1646
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000207 Gwernymynydd	1399
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000211 Holywell Central	1465
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000212 Holywell East	1383
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000213 Holywell West	1762
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000218 Mold Broncoed	2134
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000220 Mold South	2201
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000221 Mold West	1956
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000222 Mostyn	1458
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000224 Northop	2596
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000225 Northop Hall	1398
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000234 Trelawnyd and Gwaenysgor	1496
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001005 Halkyn	1427
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001009 Mold East	1556
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000182 Bagillt East	1413
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000183 Bagillt West	1625
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000186 Brynford	1789
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000192 Caerwys	2050
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000193 Cilcain	1519
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000223 New Brighton	2414
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001008 Leeswood	1627
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000180 Argoed	2167
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000189 Buckley Mountain	2555
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000187 Buckley Bistre East	2653
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000198 Ewloe	4327
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000190 Buckley Pentrobin	4181
WalesPlan1	29 Delyn	71616 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000188 Buckley Bistre West	3182
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001007 Hope	2042
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000209 Hawarden	1623
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000216 Llanfynydd	1483
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000217 Mancot	2516
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000227 Queensferry	1248
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000228 Saltney Mold Junction	1100
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000229 Saltney Stonebridge	2672
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000230 Sealand	2026
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000231 Shotton East	1219
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000232 Shotton Higher	1669
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000233 Shotton West	1464
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000235 Treuddyn	1346
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001004 Broughton North East	1723
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001006 Higher Kinnerton	1373
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001010 Penryffordd	3543
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05001036 Broughton South	3325
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000181 Aston	2508
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000191 Caergwrle	1225
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000194 Connah's Quay Central	2213
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000195 Connah's Quay Golfryn	3688
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000196 Connah's Quay South	4494
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000005 Flintshire	W05000197 Connah's Quay Wepre	1647
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000278 Rossett	2643
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000263 Llay	3513
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000266 Marford and Hoseley	1824
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000907 Gresford East and West	2337
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000910 Minera	1870
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000903 Brymbo	3021
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000904 Bryn Cefn	1543
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000256 Gwersyllt North	1995
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000257 Gwersyllt West	2263
WalesPlan1	30 Alyn and Deeside	70757 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000908 Gwersyllt East and South	3601
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000265 Marchwiell	1830
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000239 Bronington	2620
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000250 Esclusham	2013
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000254 Gwenfro	1217
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000260 Johnstown	2461
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000270 Overton	2710
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000271 Pant	1528
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000272 Penrycae	1525
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000275 Ponciau	3521
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000279 Ruabon	2078
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000906 Coedpoeth	3482
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000909 Holt	2479
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000237 Acton	2177

WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000238 Borras Park	1968
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000241 Brynyffynnon	2477
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000249 Erddig	1444
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000251 Garden Village	1656
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000253 Grosvenor	1698
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000258 Hermitage	1544
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000261 Little Acton	1843
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000264 Maesydre	1420
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000269 Offa	1428
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000276 Queensway	1377
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000280 Smithfield	1376
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000281 Stansty	1641
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000283 Wynnstay	1159
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000905 Cartrefle	1545
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000911 Rhosnesni	2827
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000912 Whitegate	1594
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000246 Chirk North	1846
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000273 Penycae and Ruabon South	2026
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000247 Chirk South	1503
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000244 Cefn	3768
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000274 Plas Madoc	1169
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000262 Llangollen Rural	1631
WalesPlan1	31 Wrexham	71423 W06000006 Wrexham	W05000268 New Broughton	2842

BCW-9953 / [REDACTED] RCT Conservative Federation / Pontypridd

1. RCT Conservatives are supportive of the balancing of electorate across Parliamentary constituencies and appreciate the need therefore for the creation and deletion of constituencies across the United Kingdom to ensure parity of the levels of electorate. We support the reduction of parliamentary constituencies in Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough from 3 to 2 as we believe it is necessary and proportionate.

2. Rhondda Constituency – We have read the proposals and support them in their entirety.

3. Pontypridd Constituency – We agree with the proposals, with the exception of the arrangements for the Pont-y-Clun, Aberaman North and Aberaman South wards.

Pont-y-Clun ward forms part of Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough and falls outside of the boundary of the County of Cardiff, and North of the normalised border formed by the M4 motorway. It is a semi-rural town with no links to urban Cardiff. We believe it is not appropriate to place Pont-y-Clun within the constituency of Cardiff West.

Aberaman North and Aberaman South have close links with the town of Aberdare which would be broken under the new proposals. The wards have no real ties with Pontypridd town, and currently lie within the (to be deleted) Cynon Valley constituency.

We therefore propose that Aberaman North and Aberaman South be placed within the Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare Constituency, and Pont-y-Clun be placed with Pontypridd constituency. This arrangement would form a Pontypridd constituency of 70148 electors, meeting the statutory electorate range, maintaining local ties and preserving local government boundaries.

BCW-9954 / [REDACTED] / Llandysul

This change has been considered twice before and should finally be implemented, as is long overdue. this will make all constituencies a similar size thereby making each constituency more equal with regard to the voting populations in each and would lessen any temptations for gerrymandering.

BCW-9955 / [REDACTED] / Lampeter

Having lived in this area for over 40 years I support the new boundary because it represents a fair distribution of the population to constituency.

BCW-9956 / [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

I would like to support the proposal.

BCW-9957 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I am a Sketty resident and an active member of the Swansea Muslim communities. Professionally my role involved facilitating the delivery of community cohesion programme across the Western Bay area.

My counter-proposal is for a new Swansea West and Gower constituency of 76,071 voters (75214 + 26,738 – 25,881) arising from the changes to the Boundary Commission proposals listed below:

1. Add Uplands (10,834), Castle (10,312), and Townhill (5592), comprising 26,738 voters to the BCW proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency
2. Remove Penyrheol (4,621), Lower Loughor (1,795), Upper Loughor (2,146), Gorseinon (3,340), Kingsbridge (3,506) and Cockett (10,473) comprising 25,881 voters from the BCW proposed Swansea West & Gower constituency.

This means the new Swansea Central and North constituency will have 75,342 voters (76199 – 26,738 + 25,881).

This counterproposal :

- is geographically cohesive as it continues to use the River Tawe and coastline as natural boundaries with the revised Swansea West and Gower running along the coast from west of the River Tawe through Castle, Townhill, Uplands, through Sketty and Mayals to the Gower peninsular.
- respects existing boundaries – keeping Dunvant, Killay South, and Killay North as well as Mayals and Sketty joined with Uplands, Townhill, and Castle to the River Tawe and embraces the Gower Peninsula whilst creating a coherent Swansea North seat.
- reinforces local ties – community, work, leisure, and services - in all key areas including our two universities, students' accommodation, Singleton Hospital, the Council and services, key regeneration development such as city centre, maximising convenience nicely together in one constituency
- would be a great fit with the Senedd constituency representation
- All these wards have a natural fit and are closely aligned with each other
- Sketty, Uplands, and Castle Wards are heavily populated by Swansea's Muslim communities, both the Central Mosque, Shia Mosque, and a new proposed

Islamic Community Centre will be in this geography in Sketty. The Muslim community is until now represented by one MP and MS. These wards are also heavily populated by other minority groups and would be a shame if we split them into two different constituencies.

- This counterproposal provides the best fit for urban/rural constituency and doesn't split the key Swansea urban area west of the River Tawe into two different constituencies.

I have also discussed these issues and this counterproposal with other key people within the communities and they are fully supporting this revised proposal.



BCW-9958 / Cllr Rob James / Llanelli

I support the Commission's proposals for Carmarthenshire seat, with the exception of including Llangunnor in the Llanelli constituency.

The proximity of the ward (Llangunnor) to the town of Carmarthen is closer than almost all other wards in the county. There are strong school and work links between Carmarthen and Llangunnor, with parents sending their children to schools in the town and working in the town. There are also strong transport links between Llangunnor ward and Carmarthen, with the Carmarthen train station falling in the Llangunnor ward. Parc Pensan falls in the Llangunnor ward, with a thriving business park that many residents consider to be an important part of Carmarthen town.

It is for these reasons that I believe Llangunnor should be included in the new Carmarthenshire constituency. I believe the ward could be moved between constituencies, with the electorate number for both constituencies remaining in the permitted range.

I support all other recommendations in Carmarthenshire.

Cllr Rob James

Carmarthenshire Labour Leader

BCW-9959 / [REDACTED] / Llanelli

An alternative proposal to the Swansea West and Gower and Swansea Central and North constituency is:

A new Swansea West and Gower constituency would have 76,071 voters (75214 + 26,738 – 25,881) with a breakdown as below:

1. Add Uplands (10,834), Castle (10,312), and Townhill (5592), comprising 26,738 voters to the BCW proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency
2. While removing Penyrheol (4,621), Lower Loughor (1,795), Upper Loughor (2,146), Gorseinon (3,340), Kingsbridge (3,506) and Cockett (10,473) comprising 25,881 voters from the BCW proposed Swansea West & Gower constituency.

This means the new Swansea Central and North constituency will have 75,342 voters (76199 – 26,738 + 25,881).

It ensures that minority communities are not divided between constituencies, that it will ensure that students living in the area do not study in one constituency whilst live in another despite being minutes apart and considers transport links within the area.

BCW-9960 / Cllr Stephen Griffiths / Dinas Powys

Dear whom it may concern,

I have reservations in the regarding of Dinas Powys and surrounding areas to become part of the Cardiff South and Penarth constituency.

My main concern is that currently the Vale of Glamorgan, in terms of constituency, is very close to the suggested size as laid out by the boundary commission. So why move Dinas Powys to Cardiff South and Penarth, who historically has had a much closer association with the Vale? I believe we are harming a community by severing links to Barry and the Vale to awkwardly appease constituency size commitments of Northern Cardiff, which I believe to be unfair and undemocratic.

The administrative base for Dinas Powys is Barry, where the local Minor Injuries unit also is based. It is easier for Dinas residents to travel to Barry for key services, than it is to travel into Cardiff. There is a natural link between both areas. I feel that by retaining Dinas Powys within the Vale of Glamorgan constituency will ensure those bonds remain.

Thank you,

Cllr Stephen Griffiths

BCW-9961 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

Dear Sir/Madam

As you can see from my personal details I live in the constituency of Caerphilly in the Morgan Jones ward.

I want to raise strong objections to the proposed changes to the boundaries which will join us with parts of Newport. Although I only live about 11 miles from Newport it is not and never has been my 'go to town'. I am unable to get directly by train to Newport but would have to travel via Cardiff making it a considerably longer journey.

My primary links are with Cardiff for social and entertainment. It would not enter my mind to do these things in Newport.

Bus and rail links with Cardiff are good and means I can leave my car at home.

I cannot speak for all Caerphilly residents but I am sure many will be not consider having much in common with Newport.

There are other parts this constituency that have historic links with that part of the former Gwent.

This has to be one of the the most egregious decisions being made in the boundary changes so far. i hope that with consideration of comments made these proposal will not go ahead.

[REDACTED]

BCW-9962 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

As a resident of St Martins ward Caerphilly I am furious at the initial proposal to divide the constituency. I strongly object to the proposal that the present Caerphilly Constituency be linked to the west of the current Newport West constituency to form a new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly. Living here in St Martins ward I am much nearer to Cardiff, where the public transport links are far better than those with Newport and I have never identified myself with Newport. In fact I would have to travel to Cardiff first in order to get to Newport by train, the public transport could be better The train service from Caerphilly to Cardiff is very good , linking up to larger surrounding towns and cities. The bus service to the hospitals in Newport is poor and unreliable. There are many other areas of Islwyn which would have much better links to Newport and so readily identify with the city.

I am requesting the commission to look once more at the proposals for this change which would reflect the feelings and wishes of the residents living in St Martins.

Wayne David MP has made a detailed viable counter proposal to that effect, which I hope you will be able to study

BCW-9963/ [REDACTED] / Rhos on Sea

I strongly object to Rhos on Sea being included into the new boundary of Aberconwy. It seems as always North Wales is getting hit whilst down around Cardiff and the Valleys do not. There are more constituencies there that should be amalgamated to make a fairer representation across North and South Wales.

BCW-9964/ [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

In regards to the redrawing of constituency boundaries, I disagree wholeheartedly with the fragmentation of Caerphilly constituency into 4 different constituencies. As a resident of Caerphilly Town, I am particularly disappointed that the 6 wards that make up Caerphilly itself will be merged with Newport West.

Having discussed this with friends and family, I am not alone in the opinion that we in Caerphilly have next to no connections with Newport at all. We don't share a postcode or a phone number, unlike other parts of south east Wales. More importantly, I have lived in Caerphilly for all 24 years of my life, and I could count on one hand the number of times I have gone to Newport. This is not a unique experience. We in Caerphilly would never think to venture to Newport, along the single winding road that connects us or on the incredibly infrequent and unreliable bus service, when we have Cardiff right on our doorsteps. One would be hard pressed to find anyone in Caerphilly who believes we are a part of Newport, or share anything in common with them. In order to travel by train to Newport, one would have to travel south into Cardiff before traveling back out again to Newport. This can take up to an hour, and costs more than £10 one way. Again, why would Caerphilly town share a constituency with places that are almost impossible to reach and where we as constituents would never go.

In addition to this, and for similar reasons, splitting up Caerphilly constituency is illogical. We share far more in the way of leisure and other resources with towns and villages further up the Rhymney valley. One example is the school which I attended, Ysgol Gyfun Cwm Rhymni. When I was a pupil there, we travelled up the valley to the Gellihaf site every school day, making friends and feeling at home in many parts of the constituency. Now there is a new school in Caerphilly town itself, however it is still a site of Ysgol Gyfun Cwm Rhymni, and maintains many ties with the Gellihaf site with pupils travelling between the two for lessons. Why attempt to sever these ties that many Caerphilly constituents already have?

I understand that Wayne David MP has submitted a counter proposal, which takes into account all of the issues surrounding Caerphilly's proposed merger with Newport West while viably maintaining the number of electors required per constituency.

BCW-9965/ [REDACTED] / Prestatyn

I do not think my town [Prestatyn] - a tourist area on the coast firmly and strongly linked with other North Wales tourist towns, Rhyl and Towyn in particular, and situated just north of a large rural and agricultural area has never felt akin to more industrial towns the Boundary Commission suggests it should join.

There exist very strong community links between the coastal towns mentioned above - especially between Rhyl and Prestatyn.

To have these towns in separate constituencies cannot make social sense.

BCW-9966/ [REDACTED] / Bangor

You cannot spilt a town in half!!! Absolutely ridiculous how will this be resourced. You will rip the heart out of the city.

This cannot happen

BCW-9967/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

Counterproposal is for a new Swansea West and Gower constituency of 76,071 voters (75214 + 26,738 – 25,881) arising from the changes to the Boundary Commission proposals listed below

1. Add Uplands (10,834), Castle (10,312), and Townhill (5592), comprising 26,738 voters to the BCW proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency

2. Remove Penyrheol (4,621), Lower Loughor (1,795), Upper Loughor (2,146), Gorseinon (3,340), Kingsbridge (3,506) and Cockett (10,473) comprising 25,881 voters from the BCW proposed Swansea West & Gower constituency.

This means the new Swansea Central and North constituency will have 75,342 voters (76199 – 26,738 + 25,881).

This counterproposal

- is geographically cohesive as it continues to use the River Tawe and coastline as natural boundaries with the revised Swansea West and Gower running along the coast from west of the River Tawe through Castle, Townhill, Uplands, through Sketty and Mayals to the Gower peninsular.
- respects existing boundaries – keeping Dunvant, Killay South and Killay North as well as Mayals and Sketty joined with Uplands, Townhill and Castle to the river Tawe and embraces the Gower Peninsula whilst creating a coherent Swansea North seat.
- reinforces local ties – community, work, leisure and services - in all key areas including our two universities, students' accommodation, Singleton Hospital, the Council and services, key regeneration development such as city centre, maximising convenience nicely together in one constituency
- would be a great fit with the Senedd constituency representation
- All these wards have a natural fit and are closely aligned with each other
- Sketty, Uplands, and Castle Wards are heavily populated by Swansea's Muslim communities, both the Central Mosque, Shia Mosque, and a new proposed Islamic Community Centre will be in Sketty. The majority of the Muslim community is until now represented by one MP and MS. These wards are also heavily populated by other minority groups and would be a shame if we split them into two different constituencies.
- This counterproposal provides the best fit for urban/rural constituency and doesn't split the key Swansea urban area west of the River Tawe into two different

constituencies.

- It has the support of various communities

Dunvant ward essentially has two 'sides'- one which more naturally flows into Killay and onto Sketty, Uplands and the City Centre, and the other which has more connections with Gower. This proposal therefore makes more sense for the people of Dunvant and Killay.

A possible alternative counter-proposal which should be considered is as follows: The current BCW proposal essentially cuts the central urban footprint of Swansea West in half and puts a rural part of Gower on top of one half. This divides urban communities in the current constituency and instead joins up half of the urban footprint with an unconnected rural area of Gower.

The existing Swansea West constituency runs westwards from the River Tawe with an urban footprint along the coast that reaches into the Gower.

The counterproposal below therefore aims to reconnect local ties and convenience, to reflect the geography and respect existing boundaries. In summary this comprises:-

1. Keeping the four wards in the original Gower seat– Pontardulais (4,954), Mawr (1,438), Llangyfelach (3,946) and Penllergaer (2,553), comprising 12891 voters - within the Swansea West & Gower constituency instead of them being moved into Swansea Central and North
2. Moving two wards originally in the Swansea West constituency - Sketty (11,304) and Mayals (2,148) comprising 13452 voters - to rejoin Uplands in Swansea Central and North
3. Moving Morriston (12,105) from Swansea Central and North into Swansea East and Neath in exchange for Bonymaen (5391) and St.Thomas (5514).
4. This would give the new Swansea West and Gower constituency 74653 voters (75214 – 13452 from Sketty/Mayals + 12891 from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach & Penllergaer)
5. And the new Swansea Central and North would have 75,560 voters (76199 - 13452 +1289 -12,105 + 5391+5514)

This would have the following implications for the statutory factors that will be considered:-

Special geographical considerations

Unlike the existing BCW proposal, the counterproposal does not take a rural part of the established rural constituency of Gower and put it on top of an urban area; nor does it cut away part of the established urban constituency of Swansea West and put it in a rural area with a dividing line cutting through a continuous urban footprint in a way that local people would find hard to understand.

The coast and the River Tawe are natural boundaries for the existing Swansea West constituency. West of the River Tawe, the Castle, Uplands, Sketty and Mayals wards run seamlessly into each and are geographically contiguous along the coast. Therefore, they should remain connected together within the same constituency. Adding St.Thomas and Bonymaen would continue the coastal frontage to the east of the River Tawe.

Existing boundaries

Therefore the counterproposal more greatly respects the existing and established constituency boundaries of Gower and Swansea West by repatriating wards exchanged between them. All wards fall within the City and County of Swansea local authority.

Local ties

Mayals is in essence part of Sketty and Sketty is closely integrated with Uplands and Castle for work, leisure, shopping and transport routes and connections.

Swansea University campus is in Sketty and its student population primarily lives in the Uplands and Castle wards. Therefore, students and other communities cross the Sketty/Uplands boundary on a daily basis for work, study, leisure and worship.

Swansea's Muslim population is concentrated in Swansea West, largely in Sketty, Uplands and Castle, so Swansea Mosque's worshippers are primarily represented by one MP. Similarly, church congregations migrate seamlessly across Uplands and Sketty.

Therefore, separating Sketty from Uplands and Castle could cause confusion and undermine local ties across established communities.

Similarly, communities in from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach and Penllergaer, currently in the Gower, are rural and semi-rural, and don't consider themselves as part of the urban city centre for community identity but can look towards Llanelli, Neath and Gower.

Inconvenience

It follows from the above that the unamended BCW proposal would create avoidable inconvenience by splitting the student, Muslim and other communities.

Inconvenience would arise from unnecessarily slicing an urban area in one constituency in two and putting half in a rural constituency and connecting the other half with rural wards separated from that rural constituency.

Therefore, this counterproposal is better in terms of convenience.

Local support for alternative

The counterproposal will command greater widespread support from communities and faith groups, in particular those linked to the city centre and businesses, the university community, and equally rural currently who do not regard themselves as closely tied to the city.

Statutory Electorate range

The electorate of the counter-proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency would be 74,653 voters and of the Swansea North and Central constituency 75,560 which would both be within the BCW range of 69,724- 77,062.

BCW-9968/ [REDACTED] / Carmarthen

Losing Llangynnwr, Llanismel and Llangyndeyrn to Llanelli would make no sense. Llangynnwr particularly has no links with Llanelli. The area of Llangynnwr lies just outside Carmarthen, and it should remain with Carmarthen. What about dividing electoral wards to obtain the specific number? If we look at the 2018 review, you chose to add the wards to the east of Llanelli. Can you not do the same again? I very much like the fact that a Welsh-only name will be used.

BCW-9969/ [REDACTED] / Newport

Firstly, I would like to state I agree with the boundary commission's proposals to ensure that the Newport City Council wards which fall within the existing Newport East constituency (Always, Beechwood, Langstone, Llanwern, Lliswerry, Ringland, St Julians and Victoria) remain in the new Newport East seat. This I welcome and support.

However, as a Newport resident and with employment directly connected to the Steel Industry I believe it will be wrong to cut a strategically important workplace like Tata steelworks – which is located within the Llanwern ward – from the Newport East constituency, where many of its workers and their families live. Llanwern High School (which is the catchment secondary school for all of the ward) falls within Ringland, which itself is a relatively short distance from the Cot Hill and Llanwern Village areas in Llanwern ward. Further to the east, the villages of Underwood and Llanmartin have always looked west to Newport rather than east to Monmouthshire - and like Bettws in Newport West, the construction of the Underwood estate in 1960s was largely intended to accommodate steelworkers at Llanwern.

Therefore, Llanwern ward is synonymous with Newport, and along with Tata Steel, is home to some of Newport's largest and most strategically important employers, for example, CAF Rail. The Glan Llyn estate which falls within the ward is a very short distance away from the Spyty Retail Park and nearby populations Lliswerry ward. Similarly, Langstone is a long-established suburb of Newport, and is home to a number of businesses which not only market themselves as being part of the city but also play a vital role within the City's economy and it will be wrong to split them between two constituencies.

Clearly, for these reasons I will urge the committee to keep these wards within a Newport seat.

BCW-9970/ [REDACTED] / Carmarthen

Pontarddulais and Ystradgynlais have no links with Brecon and Radnor.

BCW-9971/ [REDACTED] / Carmarthen

Creating a constituency as large as Ceredigion and North Pembrokeshire would make it difficult to undertake the work of an elected member. It would also be difficult to canvass.

BCW-9972/ [REDACTED] / Mold

I do NOT agree with the proposed changes to move Mynydd Isa into Alyn and Deeside.

As a resident of Mynydd Isa, we have very close links with the town of Mold. From shopping to using services, those links are long standing and strong.

It is nonsensical to break those links. Schools in Mynydd Isa are in the same cluster as those in Mold, Sychdyn etc. Equally, the South Flintshire cluster (Health landscape) covers Mold, Mynydd Isa and into Buckley. By moving Mynydd Isa away from Delyn, I fear that links will be diminished or confused.

I don't agree with extending Delyn on the West boundary into Denbighshire and yet at the same time cutting Mynydd Isa out of Delyn, when Mynydd Isa is part of Flintshire and a village on the outskirts of Mold.

I propose that Mynydd Isa remains part of Delyn.

BCW-9973/ [REDACTED] / Wrexham

I'm bewildered why this area would become Alyn and Deeside when Wrexham is just down the road. We get little to no services now and fear it'll become less with this proposed change. Is this change for changes sake? I fear this is just politicians trying to be relevant, there is no good reason for this.

BCW-9974/ [REDACTED] / Prestatyn

Dear Sir / Madam

Your boundary review is interesting and, in many parts, understood.

As a Prestatyn resident born and bred, I have lived almost 57 years in Prestatyn; as such I feel well-placed and passionate enough about the matter to put 'pen to paper' in letting you know that the suggested demarcation of my area doesn't sit at all well with me. It just doesn't fit.

Our Victorian seaside resort town and its surrounding villages such as Gronant, Talacre, Gwespyr, Ffynnongroew, Trelawnyd, Gwaenysgor and Llanasa, who share our town with us, fit well together where our residents share shopping, socialising and enjoying the nature our respective areas together as locals; as neighbours, so it makes complete sense that we are tweaked to be in the same constituency.

However, further East of Greenfield, the residents of Bagillt and Flint don't consider Prestatyn as their local town; they are affiliated to and naturally belong with Flint/Deeside; to Alyn and Deeside. To change this would be forcing together areas that have never in my lifetime considered as naturally belonging to each other or being considered as being local to each other. This would be a very unnatural bringing together of towns and their surroundings that I feel would cause far more problems than it would solve for you.

Also, never have I lived in Delyn, nor an area associated with it; never has my post code or telephone area code covered the area to the East of Greenfield that you're proposing it does; never did I go our schools have pupils from those areas; they are not areas that have overlapped before. Our main hospital and our GP surgeries and schools don't service the residents of the areas East of Greenfield; they never have; it's quite simply a separate/different area with an urban focus and its natural alignment being with the towns and villages of Alyn and Deeside.

Prestatyn has no association with the names of The Dee nor the Alyn.

I have however lived in Clwyd before, Our constituency name, if it must be changed, surely must reflect the area we live in; Clwyd East; ok; The Clwydian Range even maybe; certainly not Delyn; to us local to Prestatyn; Delyn bears no meaning to us insofar as where we live, at all. The constituency name needs a re-think please as the connotations of Delyn are of the urban, farthest north east area of our country, not the seaside area of the North Wales coast.

Many thanks for taking the time to read my opinion in this matter.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

BCW-9975/ Paul Rogers/ Brymbo

As County Councillor I have listened to the representations made by local residents in this issue. There is a significant body of opinion objecting to the proposal that Brymbo Ward be included in Alyn and Deeside.

The ward sits within the County Borough of Wrexham, has historic and strong ties with the town.

Brymbo has few economic social ties to the new constituency.

There are no major roads or transport arteries linking Brymbo with Alyn and Deeside.

There are no public transport links between Brymbo and the proposed new constituency.

Residents reliant on public transport would be forced to travel 4 miles into Wrexham by bus to travel that distance in the opposite direction if they were to attend an MP's surgery being held in a nearby village.

As County Councillor I feel that dividing the County Council area between three constituencies would be confusing for the electorate.

I propose that Brymbo and Minera Wards should be removed from the proposed new Alyn and Deeside Constituency and placed in Wrexham.

This is the unanimous view of Community Councillors representing Brymbo and urge the commission to consider our representations.

BCW-9976/ [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

As someone who grew up in Newport West and now lives in Caerphilly, I fail to see any communal ties between the two and do not think a representative could adequately support the needs of the two areas.

BCW-9977/ [REDACTED] / Aberdare

The lower Cynon Valley ie Abercwmboi & Aberaman have ALWAYS been historically connected to Aberdare and therefore not be included in the the new proposals.

BCW-9978/ [REDACTED] / Ystrad Meurig

I think the proposed boundary change represents a fair representation of the population within the constituency and will make the voting more representative in the area. I support the change.

BCW-9979/ [REDACTED] / Wrexham

I believe that the proposed changes make no sense.

I understand the methodology and overall aims, although equal sizes of constituencies will not make the Electoral System any fairer.

However, in terms of the proposed changes to Wrexham and Clwyd South I believe the changes are ill-thought out, and ignore the Local Government boundaries and local ties, including historical links, geographical factors and road links.

I accept that, from 1974 to 1997 there was a District Council known as Glyndwr. The previous footprint of Glyndwr bears only passing similarity with the future proposals.

I would suggest that the maximum effort was made to preserve the existing Wrexham County Borough boundaries.

The major roads, rivers and other topographical features are straightforward:

The River Dee, which delineates both the County Borough boundary and the national boundary between runs broadly west to east throughout the southern part of the current County Borough before turning North towards Chester.

The A 483 is a major arterial road that supports communities on both sides of the England-Wales border running from Chester to Welshpool, and beyond, in a North-South direction.

The A 5, the other key transport link, runs from Chirk to Holyhead, broadly in an East-West direction on the Welsh side of the border.

Finally, elements of the Berwyn Mountains occupy the southern part of the existing Wrexham and Denbighshire Local Authority areas.

I understand the desire to maintain a reasonably tight upper and lower limit of the size of each constituency. However, I would suggest there are two factors to bear in mind when looking at the siting of the Wrexham - Glyndwr boundary in particular:

Wrexham, singularly amongst the six proposed constituencies in North Wales, is a County, in Local Authority terms, with a clear hub. The other proposed constituencies have two or more small towns as joint focuses. It is important to maintain the integrity of Wrexham and its hinterland.

Secondly, the six North Wales constituencies proposed already contain one protected constituency, Ynys Mon, and one proposed constituency with an adult population of less than 70,000. The average constituency size across North Wales is

lower than any other area in Wales. (Both Dwyfor-Meirioneth and Montgomeryshire - Glyndwr have been treated as Mid-Wales constituencies alongside Brecon and Radnorshire and Ceredigion Preseli for these purposes.)

It could be argued that there is scope to extend the proposed Wrexham constituency by between 10,000 and 15,000 to bring the average size of North Wales constituencies to a similar level to those of other areas of Wales. I accept that this would create the largest individual constituency in Wales, but would counter-balance the smaller constituencies of Aberconwy and Ynys Mon.

Far more importantly, sensible adjustments would reflect both historical and current links to Wrexham as opposed to Welshpool.

My suggestions would be as follows:

1. Reluctantly to accept that there is a case for considering Glyn Ceiriog, the two Chirk wards and the Llangollen Rural Ward to be aligned with the A5 and thus to form part of Glyndwr along with Corwen, Llandrillo and Llangollen from the present Denbighshire Local Authority. A case might be made for also considering Overton and Maelor South, Bronington and Hanmer and Bangor-is-y-Coed to be moved to Glyndwr either due to their proximity to the River Dee or existing links to Shropshire and Montgomeryshire.
2. By way of adjustment, to maintain the integrity of the Wrexham "travel to work" area and cultural and historical links, I would have suggested that the wards of Cefn East and West, and Acrefair North, Ruabon, Pen-y-Cae and Pen-y-Cae and Ruabon South, should remain as part of the Wrexham constituency.

Finally, at the other end of the Wrexham County Borough area, I can see no logic, other than expediency, in transferring the wards of Minera and Brymbo to Alyn and Deeside. If there was a case for transferring any ward from the North of Wrexham Borough to Alyn and Deeside the ward of Rossett would be far more logical, although it would still lack integrity in terms of historical and travel links to Wrexham.

In summary, the impact of the changes I would propose would be:

To retain current Clwyd South wards in Wrexham, including Cefn, Ruabon and Pen-y-Cae, an increase of approximately 10,500.

To retain Brymbo and Minera, an increase of 5000.

To consider the transfer of additional wards from Wrexham to Montgomeryshire-Glyndwr, and Alyn and Deeside:

Rossett 2643

Overton and Maelor South 2710

Bronington and Hanmer, including Bangor-is-y-Coed 2620.

A decrease of approximately 8000.

The net impact of the proposals would be an increase of 7,500.

Whilst this would, indeed, make Wrexham a large constituency, it would, nevertheless only increase the average size of a constituency in North Wales by less than 1500, at around 72,000.

I hope you will give serious consideration to the proposals I have made based on the criteria set. It seems foolhardy to unnecessarily destroy the integrity of Local Government and Senedd constituency boundaries on the altar of arithmetic parity when equality of the size of constituencies will do absolutely nothing to improve the proportionality of results in any part of the United Kingdom.

I look forward to the next stage of Public Consultation.

3 November 2021.

[REDACTED]

Your Ref

Boundary Commission for Wales
Hastings House
Fitzalan Comi
Cai·diff CF24 OBL

Deai·Sirs

2021 BOUNDARY COMMISSION REVIEW - BRECON AND RADNOR

I am a volunteer involved in political campaigning in what is currently Brecon and Radnorshire. I have been actively involved for many years.

Whilst we are a far-flung constituency, we are also small in terms of constituent numbers and while we all have some allegiance to the status quo, time moves on.

Having studied the proposed changes I am delighted to see that the plan is to retain the ancient counties of Breconshire and Radnorshire in full. This is both important and to be welcomed.

To add the communities to the south-west to the 'patch' has considerable merit. There will be continuity between, say, Ystradgynais and Ystalyfera and Pontardawe and I believe that these proposals fit very comfortably with the Commission's remit. It will change the 'feel' of the constituency, but none the worse for that.

I am a little concerned that by calling the new constituency 'Brecon and Radnor' there may be a feeling of being 'second best' amongst the areas currently sitting in Neath. If there is a suggestion that the name should be extended to recognise this point, I do not believe that would be badly received by those within the existing constituency boundary.

Thanks to your team for all of the hard work put in to bring us to this point.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

BCW-9981/ [REDACTED] / Rhualt

From: [REDACTED]

November 2021 20:18

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Proposed Boundary Changes North Wales

[REDACTED]

I am writing to broadly support the proposed boundary changes affecting the Vale of Clwyd.

There are strong links between Rhyl where I work as a GP and the surrounding areas of Kinmel Bay, Towyn, Abergelle and Bodelwyddan, Prestatyn and into Flintshire where we have patients registered.

I think these should all be within the new constituency boundaries.

I would also like more consideration to be given to the name of the constituency; it should reflect Clwyd or Clwydian in its name.

[REDACTED]



Dr James Davies MP
Member of Parliament for Vale of Clwyd/ Dyffryn Clwyd

Boundary Commission for Wales
Hastings House
Fitzalan Court
Cardiff
CF24 0BL

By email to: bcw@boundaries.wales

2nd November 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

Many thanks for your work in undertaking a review of the Parliamentary constituency boundaries in North Wales, to accord with requirements placed on you by Parliament.

I have had the pleasure of representing the Vale of Clwyd constituency at Westminster during four of the last six and a half years. It is a seat which is in many ways coherent in its make-up and its potential division will come as a great disappointment to me, as well as to many others. I feel sure that the Commission will have studied all options to reflect the existing strong links within this north Denbighshire seat and I must emphasise that a constituency comprising of the entirety of the county of Denbighshire would contain exactly the necessary number of electors. There are also potential options around a Vale of Clwyd seat expanded to the east, as I suggested in your 2016 boundary review.

However, on the basis of the Boundary Commission's current proposals, I recognise that bringing together Rhyl with coastal communities to the west is a legitimate approach. Rhyl, Kinmel Bay and Towyn are particularly strongly linked, and are connected physically by the A548. It is also the case that many similarities exist between Bodelwyddan and Abergele, both of which are located on the A55. Likewise, there is a strong association between Denbigh and the Llansannan ward. To the north west of the proposed "Clwyd" constituency, it is currently proposed to split Rhos-on-Sea from Colwyn Bay. This will be controversial but I recognise the impact that not doing so would have on the integrity and population size of Aberconwy.

With regard to the proposed new "Delyn", it is certainly the case that Prestatyn, Meliden and Dyserth have strong connections with adjacent areas of Flintshire, including the inland villages of Gwaenysgor, Trelawnyd and Llanasa, and the coastal strip including Talacre, Gwespyr and Gronant. These communities look to Prestatyn for their shopping, education and healthcare. The main doctors' surgery in Prestatyn has a branch surgery in Ffynnongroew, and many of these communities also share postcodes and/or telephone area codes. They are joined by the A548 and A5151. The communities of Tremeirchion, Cwm and Waen link to the areas of rural Flintshire and Mold to the east, via the A55 and the A541. Finally, Llandyrnog ward has strong associations with Ruthin and its rural hinterland, including Llanbedr DC. This proposed new constituency has the makings of a viable association of rural and semi-rural communities, with a shared interest in

[Redacted signature block]



Dr James Davies MP
Member of Parliament for Vale of Clwyd/ Dyffryn Clwyd

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

tourism – except for the proposed inclusion of more urban and industrial areas on the Dee Estuary. I would agree with suggestions that the former northern boundary between West Flintshire and East Flintshire constituencies be reintroduced, in the vicinity of Greenfield/Bagillt, with areas to the east of this moving into the Alyn & Deeside seat. To partially compensate for this, it would make sense to retain the integrity of the former Delyn seat and of the Mold area, by retaining New Brighton, Argoed and Leeswood. I also support the concept, within the Conservative Party's counter-proposals, of bringing together within the seat other areas of south Denbighshire, including Llanfair DC, Corwen and Llandrillo.

I would disagree with the name "Delyn" for the seat proposed. It in fact broadly envelops the Clwydian Range and includes the major settlements of Prestatyn, Ruthin and surrounding communities which were never located within the former Delyn district of Clwyd. The name "Delyn" was formed in the 1970s by combining the names of the River Dee and the River Alyn. Prestatyn and Dyserth were located in the Rhuddlan District of Clwyd, while Ruthin and its rural areas were within the Glyndwr District of Clwyd. A more appropriate name for the seat might be "Clwyd East" or "The Clwydian Range". To take account of this, should the Commission continue with its broad intentions for the "Clwyd" seat, it might better be named "Clwyd West".

Very many thanks for your consideration of the above.

Kind regards.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

Dr James Davies MA MB BChir (Cantab)
Member of Parliament for the Vale of Clwyd

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

BCW-9983/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

I object to my area being changed and classed as Neath constituency.

BCW-9984/ [REDACTED] / Bethesda

I am concerned Bethesda is being split from Bangor and Arfon. Bethesda and Bangor are so close and always have been. Bethesda people work in Bangor, they shop in Bangor and they spend leisure time in Bangor. Why break this link and bond, Conwy is miles away separated by the Carneddau mountains!

BCW-9985/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

Its such a big area to change from swansea to neath. And the hassle it would cause by changing i.e Council tax/ council properties. I personally do not see and pros coming out of moving it

BCW-9986/ [REDACTED] / Pontyclun

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 02 November 2021 20:20

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Comment from Pontyclun Community Council

I am writing as chair of Pontyclun Community Council in response to the request for comments initial proposals for the parliamentary boundary reviews.

It is the feeling of Pontyclun Community Council the Pontyclun does not identify with the areas of Cardiff, contained within the Cardiff West area, as proposed, being a valley community with strong links to Pontypridd and the Rhondda Valleys. It is the feeling of The Council that Pontyclun would be swallowed up into the Cardiff area and lose its identity, as has happened to Creigiau and Pentyrch.

It is the belief of the council that there are other areas, closer to the City of Cardiff, that would be better served by being included in the Cardiff West region.

Regards

[REDACTED]
Cadeirydd/Chair

Cyngor Cymuned Pontyclun Community Council
[REDACTED]

BCW-9987/ [REDACTED] / Ruthin

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 November 2021 21:40
To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>
Subject: Vale of Clwyd Boundary Review.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing in reference to the proposed Boundary Reviews and particularly in respect of my own area, the Vale of Clwyd, currently represented very well by Dr. James Davies.

I understand that this is an initial Boundary Review and so will keep my comments succinct.

I live in Rhewl, part of the Llandyrnog ward. I would prefer the Vale of Clwyd seat to remain as it is.

If the area must be split, it would be wrong to name the area Delyn, when those who live in the Vale think of themselves as Clwydians and often refer to the area as Clwyd rather than Denbighshire, when stating their address. There are nowhere near the same links to villages/towns on the eastern side of the Clwydians as within the Vale. Those of us who live here, have many community and business connections within Denbighshire and fewer 'over the hills'. Clwyd East would be a more suitable name.

I would completely understand the inclusion of Ruthin, Corwen and Llandrillo within the new seat, but certainly not, rather more industrial areas, with little in common with the Vale.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

BCW-9988/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

Not enough notice has been given for people to have their say, it closes today and no one knows about it! Shame on you!

BCW-9989/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

The Ward of Llansamlet has been a part of Swansea since 1918, we have a DVLA call centre, land registry offices, a very large industrial estate, church groups and a large number of primary schools and a secondary school. The proposal has been put together on maps and figures and has not taken into account public feeling and natural boundaries like mountains and land marks. To totally oppose this change for the Ward of Llansamlet and it is a pivotal part of Swansea and so stay such.

BCW-9990 / Linda Muraca / Prestatyn

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 November 2021 21:59
To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>
Subject: Revised Parliamentary Boundary Proposals

I am a resident and Town Councillor from Prestatyn, Denbighshire. I wish to make some comments with regard to the proposed boundaries in my area. (Delyn and Clwyd)

Having worked in Kinmel Bay for over thirty years, I am familiar with the area. It is a ribbon development which merges with both Towyn and Rhyl. It makes sense for these areas to be linked. However, the population is inclined to be transient and socially problematic. Bodelwyddan and Abergele are also currently linked, as are Denbigh and Llansannan. Given the close proximity of Rhos-on-Sea and Colwyn Bay, I fear that residents will not be happy with the proposed divide.

There are strong tourist links between Prestatyn, Gronant and Talacre. There is good access to the A55 via Gwaenysgor, Llanasa and Trelawnyd. These villages contribute towards the sense of rural living.

I am familiar with the Flint and Bagillt areas having worked there in my early career. Traditionally they have had links with Prestatyn and nearby villages. Though residential in parts, there are also a number of industrial areas. I am not convinced that they should be included within the new Delyn. Areas like Leeswood, New Brighton and Argoed could remain, and Corwen, Llandrillo and Llanfair DC could also be added making this area less fragmented.

Finally for reasons of clarity and uniformity Clwyd East and West would be preferable names. Prior to the introduction of the Unitary Authorities, the County was known as Clwyd. According to Wikipedia it is a preserved County with the name being retained for certain ceremonial functions.

Linda Muraca
 Town Councillor Prestatyn East

Changes to Boundary Constituents in North Wales.

Being among one of the older resident having lived in the area most of my life and have studied the criteria that needs to be born in mind I would like to put forward the following suggestions for considerations:-

I have only taken into account the 8 Counties of North Wales and of these 8 I have seen no reason to change the make of :-

Anglesey (Stand Alone)
Dwyfor Meirionnydd
Aberconwy.

The new Clwyd I have changed and suggest that this be called Clwyd West.

The county of Delyn I have I feel is the biggest change and should possibly be known as Clwyd North.

Alyn & Deeside I have restructured

Wrexham is altered but only slightly

Montgomery the same only slightly.

All the alterations I have made are I feel in the best interest of the residents of the areas and as I said in the beginning taking in the criteria within which one is asked to work by the Boundary Commission.

The following maps will illustrate the changes.
The maps are colour coded

Montgomery is Grey
Delyn, calling Clwyd North is Blue
Clwyd, calling, Clwyd West is Green
Alyn & Deeside is Red
Wrexham is Yellow.

DELYN called new CLWYD NORTH

This will be made up from taking from Clwyd,

4, Rhyl West	2383
6, Rhyl southwest	3732
21, Rhyl South	2874
23, Rhyl East	2693
22, Rhyl South East	6253
9, Rhuddlan	2913
20, St-Asaph West	1290
3 St Asaph East	1472
Trefnant	1503
14, Denbigh Upper	2265
19, Denbigh central	1462
1, Denbigh Lower	3483

And these wards from Delyn:

13, Prestatyn North	4729
20 Prestatyn South west	2861
14, Prestatyn Central	2829
19, Prestatyn Mellidan	1529
4, Dyserth	1882
18, Prestatyn East	3162
24, Gronant	1257
16, Trelawnyd and Gwaenysgor	1496
Tremeirchion	1344
Llandyrnog	1765
Caerwys	2050
Whitford	1911
Mostyn	1458
8, Holywell West	1762
9, Greenfield	1983
30, Holywell Central	1465
26, Holywell East	1383
11, Bagillt West	1625
15, Brynford	1789

Total 69603

Page 1

CLWYD called new CLWYD WEST

7, Rhiw	4991
5, Glyw	3068
10, Eirias	2800
13, Colwyn	3373
11, Llysfaen	1906
12, Llanddulas	1353
16, Pentre Mawr	2861
8, Gele	3997
17, Abergele Pensarn	1959
18, Towyn	1845
15, Kinmel Bay	4607
2, Bodelwyddan	1612
Betws yn Rhos	1623
Llansannan	1495
Lanrhaeadr-Yng-Nghinmeirch	1496
Efenechtyd	1321
Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwern	1830
And from Delyn	
3, Llanbedr Dyffryn Clwyd/Llangynhafal	1170
5, Ruthin	4260
Cilcain	1519
Halkyn	1427
6, Gwernaffield	1646
28, Mold West	1956
23, Mold East	1556
27, Mold South	2201
29, Mold Broncoed	2134
21, Northop	2596
12, Northop Hall	1398
1, Gwernymynydd	1399
Llanarmon-yn-Ial/Llandegla	2033
From Alyn and Deeside	
Treuddyn	1346
Llanfynydd	1483
Hope	2042
19, Caergwrle	1225

Total 73527

Page 2

ALYN AND DEESIDE

From Delyn	
25, Bagillt East	1413
10, Flint Coleshill	2938
2, Flint Castle	1426
7, Flint Trelawny	2710
22, Flint Oakenholt	2538

Alyn and Deeside	
11, Connah's Quay Golftyn	3688
14, Connah's Quay Central	2213
13, Connah's Quay Wepre	1647
18, Connah's Quay South	4494
2, Aston	2508
12, Sotton West	1464
20, Shotton East	1219
7, Shotton Higher	1669
Sealand	2026
6,Queensferry	1248

5, Mancot	2516
9, Hawarden	2623
Broughton North East	1723
3, Saltney Mold Junction	1100
1, Saltney Stonebridge	2672
Ewloe	4327
17, Buckley	2555
16, Buckley Pentrobin	4181
8, Newbrighton	2414
Argoed	2167
4, Buckley Bistre West	3182
15, Buckley Bistre East	2653
Penyffordd	3543
Leeswood	2627
Higher Kinnerton	1373

Total 72856

Page 3

WREXHAM

As a whole except for

75600

Additional,

Brymbo

3021

Minera

1870

from Alyn and Deeside

Less

22, Pant

1528

and 13, Johnstown

2461

Total 75122

MONTGOMERY

In it's entirety, 72400

Additional from Wrexham,

22, Pant


1528

and 13, Johnstown

2461

Total 76352

Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr (Maldwyn a Glyndwr)




- 1 - Llanidloes (2,149)
- 2 - Newtown East (1,401)
- 3 - Newtown Llanllwchaearn North (1,796)
- 4 - Welshpool Llanerchdydol (1,602)
- 5 - Machynlleth (1,701)
- 6 - Dyffryn Ceiriog/Ceiriog Valley (1,685)
- 7 - Penycae (1,525)
- 8 - Llangollen (3,302)

- 9 - Penycae and Ruabon South (2,026)
- 10 - Chirk South (1,503)
- 11 - Ruabon (2,078)
- 12 - Llangollen Rural (1,631)
- 13 - Plas Madoc (1,169)
- 14 - Llanfair Caereinion (1,301)
- 15 - Cefn (3,768)

- 16 - Chirk North (1,846)
- 17 - Dolforwyn (1,652)
- 18 - Llandrinio (1,763)
- 19 - Newtown Llanllwchaearn West (1,447)
- 20 - Churchstoke (1,292)
- 21 - Montgomery (1,107)
- 22 - Welshpool Castle (962)
- 23 - Forden (1,215)
- 24 - Gulfsfield (1,827)
- 25 - Welshpool Gungrog (1,995)
- 26 - Trewern (1,066)
- 27 - Llanfyllin (1,217)
- 28 - Llansantffraid (1,563)
- 29 - Llandysilio (1,441)
- 30 - Newtown Central (2,122)
- 31 - Llanrhaeadr-ym-Mochnant/Llansilin (1,815)
- 32 - Newtown South (1,215)


Clwyd



Delyn

1 : 52,331


0 5 km 10 km




Alyn and Deeside (Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy)



1 : 29,986
0 2.5 km 5 km



Wrexham (Wrecsam)



© Crown copyright and database rights [2021] OS [100047875]

2021 review of the boundaries for Westminster Constituencies First Consultation response

Welsh Liberal
Democrats

Democratiaid
Rhyddfrydol Cymru



Table of Contents

Introduction.....	6
<i>Criteria for reviewing Parliamentary Constituencies.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Naming of Parliamentary Constituencies.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Summary of Proposals at Constituency Level.....</i>	<i>7</i>
Accepted proposals.....	9
Factors considered in preparing this submission.....	9
<i>Geographic integrity of historic constituencies.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Contiguous linkage at all times between parts of a constituency.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Balance of MP workload.....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Interaction with Senedd Regions.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Adoption of principles from previous reviews.....</i>	<i>10</i>
Review of individual Constituencies	11
Aberavon Porthcawl.....	11
<i>Outline Justification.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>13</i>
Aberconwy.....	14
<i>Summary of Changes.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Outline Justification.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>15</i>
Alyn and Deeside - Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy.....	16
<i>Summary of Changes.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Outline Justification.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>18</i>
Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney - Blaenau Gwent a Rhymni.....	18
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>18</i>
Brecon and Radnor - Aberhonddu a Maesyfed.....	19
<i>Summary of Changes.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Outline Justification.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>20</i>
Bridgend – Pen-y-bont.....	21
<i>Summary of Changes.....</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Outline Justification.....</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>22</i>
Caerfyrddin – Carmarthen.....	22
<i>Constituency name.....</i>	<i>22</i>
Cardiff Central - Canol Caerdydd.....	23

<i>Summary of Changes</i>	23
<i>Outline Justification</i>	23
<i>Constituency name</i>	24
Cardiff North - Gogledd Caerdydd	25
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	25
<i>Outline Justification</i>	26
<i>Constituency name</i>	26
Cardiff South and Penarth - De Caerdydd a Phenarth	27
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	27
<i>Outline Justification</i>	27
<i>Constituency name</i>	28
Cardiff West - Gorllewin Caerdydd	29
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	29
<i>Outline Justification</i>	29
<i>Constituency name</i>	30
Ceredigion Preseli	30
Clwyd	31
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	31
<i>Outline Justification</i>	31
<i>Constituency name</i>	32
Delyn	33
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	33
<i>Outline Justification</i>	33
<i>Constituency name</i>	34
Dwyfor Meirionnydd	35
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	35
<i>Outline Justification</i>	35
<i>Constituency name</i>	35
Islwyn (in Commission Proposal) Caerphilly (in this proposal)	36
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	36
<i>Outline Justification</i>	36
<i>Constituency name</i>	37
Llanelli	37
<i>Constituency name</i>	37
Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare -Merthyr Tudful ac Aberdâr	38
<i>Summary of Changes</i>	38
<i>Outline Justification</i>	38
<i>Constituency name</i>	39
Mid and South Pembrokeshire - Canol a De Sir Benfro	40
<i>Constituency name</i>	40
Monmouthshire - Sir Fynwy	40

Constituency name.....	40
Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr - Maldwyn a Glyndŵr.....	41
Summary of Changes.....	41
Outline Justification.....	42
Constituency name.....	42
Newport East - Dwyrain Casnewydd.....	44
Constituency name.....	44
Newport West and Caerphilly- Gorllewin Casnewydd a Chaerffili	45
Summary of Changes.....	45
Outline Justification.....	45
Constituency name.....	46
Pontypridd.....	47
Summary of Changes.....	47
Outline Justification.....	47
Constituency name.....	48
Rhondda.....	49
Summary of Changes.....	49
Outline Justification.....	49
Constituency name.....	50
Swansea Central and North - Canol a Gogledd Abertawe	51
Summary of Changes.....	51
Outline Justification.....	51
Constituency name.....	52
Swansea East and Neath - Dwyrain Abertawe a Chastell-nedd.....	53
Summary of Changes.....	53
Outline Justification.....	53
Constituency name.....	54
Swansea West and Gower – Gorllewin Abertawe a Gwyr.....	55
Summary of Changes.....	55
Outline Justification.....	55
Constituency name.....	56
Torfaen	56
Constituency name.....	56
Vale of Glamorgan – Bro Morgannwg.....	57
Summary of Changes.....	57
Outline Justification.....	57
Wrexham - Wrecsam.....	58
Summary of Changes.....	58
Outline Justification.....	58
Constituency name.....	59
Ynys Môn.....	59

Constituency name.....	59
Appendix 1 : Liberal Democrats Constituency Proposals.....	60

Introduction

The document represents the initial response from the Welsh Liberal Democrats to the proposals of the Boundary Commission for Wales as described in the Initial Proposals Report released on the 9th September 2021.

We regret that this review fails to address the underlying problems that the electoral system presents with a small number of constituencies deciding the outcome of an election and a large number of seats having in built majorities for one party. We would have welcomed a move to a more representative system of election to Westminster in line with the devolved administrations and Scottish local government.

We also have concern about the strict quota and limited range of variation around the quota that the Commission is afforded. We believe this will lead to a democratic deficit in large parts of rural Wales due to the sparsity of population. It is perhaps worth noting that to drive from one end of the Ceredigion a Preseli seat to the other is little different from the drive between Westminster and Swindon.

That said as a party we recognise the statutory constraints the Act has placed on the Commission and the particular challenge that comes from the significant reduction in number of seats that result from it. We welcome the decision to try to build constituencies based on the core of an existing Parliamentary seat as this reduces the impact of changes on the public and staff employed by Members of Parliament. We also believe that MPs and their staff work best when only having to deal with a single Local Authority as it enable caseworkers in particular to build up strong relationships with council staff to the obvious benefit of their constituents.

We therefore do not agree with all the proposed boundaries as proposed nor all the suggested names and we deal with those issues in the core of our response.

Criteria for reviewing Parliamentary Constituencies

We note the criteria as defined within the Act with which the Commission has been forced to work on this occasion and will respect them in preparing our own proposed changes.

In particular we note the intention to build constituencies with whole rather than partial council wards and the need for contiguous communities.

We believe that these criteria pose significant challenges particularly in the cities of Cardiff and Swansea where large multi member wards result in building blocks of over 11k electors compared to the more normal 1-2k present elsewhere. We note this is a problem the Commission has experienced in their own proposals resulting in their creation of a Cardiff South seat where Trowbridge becomes detached from the rest of the seat at high tide our own proposals offer a remedy for this.

Naming of Parliamentary Constituencies

Whilst we concur with the approach of trying to reuse historic constituency names we do question the decision to always base the language choice of the official name on population data. In many cases even within a Welsh language community the "English" name is the one that has local recognition e.g. Radnorshire. In our naming suggestions we have used an English name but would expect the Welsh Language Commissioner to suggest syntactically correct Welsh Name if our suggestions are adopted.

Summary of Proposals at Constituency Level

We accept the basic assumption of 31 constituencies as a statutory consideration. At this point we accept the boundaries as proposed in 8 constituencies and propose minor alterations (5 ward moves or less) to a further 11. The remaining 12 constituencies are subject to more significant reconfiguration as we look to address 3 specific issues and their consequential impact.

To simplify reading of this response we have retained reference throughout the document to the names of the constituencies as proposed by the Boundary Commission for Wales. It is only after we have completed our comments on the geographic boundaries do we put forward our comments on the naming proposals.

In respect of each of the Commissions proposals our summary response is as follow

Number	Constituency Name	Proposal
1	Aberafan Porthcawl	Significant changes and name change
2	Aberconwy	Minor Change and name change
3	Alyn and Deeside Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy	Minor Change
4	Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney Blaenau Gwent a Rhymni	Accept
5	Brecon and Radnor Aberhonddu a Maesymfed	Significant changes and name change
6	Bridgend Pen-y-bont	Significant changes
7	Caerfyrddin Carmarthen	Accept
8	Cardiff Central Canol Caerdydd	Minor Change
9	Cardiff North Gogledd Caerdydd	Minor Change
10	Cardiff South and Penarth De Caerdydd a Phenarth	Minor Change
11	Cardiff West Gorllewin Caerdydd	Minor Change
12	Ceredigion Preseli	Accept
13	Clwyd	Minor changes and name change
14	Delyn	Minor changes
15	Dwyfor Meirionnydd	Minor Change and alternative names

Number		Proposal
16	Islwyn	Significant changes and name change
17	Llanelli	Accept
18	Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare Merthyr Tudful ac Aberdâr	Minor Change and name change
19	Mid and South Pembrokeshire Canol a De Sir Benfro	Accept
20	Monmouthshire Sir Fynwy	Accept
21	Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr Maldwyn a Glyndŵr	Significant changes
22	Newport East Dwyrain Casnewydd	Accept
23	Newport West and Caerphilly Gorllewin Casnewydd a Chaerffili	Significant changes and name change
24	Pontypridd	Minor Change and name change
25	Rhondda	Significant changes and name change
26	Swansea Central and North Canol a Gogledd Abertawe	Significant changes and name change
27	Swansea East and Neath Dwyrain Abertawe a Chastell-nedd	Significant changes and name change
28	Swansea West and Gower Gorllewin Abertawe a Gŵyr	Significant changes
29	Torfaen	Accept
30	Vale of Glamorgan Bro Morgannwg	Minor Change
31	Wrexham Wrecsam	Minor Change
32	Ynys Môn	Statutory designation

Accepted proposals

At this point Welsh Liberal Democrats accept the Boundary Commission for Wales proposals for the following constituencies as they sit within the overall plan.

- Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney - Blaenau Gwent a Rhymni
- Caerfyrddin - Carmarthen
- Ceredigion Preseli
- Llanelli
- Mid and South Pembrokeshire - Canol a De Sir Benfro
- Monmouthshire - Sir Fynwy
- Newport East - Dwyrain Casnewydd
- Torfaen
- Ynys Môn

Factors considered in preparing this submission

In preparing this submission the Welsh Liberal Democrats have accepted all the statutory requirements as laid out in the Boundary Commission initial proposals documentation. In addition we have identified the following aims which where possible will underpin our proposals.

Geographic Integrity of Historic Constituencies

In preparing this report the Welsh Liberal Democrats have been mindful of retaining the integrity of historic constituencies as the building blocks for the new seats. By adopting a different approach to the Boundary Commission we have been able to create 16 new seats that wholly contain an historic constituency, of the remainder 19 are split between two new constituencies, 4 are split across three and only one (Ogmore) is split over four constituencies.

Contiguous Linkage at all times between Parts of a Constituency.

With the exception of the small number of inhabited islands we see no reason to have any constituency where it is not possible to walk/cycle and drive from one side to the other without needing to enter another constituency to do so. We recognise that in some case there may be alternative routes that are faster but at least in theory we will not have any detached wards within this proposal.

Balance of MP Workload

The increases in electorate sizes will represent an additional burden on MPs and their staff we therefore believe that wherever possible the number of different council areas with which they need to interact is an important consideration. Accordingly we have sought to minimise the number of council areas that intersect with each constituency and where possible have eliminated orphan wards.

Interaction with Senedd Regions

Whilst the electorate sizes make elimination of cross Senedd region seats impossible our proposals seek to minimise the number of instances where this occurs.

Adoption of Principles from Previous Reviews

Whilst electorate changes make it impossible to fully replicate previous boundaries there are a number of instances where combinations of wards were recognised as being more appropriate if they were retained in a single constituency. Where possible these have been included within our proposals.

In the 2018 review a number of cases were accepted based on the principle that where a harder feature (parkland, a main road or river) could be used to form a boundary by changing wards from one seat to another this was preferred to boundaries that ran though the middle of a housing estate. Again we have adopted that approach where possible.

Review of individual Constituencies

Aberavon Porthcawl

As part of a wider review of the seats around the western part of South Wales we do not accept the proposal to merge parts of the current Aberavon seat with Porthcawl. Instead we suggest a more appropriate combination is to base a constituency on the existing Aberavon seat with the addition of wards from Swansea and Bridgend council areas. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Neath Port Talbot</u>	<u>From Bridgend</u>	<u>From Swansea</u>
Coedffranc North 1811	Maesteg West 4327	Bonymaen 5391
Coedffranc Central 2892	Maesteg East 3741	St. Thomas 5514
Coedffranc West 3587	Caerau 4795	
Baglan 5383		
Sandfields West 4917		
Aberavon 4048		
Sandfields East 5038		
Port Talbot 4342		
Tai-bach 3643		
Margam 2309		
Briton Ferry West 2033		
Briton Ferry East 2148		
Cymmer 2011		
Glyncorrwg 792		
Bryn and Cwmavon 5220		
Gwynfi 879		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Coedffranc North – 1811, Coedffranc Central – 2892, Coedffranc West – 3587, Bonymaen – 5391, St. Thomas - 5514, Maesteg West – 4327, Maesteg East – 3741, Caerau - 4795

Wards Removed : Porthcawl West Central – 2967, Pendre – 1654, Porthcawl East Central - 2580, Nottage – 2741, Pyle – 5545, Cornelly – 5359, Rest Bay - 1990, Cefn Glas - 1360 Bryntirion, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr - 6574

Revised Electorate : 74,821

Outline Justification



Addition of St Thomas and Bonymaen from Swansea Council area: These two wards make up the southernmost section of Swansea to the east of the river. They are both distinct communities bounded to the west by the River Tawe. Bonymaen is linked to the wards to the north by a single road at the eastern end of the boundary and a network of roads though retail parks to the west. The remainder is separated by open parkland the provides a coherent northern boundary of the constituency. To the

South St Thomas extends along Fabian way and links into the new university site which falls within Coedffranc West.

Addition of Coedffranc wards from within Neath Port Talbot Council area: the three Coedffranc wards are currently part of the Aberavon constituency and their addition ensure the historic Aberavon constituency is retained as the cornerstone of the new seat.

Addition of Caerau and Maesteg East and West wards from Bridgend Council area: These three wards create a coherent boundary that fits with the north eastern spur of the Afan Valley. Caerau in the north links closely with Croeserw and Cymer at the head of the valley and the Maesteg wards are linked to Cwmafan via Bryn on the B4282. Ideally we would have consolidated the boundary to the south of Maesteg by including Llangynwyd ward but this takes the constituency over quota.

Removal of Porthcawl and surrounding wards : The current constituency boundary (green line on left map) between Aberavon and Bridgend is a clearly delineated by the limits of a number of small settlements around the end of Bridgend separated from settlements in Aberavon by open land and the steelworks.



In contrast the proposed boundary (blue on right map) follows a maze of boundary fences in the rear of houses backing onto one another in suburban estates. This creates a significant risk of infill development that results in individual properties crossing a constituency boundary and becoming detached properties in respect of the parent constituency. As such we cannot support the proposals offered. We therefore propose the removal of these wards which in the process facilitates the retention of the historic boundaries of the Bridgend constituency as the basis of the revised Bridgend boundaries.



Constituency name

The constituency is built around the historic seat of Aberavon however it also includes approximately 11k electors from Swansea and nearly 16 from Maesteg. We would therefore suggest the name of **“Aberavon with Maesteg and South East Swansea”** as an alternative to the simple **Aberavon** description.

Aberconwy

Within the model we have created it would be possible to accept the Commission proposals for Aberconwy and still maintain the integrity of the model. However we believe the proposal would be enhanced by moving one ward from the Commissions Dwyfor seat into this constituency and taking two out and assigning them to Clwyd West with the additional benefit of reducing the area of one of the geographically largest constituencies. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Conwy</u>		<u>From Gwynedd</u>	
Gogarth	2795	Bryn	1390
Mostyn	2758	Caerhun	1677
Tudno	3591	Capelulo	1284
Deganwy	3289	Conwy	3295
Marl	3539	Pandy	1463
Pensarn	2274	Pant-yr-afon/Penmaenan	2167
Craig-y-Don	2685	Betws-y-Coed	967
Llandrillo yn Rhos	6110	Crwst	1581
Penrhyn	3874	Eglwysbach	1257
Mochdre	1425	Gower	850
		Trefriw	1026
		Llansanffraid	1814
		Uwch Conwy	1276
		Arllechwedd	1091
		Gerlan	1696
		Ogwen	1697
		Tregarth & Mynydd Llandygai	1628
		Deiniol	920
		Dewi	1301
		Garth	556
		Glyder	1257
		Hendre	940
		Hirael	1066
		Marchog	1579
		Menai (Bangor)	1548
		Pentir	2159

Summary of Changes


Wards Added : Pentir - 2159

Wards Removed : Llangernyw – 1104, Uwchaed – 1139

Revised Electorate : 69,825


Outline Justification

Inclusion of Pentir: Pentir is a ward at the SW end of Bangor. The ward is named after the village of



Pentir in its SE corner but the majority of the population resides in Penrhosgarnedd. The village shares a contiguous boundary with the Glyder and Dewi wards in Bangor and there is uninterrupted pedestrian access to the remainder of Bangor to the NE. In contrast the village is separated from the rest of the Dwyfor Meirionedd constituency by the A55T and an industrial estate. As such all services used on a regular basis by residents would be in another constituency leading to lack of clarity.

Removal of Llangernyw and Uwchaled: These wards are detached rural wards with large distances between their population centres and the next villages within Aberconwy. Historically they fall within the boundary of the old Clwyd Council area and are currently within the Clwyd West constituency. With the addition of Pentir in the NW it is possible to reassign these wards to the Clwyd constituency maintaining it within quota and allowing redistribution of wards elsewhere.



Constituency name

We can accept the Commissions choice of "**Aberconwy**" as the name for this constituency. However we would offer the suggestion that the Commission may wish to consult on the variations "**..with North Arfon**", "**...with East Arfon**" and "**...with Bangor**" as a means of reflecting the community that is being joined with the seat. We also observe that this boundary is very close to that of the "**Conway**" constituency that existed prior to the last reorganisation so this may also be an option for consultation though we acknowledge the Commission may wish to avoid a name that could be confused with the council area.

Alyn and Deeside - Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy

Whilst we accept the majority of the Boundary Commission proposal we believe that an MP should where possible represent a constituency made up of wards from the minimal number of different council areas, ideally only 1.

In this case this can be achieved by removing the wards of Minerva and Brymbo both in the Wrexham Council area and replacing them with Northop Hall an additional Flintshire ward. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards all within the Flintshire council area.

Connah's Quay Golftyn	3688	Queensferry	1248	Buckley Bistre East	2653
Connah's Quay Central	2213	Hawarden	1623	Buckley Pentrobin	4181
Connah's Quay Wepre	1647	Mancot	2516	Buckley Bistre West	3182
Connah's Quay South	4494	Aston	2508	Penyffordd	3543
Sealand	2026	New Brighton	2414	Broughton South	3325
Shotton West	1464	Ewloe	4327	Higher Kinnerton	1373
Shotton East	1219	Buckley Mountain	2555	Leeswood	1627
Shotton Higher	1669	Argoed	2167	Hope	2042
Saltney Mold Junction	1100	Treuddyn	1346	Caergwrle	1225
Saltney Stonebridge	2672	Northop Hall	1398		
Broughton North East	1723	Llanfynydd	1483		

Summary of Changes



Wards Added : Northop Hall - 1398

Wards Removed : Brymbo - 3021, Minera - 1870


Revised Electorate : 70,651

Outline Justification

Addition of Northop Hall : The villages of Northop and Northop Hall are currently in the Delyn Constituency and are on opposite sides of the A55 with about one mile between the two villages.




In contrast the eastern boundary of the village of Northop Hall is separated from Wepre by 0.25 miles along a rural road. Inclusion of this ward allows the removal of the other two wards whilst retaining the constituency within quota.



Removal of Brymbo and Minerva: The current constituency comprises wards entirely within the Flintshire Council area with the exception of these two wards. In accordance with the principle of reducing the number of Council areas included within a constituency we propose the removal of these two wards and assignment to Wrexham.

This view is supported by the fact that the entire boundary in Minerva and part of the Brymbo boundary follows the Nant y Ffrith. With limited crossing points. In contrast using the southern Minerva boundary would result in the bisection of Coedpoeth and the eastern Brymbo boundary has several

instances where villages are less than half a mile apart along the border.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Alyn and Deeside - Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy**" as the name for this constituency.

Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney - Blaenau Gwent a Rhymni

In the context of this submission the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney - Blaenau Gwent a Rhymni**" as the name for this constituency.

Brecon and Radnor - Aberhonddu a Maesyfed

Whilst we agree with the proposition to base a seat on the historic constituency of Brecon and Radnorshire we disagree that combining with wards from a different council area and indeed different Senedd Region. We believe that an MP should where possible represent a constituency made up of wards from the minimal number of different council areas, ideally only 1. In this case as the other Powys based seat is already crossing a council boundary we believe the best interests of all constituency are achieved by removing the Neath wards from this constituency and replacing them with wards from within Powys.

Rhayader	1589	Llangunllo	1071	Llandinam	1155
Beguildy	1135	Llanidloes	2149	Newtown South	1215
Bronllys	1060	Llanyre	978	Newtown Central	2122
Builth	1849	Nantmel	1243	Newtown Llanllwchaiarn N	1796
Disserth and Trecoed	1055	Old Radnor	1375	Newtown Llanllwchaiarn W	1447
Glasbury	1901	Presteigne	2174	Newtown East	1401
Gwernyfed	1178	Bwlch	800	Churchstoke	1292
Hay	1355	Talgarth	1305	Kerry	1607
Knighton	2296	Llangors	901	Blaen Hafren	1876
Llanafanfawr	1141	Felin-fach	1102	Caersws	1831
Llanbadarn Fawr	925	St. John	2365	Ynyscedwyn	1705
Llandrindod East/West	949	Talybont-on-Usk	1537	Tawe-Uchaf	1763
Llandrindod North	1517	St. David Within	1263	Aber-craf	1143
Llandrindod South	1726	St. Mary	2194		
Llanelwedd	987	Yscir	888		
Llanwrtyd Wells	1450	Maescar/Llywel	1405		
Crickhowell	2410	Cwm-twrch	1557		
Llangynidr	865	Ystradgynlais	1935		
Llangattock	762				

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Llandinam - 1155, Newtown South - 1215, Llanidloes - 2149, Newtown Central - 2122, Newtown Llanllwchaiarn N - 1796, Newtown Llanllwchaiarn W - 1447, Newtown East - 1401, Churchstoke - 1292, Kerry - 1607, Blaen Hafren - 1876, Caersws - 1831

Wards Removed : Lower Brynamman - 1040, Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen - 2220, Pontardawe - 4283, Cwmllynfell - 921, Ystalyfera - 2169, Rhos - 1997, Godre'r graig - 1514, Allt-wen - 2023, Trebanos - 1092
Revised Electorate : 72,745


Outline Justification

Inclusion of the Montgomeryshire Wards : Both recent unimplemented reviews recommended the inclusion of wards in and around Newtown with Brecon and Radnorshire varying only the number of wards depending on the size of the required electorate. We would therefore continue this approach on

this occasion.

Removal of Wards from Neath Port Talbot Council Area: Proposals outlined by the Boundary Commission require the inclusion of a number of Neath Port Talbot in their model to create one of two Seats within Powys we would reject this for the following reasons.

- This would create two Powys seats where the constituency spans more than one council area. We would content that this is not necessary as a constituency could be created that is entirely within Powys as such we will adopt this option.
- The proposed constituency spans two Senedd Regional constituencies. Whilst this is necessary in North Wales there are models that can be created to avoid this.
- This model is similar to the model proposed in the Labour party submission to the unimplemented 2018 review and we give weight to the Commissioners proposals from that review in preparing our submission.
- Whilst recognising the similarities to the Ystradgynlais wards within Powys those wards only make up approximately 15% of the electorate the addition of the NPT wards would therefore result in a significant loss of rurality in this constituency and represent a change in the demographics.



Constituency name

In light of the changes we would recommend the alternative name of "**Brecon and Radnor with South Montgomeryshire**" as the name for this constituency.

Bridgend – Pen-y-bont

As part of a wider review of the seats around the western part of South Wales we do not accept the proposal to split the historic constituency into 2 separate seats. Instead we would recommend it should be retained with the addition of a number of wards to the north of the M4 heading towards Maesteg. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Bryncoch	1757	Newcastle	4287	Newton	3035
Sarn	1786	Llangewydd and Brynhyfryd	1878	Pyle	5545
Coity	3006	Cefn Cribwr	1180	Cornelly	5359
Coychurch Lower	1160	Oldcastle	3783	Aberkenfig	1868
Pen-y-fai	1853	Bryntirion, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr	6574	Ynysawdre	2748
Cefn Glas	1360	Porthcawl West Central	2967	Llangynwyd	2351
Litchard	2080	Porthcawl East Central	2580	Nottage	2741
Pendre	1654	Morfa	3210	Rest Bay	1990
Brackla	8276	Bettws	1595		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Porthcawl West Central – 2967, Pendre – 1654, Porthcawl East Central - 2580, Nottage – 2741, Pyle – 5545, Cornelly – 5359, Rest Bay - 1990, Cefn Glas - 1360 Bryntirion, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr – 6574, Bettws - 1595

Wards Removed : Maesteg West – 4327, Maesteg East – 3741, Caerau – 4795, Nant-y-moel - 1745, Blackmill - 1839, Ogmore Vale - 2357. Pontycymmer - 1773, Llangeinor - 887. Bryncethin - 1261, Penprysg - 2474, Felindre - 2087, Hendre - 3175, Blaengarw - 1333

Revised Electorate : 76,623

Outline Justification

Addition of Porthcawl wards : See explanation of Aberavon changes.

Addition of Bettws: The majority of the population of the ward of Bettws is located in the village of the same name in the north of the ward. This is linked into the northern part of the adjoining Ynysawdre via Bettws Road. In contrast the A4064 divides the settlement from the main population centres in the Llangeinor ward to the East. As such we believe this is the best fit ward from the options along the eastern border that has community cohesion and the appropriate number of electors to allow both constituencies to remain within quota.

Removal of other wards in Ogmore area: The remaining wards from the historic Ogmore constituency for a coherent structure around the Garw and the two arms of the Ogwr valleys. These are removed to form the basis of a seat linked with the Rhondda.



Constituency name

In the context of the wider changes made we would retain the current name of **"Bridgend"** for this constituency.

Caerfyrddin – Carmarthen

In the context of this submission and the guidelines we have set ourselves the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal. However, we have been informed by local members that Ceredigion Council are considering a counter proposals which would consolidate the structure of the Proposed Ceredigion Constituency by adding Carmarthenshire wards along the Teifi Valley. We reserve the right to comment on these changes in the future.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of **"Caerfyrddin – Carmarthen"** as the name for this constituency.

Cardiff Central - Canol Caerdydd

In view of the issues identified in the Cardiff South proposal there is a need to reconfigure all the constituencies in Cardiff. This exercise is complicated by the size of wards within the council area. We there propose retaining the boundary of Cardiff Central as present with the addition of a number of wards from the current Cardiff North. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Pentwyn	10783	Adamsdown	5692	Gabalfa	5922
Cyncoed	8475	Plasnewydd	12285	Heath	9611
Penylan	9858	Cathays	13099		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Gabalfa -5922, Heath - 9611

Wards Removed : Llanrumney - 7758, Rumney - 6536


Revised Electorate :75,725

Outline Justification

Addition of Gabalfa and Heath: The registers at the 2018 review were flawed due to the change in registration process leading to an under-enumeration in a number of wards e.g. Cathays which now has 6K more, Plasnewydd 3k. Having considered issues in Cardiff South it is necessary to rebalance the remainder of the constituencies and the model in the revised 2018 proposals, whilst unable to be replicated fully did include the ward of Gabalfa within the southern constituency and this is replicated in the current proposals. With Llanrumney removed the only ward that can balance both a North and Central constituency is Heath. The Heath ward is bounded on most sides by significant roads including the A48 dual carriage way however on the eastern side whilst the railway form a logical barrier there are a number of crossing point that link it coherently with the adjoining Cyncoed Ward, with the Heath High and Low Level stations serving both communities.

Removal of Rumney: This ward has been removed to create a fully accessible Cardiff South constituency. See Cardiff South and Penarth explanation.

Removal of Llanrumney: By removing Rumney ward from the constituency in order to resolve issues in Cardiff South there is no direct link from Llanrhummy to the remainder of the Cardiff Central constituency. The northern boundary is made up of the River Rumney which at this point has no vehicular crossing point. The link used by the Commission was Newport Road, however this passes though the Rumney ward thereby meaning that this would be a detached ward.




Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Cardiff Central - Canol Caerdydd**" as the name for this constituency.

Cardiff North - Gogledd Caerdydd

In view of the issues identified in the Cardiff South proposal there is a need to reconfigure all the constituencies in Cardiff. This exercise is complicated by the size of wards within the council area. We therefore propose the removal of two wards from the Commissions proposals transferring them to Cardiff Central and replacing them with one ward proposed for Cardiff Central and two proposed for Cardiff West. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Lisvane	2942	Whitchurch and Tongwynlais	12928	Pentyrch	2819
Pontprenau/Old St. Mellons	8047	Llandaff North	5992	Llanrumney	7758
Rhiwbina	9354	Radyr	5425	Llanishen	13492



Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Llanrumney - 7758, Radyr - 5425, Pentyrch - 2819

Wards Removed : Gabalfa -5922, Heath - 9611

Revised Electorate : 71,612.

Outline Justification

Addition of Llanrumney: Whilst the ward is detached from Cardiff Central (see above) the NE border follows the centre of Newport Road with parts of the St Mellons ward easily reached by crossing it. As such this is not detached in the same way it is from Central as there is connectivity between the two wards.

Addition of Radyr and Pentyrch: The 2018 review rejected the idea of including these ward in a more Eastern focussed constituency but did accept the concept that they should be linked with Taffs Well. In this model there are only limited options to balance the electoral wards across Cardiff due to the very large ward populations. Therefore in order to balance the model with the removal of a detached ward in Cardiff South the addition of these two wards with good road links to the adjoining wards in North represents the best model available within quota.

Removal of Gabalfa and Heath: See Cardiff Central Explanation

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Cardiff North - Gogledd Caerdydd**" as the name for this constituency.

Cardiff South and Penarth - De Caerdydd a Phenarth

The proposal put forward by the Commission largely retain the current constituency of the same name and we agree with this proposition in principle. However we note the anomaly in relying on land below the high water mark to retain the continuity of the constituency which we believe can be resolved by Adding the ward of Rumney with quota being retained without the need to add Dinas Powys from the Vale of Glamorgan. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From the Vale of Glamorgan</u>		<u>From Cardiff</u>	
Sully	3696	Splott	9081
Plymouth	4584	Trowbridge	11076
St. Augustine's	5318	Rumney	6536
Cornerswell	4069	Grangetown	13257
Stanwell	3365	Butetown	7834
Llandough	1578		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Rumney – 6536

Wards Removed : Dinas Powys - 6388

Revised Electorate : 70,394

Outline Justification

Addition of the Rumney ward :

In the proposals document paragraph 2.23 states:

“As far as possible, the Commission will seek to recommend constituencies that: • are made up of whole


electoral wards that are next to each other • do not contain ‘detached parts’; that is, where the only physical connection between one part of the constituency and the rest of it would require passage through a different constituency”,

In this case, the proposed constituency meets this definition on the most limited of technicalities. As shown on the image (left) the common boundary between Splott and Trowbridge falls entirely within the Cardiff Flats, between the mean high and low water mark. As a result there is no physical connection at high water between the two wards and no road, track or public right of way as the River Rhymney creates a physical barrier. By adding



the ward of Rumney this issue is resolved with three roads and a railway providing a connection between the two wards

Removal of Dinas Powys: In the Commissions model the ward of Dinas Powys in the Vale of Glamorgan and part of the historic constituency of that name has been added to ensure electoral quota. With the addition of Rumney ward in Cardiff Council area this is no longer required so we propose to return this ward and in so doing retain the historic boundary.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Cardiff South and Penarth - De Caerdydd a Phenarth**" as the name for this constituency.

Cardiff West - Gorllewin Caerdydd

The changes in other parts of Cardiff necessitate significant changes to Cardiff West . This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Body Text		<u>From Rhondda Cynon Taff</u>	
Canton	11457	Pont-y-clun	6061
Llandaff	7078	Llanharry	3221
Fairwater	9642	Llanharan	2819
Ely	9576	Brynna	3616
Caerau	7859		
Creigiau/St. Fagans	4409		
Riverside	9621		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Llanharry – 3221, Llanharan – 2819, Brynna - 3616


Wards Removed : Pentyrch - 2819, Radyr - 5425

Revised Electorate : 75,359

Outline Justification

Addition of Llanharry, Llanharan and Brynna Wards : The combination of these three wards combine to make a cohesive cluster of smaller “village” settlements. They are part of the historic Ogmere constituency but are separated from it by open land. The Commission had identified this and assigned them to an expanded Rhondda Constituency which also included Tonyrefail. We contend that even had Tonyrefail been retained in this configuration in our plans (it isn't) the boundary again is open land whereas in the SE corner developments in both Llanharry and Llanharan form part of the settlement footprint of Pontyclun. As such we could content that an extension to the west to include these two wards is a more logical combination that also enables Cardiff West to come within quota

Removal of Radyr and Pentyrch: See Cardiff North Explanation



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Cardiff West - Gorllewin Caerdydd**" as the name for this constituency.

Ceredigion Preseli

In the context of this submission and the guidelines we have set ourselves the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal. However, we have been informed by local members that Ceredigion Council are considering a counter proposals which would consolidate the structure of the Proposed Ceredigion Constituency by adding Carmarthenshire wards along the Teifi Valley. We reserve the right to comment on these changes in the future.

Clwyd

We offers broad support for the constituency outlined in the Commission proposals. However, we would include two wards that the Commission currently place in Aberconwy in the constituency and remove two wards and include them in the revised Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr seat. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Conwy</u>		<u>From Denbighshire</u>	
Kinmel Bay	4607	Rhyl East	3693
Towyn	1845	Rhyl South East	6253
Gele	3997	Rhuddlan	2913
Abergele Pensarn	1959	Rhyl South	2874
Pentre Mawr	2861	Rhyl South West	3732
Llysfaen	1906	Rhyl West	3283
Llanddulas	1353	St. Asaph East	1472
Betws yn Rhos	1623	St. Asaph West	1290
Llansannan	1495	Bodelwyddan	1612
Rhiw	4991	Denbigh Upper/Henllan	2265
Glyn	3088	Denbigh Lower	3483
Eirias	2800	Denbigh Central	1462
Colwyn	3373	Llanrhaeadr-yng-Nghinmeirch	1496
Llangernyw	1104	Trefnant	1503
Uwchaled	1139		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Llangernyw – 1104, Uwchaled - 1139


Wards Removed : Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwer - 1830, Efenechtyd - 132

Revised Electorate : 75,472

Outline Justification

Addition of Llangernyw and Uwchaled wards: See Explanation under Aberconwy.

Removal of Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwer and Efenechtyd: These two ward when combined with Ruthin in the proposed Delyn Constituency provide a coherent network of approximately 7k electors that can be added to the North Montgomeryshire & Glyndŵr constituency to balance the removal of voters on the southern boundary.



Constituency name

Given that the pre 1995 County of Clwyd extended to the English border we would recommend that the name "Clwyd West" represents a more appropriate description for this constituency

Delyn

We offers broad support for the constituency outlined in the Commission proposals however we would transfer one ward (Ruthin) to the revised Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr seat. And one (Northop Hall) to Alyn and Deeside This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Flintshire</u>				<u>From Denbighshire</u>	
Trelawnyd and Gwaenysgor	1496	Flint Coleshill	2938	Llanarmon-yn-Ial/Llandegla	2033
Gronant	1257	Flint Oakenholt	2538	Tremeirchion	1344
Ffynnongroyw	1474	Northop	2596	Llandyrnog	1765
Whitford	1911	Flint Trelawny	2710	Llanbedr Dyffryn Clwyd/Llangynhafal	1170
Mostyn	1458	Flint Castle	1426	Dyserth	1882
Greenfield	1983	Mold Broncoed	2134	Prestatyn South West	2861
Holywell West	1762	Mold South	2201	Prestatyn North	4729
Brynford	1789	Mold East	1556	Prestatyn East	3162
Bagillt West	1625	Mold West	1956	Prestatyn Central	2829
Bagillt East	1413	Gwernaffield	1646	Prestatyn Meliden	1529
Holywell East	1383	Gwernymynydd	1399		
Holywell Central	1465	Cilcain	1519		
Halkyn	1427	Caerwys	2050		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added :


Wards Removed : Northop Hall – 1398, Ruthin - 4260

Revised Electorate : 70,416

Outline Justification

Removal of Northop Hall : See explanation under Alyn and Deeside.

Removal of Ruthin : See explanation under Clwyd.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commission intent to retain the previous name of “**Delyn**” for this revised constituency.

Dwyfor Meirionnydd

Whilst we are able to accept the seat as proposed within our wider data model we would recommend the transfer of Pentir ward into the Aberconwy constituency This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Abererch	986	Nefyn	1003	Llanbedr	768
Abersoch	519	Peblig (Caernarfon)	1603	Teigl	1355
Bethel	1025	Penygroes	1369	Bowydd and Rhiw	1235
Bontnewydd	865	Pwllheli North	1528	Brithdir and Llanfachreth/ Ganllwyd/Llanelltyd	1132
Botwnnog	734	Pwllheli South	1310	Bala	1413
Cadnant	1514	Seiont	2233	Llandderfel	1135
Clynnog	736	Talysarn	1399	Llanuwchllyn	686
Criccieth	1280	Tudweiliog	668	Corris/Mawddwy	1023
Cwm-y-Glo	753	Y Felinheli	1803	Aberdovey	907
Dolbenmaen	900	Aberdaron	698	Bryn-crug/Llanfihangel	772
Efail-newydd/Buan	1026	Dyffryn Ardudwy	1169	Llangelynin	1625
Groeslon	1374	Harlech	1516	Dolgellau South	1072
Llanaelhaearn	1187	Penrhyndeudraeth	1826	Dolgellau North	953
Llanbedrog	709	Porthmadog East	1178	Abermaw	1591
Llanengan	847	Porthmadog West	1329	Tywyn	2476
Llanllyfni	915	Porthmadog-Tremadog	933	Penisarwaun	1365
Llanrug	1396	Waunfawr	1298	Deiniolen	1463
Llanwnda	1507	Trawsfynydd	1088	Llanberis	1613
Llanystumdwy	1547	Diffwys and Maenofferen	779		
Menai (Caernarfon)	1724	Morfa Nefyn	945		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added :

Wards Removed : Pentir - 2159

Revised Electorate : 69.803

Outline Justification

Removal of Pentir : See explanation under Aberconwy.

Constituency name

We can accept the Commissions choice of "**Dwyfor Meirionedd**" as the name for this constituency. However we would offer the suggestion that the Commission may wish to consult on the variations "**..with South Arfon**", "**...with West Arfon**" and "**...with Caernarfon**" as a means of reflecting the community that is being joined with the seat.

Islwyn (in Commission Proposal) Caerphilly (in this proposal)

The distribution of seats in the Caerphilly council area represents one of the areas of greatest divergence with the commission proposals. Whilst the commission largely preserved the historic Islwyn our model focusses on the Caerphilly seat, with Islwyn being split between a Blaenau Gwent seat (as per Commission), a Newport West based seat (10 wards) and Caerphilly (9 Wards). In this section we will focus on the Caerphilly seat which is made up of all bar 2 wards of the historic constituency along with 5 wards from the NE of the historic Islwyn seat. This would also mean Nelson would move from the Merthyr seat proposed by the Commission back to Caerphilly. With these changes we would propose the two names of Caerphilly or Caerphilly with North Islwyn. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards all within the Caerphilly council area.

Aber Valley	4655	Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen	7902	Ystrad Mynach	4067
Penyrheol	9021	St. James	4267	St. Cattwg	5579
Morgan Jones	5636	Maesycwmmwr	1811	Pengam	2760
St. Martins	6582	Hengoed	4055	Cefn Fforest	2845
Llanbradach	3239	Argoed	2035	Blackwood	6330
		Nelson	3563		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Nelson - 3563, Aber Valley – 4655, Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen – 7902 , St. James – 4267, Penyrheol – 9021, Morgan Jones – 5636, St. Martins - 6582

Wards Removed : Ynysddu - 2978, Crosskeys - 2527, Risca East - 4611, Risca West - 3973, Pontllanfraith - 6343, Crumlin - 4332, Newbridge - 4892, Abercarn - 4139, Penmaen - 4219

Revised Electorate : 74,347

Outline Justification


Addition of Nelson : In the commission proposals the ward of Nelson was added to a Merthyr constituency as a single ward within the Caerphilly Council area. In line with our attempts to reduce the number of orphan wards of this nature we are able to remodel seats in this area and include it in a Caerphilly based constituency.

Addition of Aber Valley, Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen, St. James , Penyrheol, Morgan Jones, St.

Martins : The addition of these wards is based on the principle adopted of focussing on the retention of the Caerphilly rather than Islwyn constituency in this area to allow further consolidation in other areas.

Removal of Ynysddu, Crosskeys, Risca East, Risca West, Pontllanfraith, Crumlin, Newbridge, Abercarn,

Penmaen: The removal of these wards is based on the principle adopted of focussing on the retention of the Caerphilly rather than Islwyn constituency in this area to allow further consolidation in other areas.



Constituency name

Given the change of focus of proposals in Gwent put forward in this submission we believe the name **"Caerphilly"** best represents the new boundaries. However the Commission may also wish to consider the name **"Caerphilly with North Islwyn"** to reflect the nature of the wards in the north of the seat.

Llanelli

In the context of this submission the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of **"Llanelli"** as the name for this constituency.

Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare -Merthyr Tudful ac Aberdâr

Whilst broadly supportive of this proposal we would remove the orphan Caerphilly council ward of Nelson and add the Aberaman N and S wards from the proposed Pontypridd Constituency This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Merthyr</u>		<u>From RCT</u>	
Vaynor	2880	Pen-y-waun	1973
Dowlais	5014	Hirwaun	3167
Gurnos	3477	Aberdare West/Llwydcoed	7404
Park	3296	Aberdare East	4909
Cyfarthfa	5457	Cwmbach	3751
Penydarren	3818	Rhigos	1370
Town	5998	Aberaman North	3609
Plymouth	4096	Aberaman South	3541
Bedlinog	2977		
Merthyr Vale	2798		
Treharris	5270		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Aberaman North - 3609, Aberaman South - 3541


Wards Removed : Nelson - 3563

Revised Electorate : 74,805

Outline Justification

Removal of Nelson Ward : The Nelson ward is a single orphan ward from the Caerphilly CBC area. The inclusion of this ward would require the MP and staff to interact with 3 Council areas and in our view reduce the level of service for all constituents.

Inclusion of Aberaman wards: These two wards make a coherent pair on the opposite bank of the Cynon to Cwmbach and consolidate the form of the Aberdare area specifically allowing cross river issues in the upper Cynon Valley to be addressed by a single MP.



Constituency name

Whilst we are content to accept the proposed name given that the ratio of Aberdare to other Cynon Valley wards is now approximately 12:17 we would offer the alternative suggestion of **“Merthyr Tydful and Upper Cynon”**.

Mid and South Pembrokeshire - Canol a De Sir Benfro

In the context of this submission and the guidelines we have set ourselves the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal. However, we have been informed by local members that Ceredigion Council are considering a counter proposals which would consolidate the structure of the Proposed Ceredigion Constituency by adding Carmarthenshire wards along the Teifi Valley with consequential impact upon this constituency. We reserve the right to comment on these changes in the future.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Mid and South Pembrokeshire - Canol a De Sir Benfro**" as the name for this constituency.

Monmouthshire - Sir Fynwy

In the context of this submission the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Monmouthshire – Sir Fynwy**" as the name for this constituency.

Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr - Maldwyn a Glyndŵr

As a consequence of changes elsewhere there is now a need to extend the remaining section of this constituency further to the north. We will therefore extend the seat as far as Ruthin and the edge of Wrexham. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Powys</u>		<u>From Wrexham</u>		<u>From Denbighshire</u>	
Rhiwcynon	1724	Ponciau	3521	Llandrillo	931
Dolforwyn	1652	Penycae and Ruabon South	2026	Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwern	1830
Montgomery	1107	Ruabon	2078		
Berriew	1102	Cefn	3768	Efenechtyd	1321
Welshpool Castle	962	Llangollen Rural	1631	Ruthin	4260
Forden	1215	Penycae	1525	Corwen	1799
Trewern	1066	Pant	1528	Llangollen	3302
Llandrinio	1763	Plas Madoc	1169		
Llandysilio	1441	Dyffryn Ceiriog/Ceiriog Valley	1685		
Llanrhaeadr-ym-Mochnant/Llansilin	1815	Chirk North	1846		
Llanwddyn	846	Chirk South	1503		
Llansantffraid	1563	Johnstown	2461		
Llanfyllin	1217	Esclusham	2013		
Guilsfield	1827				
Welshpool Gungrog	1995				
Welshpool Llanerchuddol	1602				
Meifod	1069				
Llanfihangel	895				
Banwy	847				
Llanfair Caereinion	1301				
Llanbrynmair	798				
Machynlleth	1701				
Glantwymyn	1701				

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwer - 1830, Efenechtyd - 1321, Ruthin - 4260, Ponciau - 3521, Pant - 1528, Johnstown - 2461, Esclusham - 2013

Wards Removed : Llandinam – 1155, Newtown South - 1215, Llanidloes - 2149, Newtown Central - 2122, Newtown Llanllwchaiarn N - 1796, Newtown Llanllwchaiarn W - 1447, Newtown East - 1401, Churchstoke - 1292, Kerry - 1607, Blaen Hafren - 1876, Caersws - 1831

Revised Electorate : 71,406

Outline Justification

Removal of the Montgomeryshire Wards : See Explanation under Brecon and Radnorshire.


Addition of Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwer, Efenechtyd, Ruthin : See Explanation under Clwyd.

Addition of Ponciau, Pant, Johnstown : These three wards combine together to make up a coherent population centre based on the Rhosllanerchrugog area. With the population of all the wards concentrated in the area where the three wards meet there is a significant overlap in boundaries with many running down the middle of a street with housing on each side. In terms of proximity there are strong links with Ruabon the centre of which is within walking distance of the southern wards. The commission identified this in their 2018 final draft proposals placing these 4 Wards in the same constituency and we propose to replicate this in this submission.

Addition of Esclusham : In order to balance the quota in the Wrexham and Glyndwr constituencies either Minerva or Esclusham ward needs to be added to this constituency. There are cases that could be made for either however we believe there is more synergy between Minerva and adjoining wards in Wrexham than Esclusham. The boundary in the former lies within a settlement whereas the Esclusham boundary follows the centre of the Afon Clywddog with at least two fields separating the nearest properties.

Constituency name

We can agree with the Commissions choice of name but would suggest that "**North Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr**" may be more appropriate given the change in constituency makeup as the name for this constituency.



Newport East - Dwyrain Casnewydd

In the context of this submission the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Newport East – Dwyrain Casnewydd**" as the name for this constituency.



Newport West and Caerphilly- Gorllewin Casnewydd a Chaerffili

As noted in the Islwyn description our preference is to link Newport West with wards in the Sirhowy Valley that comprise the core of the old Islwyn seat. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Newport</u>		<u>From Caerphilly</u>	
Allt-yr-yn	7088	Ynysddu	2978
Graig	5053	Crosskeys	2527
Gaer	6543	Risca East	4611
Tredegar Park	3329	Risca West	3973
Marshfield	4897	Pontllanfraith	6343
Rogerstone	9421	Crumlin	4332
		Newbridge	4892
		Abercarn	4139
		Penmaen	4219

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Ynysddu - 2978, Crosskeys - 2527, Risca East - 4611, Risca West - 3973, Pontllanfraith - 6343, Crumlin - 4332, Newbridge - 4892, Abercarn - 4139, Penmaen - 4219

Wards Removed : Aber Valley – 4655, Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen – 7902 ,
St. James – 4267, Penyrheol – 9021, Morgan Jones – 5636, St. Martins - 6582

Revised Electorate : 75,345

Outline Justification

Removal of Aber Valley, Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen, St. James , Penyrheol, Morgan Jones, St. Martins : See explanation under Islwyn.

Addition of Ynysddu, Crosskeys, Risca East, Risca West, Pontllanfraith, Crumlin, Newbridge, Abercarn, Penmaen : The addition of these wards as well as bringing the constituency up to quota follows a coherent set of boundaries based on dividing higher ground, the main road network and the river Sirhowy. The only boundary where there is a road dividing properties into two constituencies is the Blackwood Pontllanfraith boundary.



0

Constituency name

Having followed the Sirhowy Valley and linked into Islwyn rather than into Caerphilly we would suggest the name of “**Newport West with Islwyn**” or the alternative “**Newport West with South Islwyn**” if the term North Islwyn is used in the name of the Caerphilly constituency.

Pontypridd

As part of the wider remodelling in the western half of the Valleys we would transfer two wards out of the constituency proposed by the Commission in the Cynon Valley area and transfer back the two Tonyrefail wards that the Commission added to Rhondda. Both these changes consolidate historic boundaries. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards all from within Rhondda Cynon Taf.

Tonteg	3183	Hawthorn	3180	Cilfynydd	2110
Church Village	4424	Rhydfelen Central/Ilan	3037	Glyncoch	2006
Llantwit Fardre	4825	Mountain Ash West	3123	Beddau	3172
Tyn-y-Nant	2454	Ynysybwl	3433	Tonyrefail East	4433
Graig	1885	Penrhiwceiber	4056	Tonyrefail West	4896
Treforest	2862	Mountain Ash East	2254	Llantrisant Town	3187
Trallwng	2824	Abercynon	4441	Talbot Green	1965
Pontypridd Town	2208	Rhondda	3458		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Tonyrefail East - 4433, Tonyrefail West - 4896


Wards Removed : Aberaman North - 3609, Aberaman South - 3541

Revised Electorate : 73,416

Outline Justification

Removal of Aberaman North, Aberaman South : see explanation under Merthyr Tydful and Aberdare.

Addition of Tonyrefail East . Tonyrefail West : These adjoining wards are the only two wards from the existing Pontypridd constituency that the commission suggest adding to the Rhondda. However with the need to extend the Cardiff West seat to include 3 wards further south that the commission also assigned to the Rhondda there is no need to retain these in the other seat. Instead we propose the retention of them with the remainder of the existing Pontypridd constituency which is now retained intact with the exception of Taffs Wells.



Constituency name

Whilst we are content with the Commissions proposed name for the constituency we would also suggest that the name **"Pontypridd with Lower Cynon"** would reflect the presence of wards for the historic Cynon Valley seat and would mirror our proposed change to the name of the Merthyr constituency.

Rhondda

As a result of changes to Cardiff South it was necessary to move a number of wards from the Commissions proposed Rhondda Seat into a revised Cardiff West likewise the changes for Pontypridd reduced the size of the constituency. To balance this we propose to move 10 wards out of the proposed Bridgend constituency and create a new Rhondda and Ogmore constituency in its place recognising the historic name of Ogmore in the process. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Rhondda Cynon Taf</u>		<u>From Bridgend</u>	
Treherbert	4158	Nant-y-moel	1745
Maerdy	2283	Blackmill	1839
Pentre	3902	Ogmore Vale	2357
Treorchy	5693	Pontycymmer	1773
Ystrad	4285	Llangeinor	887
Cwm Clydach	1987	Bryncethin	1261
Ferndale	3114	Penprysg	2474
Llwyn-y-pia	1637	Felindre	2087
Tonypandy	2686	Hendre	3175
Trealaw	2895	Blaengarw	1333
Ynyshir	2380		
Tylorstown	3054		
Porth	4282		
Pen-y-graig	3929		
Cymmer	3977		
Gilfach Goch	2437		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Nant-y-moel - 1745, Blackmill - 1839, Ogmore Vale - 2357. Pontycymmer - 1773, Llangeinor - 887. Bryncethin - 1261, Penprysg - 2474, Felindre - 2087, Hendre - 3175, Blaengarw - 1333
 Wards Removed : Tonyrefail East - 4433, Tonyrefail West – 4896, Llanharri – 3221, Llanharan – 2819, Brynna - 3616


Revised Electorate : 71,630

Outline Justification

Removal of Tonyrefail East . Tonyrefail West : See explanation under Pontypridd.

Removal of Llanharri, Llanharan and Brynna Wards : See explanation under Cardiff West.

Addition of wards from the historic constituency of Ogmore : See explanation under Bridgend.



Constituency name

Given the addition of wards from further west we would suggest the name **“Rhondda with Ogmore”** would better represent the new boundaries.

Swansea Central and North - Canol a Gogledd Abertawe

Whilst many of our counter proposals are part of a wider reconfiguration our alternative suggestions in Swansea to the west of the Tawe are self contained and draw on a number of principles established in previous reviews that were not subsequently enacted. This would mean transferring the 3 central Swansea wards to the proposed Swansea West and Gower seat and 7 wards from that seat into this one. Following the changes we would propose the revised name of Swansea North. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards all within the Swansea Council Boundary.

Upper Loughor	2146	Llangyfelach	3946	Mynyddbach	6625
Penyrheol	4621	Mawr	1438	Penderry	7397
Lower Loughor	1795	Penllergaer	2553	Landore	4821
Kingsbridge	3506	Cwmbwrla	5622	Pontardulais	4954
Gorseinon	3340	Morrison	12105	Cockett	10473

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Upper Loughor - 2146, Cockett - 10473, Penyrheol - 4621, Lower Loughor - 1795. Kingsbridge - 3506, Gorseinon - 3340

Wards Removed : Townhill – 5592, Castle – 10312, Uplands - 10834

Revised Electorate : 75,071

Outline Justification

Removal of Townhill, Castle, Uplands : These three wards which are currently within the Swansea West constituency have a complex internal boundary which both proposals recognise by retaining as a single unit. In the Commission proposals these wards are then placed with northern and rural wards. We would contend that this breaks the natural boundaries between Uplands and Sketty wards separating the University campus from the large number of Student flats that comprise a high percentage of the Uplands Electorate as evidenced by the increase of 2k voters between 2018 and now as students excluded from the previous review are now back on the register. It is therefore our view that these wards should be transferred to a Swansea West and Gower constituency.

Addition of Upper Loughor, Lower Loughor, Kingsbridge, Gorseinon : Submission by the Liberal Democrats and local communities that these 4 wards comprise a coherent community entity was accepted at the 2018 review. We would again reach this conclusion and would retain them as a single unit in one constituency in this case a Swansea North Constituency.

Addition of Penyrheol: This ward then becomes detached from any other constituency so logically should be added.

Addition of Cockett: The 2018 review accepted the evidence from the Swansea and Gower Liberal Democrats and a former Assembly Member for the area that the wards of Cwmbwrla and Cockett are intrinsically linked though the Gendos estate. In 2018 the reduction in student numbers made it possible to link these wards with others in Swansea West to create a coherent constituency. On this occasion

those additional residents would leave the constituency over quota. Instead we propose that they make up a coherent southern boundary for what is essentially a North Swansea constituency.



Constituency name

Having removed the 3 City centre wards from the constituency we would propose the simplified name of “Swansea North – Gogledd Abertawe” for the revised constituency.

Swansea East and Neath - Dwyrain Abertawe a Chastell-nedd

As part of a wider review of the seats around the western part of South Wales we do not accept the proposal to split the historic Neath constituency into two with parts combined with an existing seat in a different Senedd Electoral Region. Instead we would retain the entire historic constituency and add two wards from the NE of the Swansea council area which are contiguous to the boundary. This change also facilitates the retention of the historic Aberavon seat as the core of an additional constituency.

This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

<u>From Neath -Port Talbot</u>		<u>From Neath -Port Talbot</u>		<u>From Swansea</u>	
Lower Brynamman	1040	Glynneath	2577	Clydach	5821
Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen	2220	Blaengwrach	1491	Llansamlet	11107
Pontardawe	4283	Resolven	2387		
Cwmllynfell	921	Tonna	2072		
Ystalyfera	2169	Neath North	2931		
Rhos	1997	Neath South	3694		
Crynant	1508	Cimla	3107		
Godre'r graig	1514	Bryn-coch South	4547		
Allt-wen	2023	Dyffryn	2447		
Trebanos	1092	Neath East	4468		
Bryn-coch North	1787	Onllwyn	935		
Aberdulais	1712	Seven Sisters	1554		
Cadoxton	1365	Pelenna	936		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Lower Brynamman - 1040, Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen - 2220, Pontardawe - 4283, Cwmllynfell - 921, Ystalyfera - 2169, Rhos - 1997, Godre'r graig - 1514, Allt-wen - 2023, Trebanos - 1092

Wards Removed : Coedffranc North – 1811, Coedffranc Central – 2892, Coedffranc West – 3587, Bonymaen – 5391, St. Thomas - 5514,

Revised Electorate : 73,705

Outline Justification

Removal of Coedffranc wards : See Explanation under Aberavon.

Removal of St Thomas and Bonymaen : See Explanation under Aberavon.

Addition of Lower Brynamman, Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen, Pontardawe, Cwmllynfell, Ystalyfera, Rhos, Godre'r graig, Allt-wen, Trebanos : See Explanation under Brecon and Radnorshire.



Constituency name

The changes to the boundaries are such that the majority of the constituency is made up of Neath wards and as the 4 Swansea wards east of the Tawe are split in our proposal over two constituencies we would propose the alternative name of “Neath with North East Swansea” for this constituency.

Swansea West and Gower – Gorllewin Abertawe a Gwyr

Whilst many of our counter proposals are part of a wider reconfiguration our alternative suggestions in Swansea to the west of the Tawe are self contained and draw on a number of principles established in previous reviews that were now subsequently enacted. This would mean transferring the 3 central Swansea wards to the this seat and moving 7 wards from this seat into the northern one.

This would create a constituency made up of the following wards all within the Swansea Council Boundary.

Gower	2990	West Cross	5142	Killay South	1857
Pennard	2229	Sketty	11304	Penclawdd	2932
Newton	2894	Mayals	2148	Townhill	5592
Bishopston	2743	Fairwood	2278	Castle	10312
Oystermouth	3313	Killay North	2031	Uplands	10834
Gowerton	3978	Dunvant	3494		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Townhill – 5592, Castle – 10312, Uplands - 10834


Wards Removed : Upper Loughor - 2146, Cockett - 10473, Penyrheol - 4621, Lower Loughor - 1795.
Kingsbridge - 3506, Gorseinon - 3340

Revised Electorate : 76071

Outline Justification

Addition of Townhill, Castle, Uplands : See explanation under Swansea Central and North.

Removal of Upper Loughor, Cockett, Penyrheol, Lower Loughor. Kingsbridge, Gorseinon : See explanation under Swansea Central and North.



Constituency name

Following these changes we considered if it was appropriate to use the descriptor Swansea South to mirror the uses of North elsewhere however on balance we felt there was insufficient grounds to deviate from current recognisable names so we support the Commission plan to use the name **"Swansea West and Gower"**.

Torfaen

In the context of this submission the Liberal Democrats are in agreement with this proposal.

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of **"Torfaen"** as the name for this constituency.

Vale of Glamorgan – Bro Morgannwg

Whilst broadly in favour of the Commission proposal our model allows the Dinas Powys ward to transfer into the Vale of Glamorgan Constituency thereby retaining the historic Vale of Glamorgan seat in an unaltered form. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

St. Bride's Major	2732	Peterston-super-Ely	1855	Baruc	6080
Llandow/Ewenny	2362	Rhosee	5796	Buttrills	4447
Llantwit Major	7939	Wenvoe	2915	Gibbonsdown	3827
Cowbridge	5240	Dyfan	4170	Cadoc	7244
St. Athan	2765	Illtyd	6242	Court	3370
Dinas Powys	6388	Castleland	3442		

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Dinas Powys – 6388 Electors

Wards Removed : - none

Revised Electorate : 76,814

Outline Justification

Addition of Dinas Powys: Changes noted elsewhere in Cardiff in order to make Cardiff South fully contiguous at high water means the Dinas Powys ward is no longer required to make quota. Accordingly using the principle of retaining the boundaries of historic constituencies within a single new seat it is appropriate to retain the ward within the Vale of Glamorgan Constituency.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Vale of Glamorgan**" as the name for this constituency.

Wrexham - Wreccsam

As a result of wider changes in the adjoining Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr seat we transfer 4 wards from the proposed Wrexham seat into this constituency. This would then allow the wards of Minerva and Brymbo to be transferred from Alyn and Deeside into this constituency in line with our position of limiting the number of Councils an MP would have to interact with wherever possible. This would create a constituency made up of the following wards.

Rossett	2643	Erddig	1444	Whitegate	1594
Marford and Hoseley	1824	Offa	1428	Hermitage	1544
Llay	3513	Grosvenor	1698	Smithfield	1376
Gresford East and West	2337	Garden Village	1656	Marchwiell	1830
Gwersyllt East and South	3601	Stansty	1641	Holt	2479
Gwersyllt North	1995	Borras Park	1968	Bronington	2620
Gwersyllt West	2263	Acton	2177	Overton	2710
Bryn Cefn	1543	Little Acton	1843	Brymbo	3021
Gwenfro	1217	Rhosnesni	2827	Minera	1870
New Broughton	2842	Maesydre	1420	Wynnstay	1159
Coedpoeth	3482	Cartrefle	1545	Queensway	1377
Brynyffynnon	2477				

Summary of Changes

Wards Added : Brymbo - 3021, Minera - 1870


Wards Removed : Ponciau - 3521, Pant - 1528, Johnstown - 2461, Esclusham - 2013

Revised Electorate : 70,964

Outline Justification

Addition of Brymbo, Minera : See Explanation under Alyn and Deeside.

Removal of Ponciau, Pant, Johnstown and Esclusham : See explanation under Montgomeryshire and Glyndŵr.



Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Wrexham**" as the name for this constituency.

Ynys Môn

The boundaries of this constituency are protected by statute

Constituency name

We agree with the Commissions choice of "**Ynys Mon**" as the name for this constituency.

Appendix 1 : Liberal Democrats Constituency Proposals

Constituency Name	Electorate	Variance from UKEC
Alyn and Deeside	70651	-3.7%
Brecon, Radnor and Montgomery	72745	-0.9%
Bridgend	76623	4.4%
Caerphilly	74347	1.3%
Cardiff South and Penarth	70394	-4.1%
Cardiff North	71612	-2.4%
Cardiff West	75359	2.7%
Cardiff Central	75725	3.2%
Carmarthenshire	71354	-2.8%
Ceredigion a Preseli	76269	3.9%
Aberconwy	69825	-4.9%
Newport West a Islwyn	74345	1.3%
Pontypridd and Lower Cynon	73416	0.0%
Swansea West and Gower	76071	3.6%
Dwyfor Meirionedd	69803	-4.9%
Llanelli	71972	-1.9%
Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare	74805	1.9%
Mid and South Pembrokeshire	74614	1.7%
Monmouthshire	72681	-1.0%
Neath and NE Swansea	73705	0.4%
Newport East	76159	3.8%
North Montgomeryshire and Glyndwr	71406	-2.7%
Aberavon and Swansea SE	74821	1.9%
Rhondda and Ogmore	71630	-2.4%
Swansea North	75342	2.7%
Torfaen	70591	-3.8%
Vale of Glamorgan	76814	4.7%
Clwyd West	75359	2.7%
Wrexham	70964	-3.3%

BCW-9993/ [REDACTED] / Monmouthshire

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 November 2021 09:39
To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>
Subject: Boundary Changes - Monmouthshire

Dear Sirs.

I write to support the proposals for a Monmouthshire Parliamentary constituency with the same boundaries as Monmouthshire County Council .This will increase the public`s understanding and appreciation of those who represent them ending the confusion caused by some of the Parliamentary boundary being in Torfaen and some of the County boundary being in Newport East constituency.

Regards.

BCW-9994/ [REDACTED] / Newcastle Emlyn

As a resident of Ceredigion I support the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency because it represents a fair distribution of the population to constituency

BCW-9995/ [REDACTED] / Newcastle Emlyn

As a resident of Ceredigion I support the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency.

BCW-9996/ [REDACTED] / Rhondda

I am 77 year old in my lifetime I have seen several changes made to our counties amalgamating our local authorities without any consultation of the electorate. Enough stop interfering in our heritage by continually changing our boundaries. My address is [REDACTED] Rhondda Glamorgan South Wales first they split Glamorgan into different names then turned the Rhondda into the nonentity that is RCT Enough. Leave it as is we lose our identity

BCW-9997/ [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries, and would make the following comments to be taken in to account as part of the initial consultation process.

As a resident of Castle View, Caerphilly I am dismayed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, but am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Caerphilly I have never identified as being tied into Newport for any leisure or business activities, my engagement is with Cardiff. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, be it by car or public transport. The roadlinks to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

All of my neighbours I have spoken to share my view that trying to link our community to Newport rather than Cardiff makes no sense at all and would not be at all welcomed.

I believe that there are a number of areas, currently in the Islwyn constituency which would far more readily identify with Newport than my local community, and many of those Islwyn communities also benefit from much better transport links with the City of Newport.

In conclusion, I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Caerphilly.

I understand that Wayne David MP, for Caerphilly has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

BCW-9998/ [REDACTED] / Cardigan

As a resident of Ceredigion I support the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency.

BCW-9999/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

I find this utterly disgraceful, you have deliberately kept this quiet from the people in East Swansea so it can be push through without any objection. I live in Swansea not Neath.

I object because although this might start as "just for Westminster parliamentary elections" what is to say that Neath decides to push for other changes including council tax, education etc. These decisions impact my children's futures and the financial implications are horrendous.

I object whole heartily!

BCW-10000/ [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries, and would make the following comments to be taken in to account as part of the initial consultation process.

As a resident of Castle View, Caerphilly I am dismayed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, but am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Caerphilly I have never identified as being tied into Newport for any leisure or business activities, my engagement is with Cardiff. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, be it by car or public transport. The roadlinks to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

All of my neighbours I have spoken to share my view that trying to link our community to Newport rather than Cardiff makes no sense at all and would not be at all welcomed.

I believe that there are a number of areas, currently in the Islwyn constituency which would far more readily identify with Newport than my local community, and many of those Islwyn communities also benefit from much better transport links with the City of Newport.

In conclusion, I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Caerphilly.

I understand that Wayne David MP, for Caerphilly has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

BCW-10001 / [REDACTED] / Hengoed

I read with considerable concern, as a resident in Hengoed, the Commission's initial proposals for changing the Parliamentary Constituency Boundaries.

I have lived in Hengoed for approaching 10 years. I can genuinely say that during that time my level of engagement with the City of Newport is minimal. For work, business, shopping and leisure activities my involvement outside of the Caerphilly area would invariably be with Cardiff, not Newport. A major factor in this regard would be transport links, both road and rail. Indeed, in the case of the latter, I would have to travel into Cardiff to get to Newport.

The lumping of places like Hengoed, the Caerphilly basin, and the communities of Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen with Newport, makes no sense whatsoever. I make this observation in the context of the historical, cultural, and geographical, including transport, links with Cardiff, not Newport, as far as these communities are concerned.

There are other communities, currently within the Islwyn area, which I know from friends living there, more readily identify with Newport rather than Cardiff.

I am aware that there has been a counter proposal submitted by Wayne David MP, which maintains the integrity of the numbers per constituency. Having looked at this counter proposal it is evident that, this far more logically takes account of the historical, cultural and geographical links of the communities in the Caerphilly County Borough area, than the Commission's initial proposals.

BCW-10002/ [REDACTED] / Prestatyn

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 November 2021 09:57

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Boundary Commission Review

Dear [REDACTED]

I write to confirm my disagreement with the Wales Boundary review for the 4 reasons below:

- a. I live in Prestatyn, Denbighshire and firstly I do not feel there is any need to divide the Vale of Clwyd – strength comes from numbers and since the Vale has been united there has been lots of progress for the region. Calling one half a completely different name is also quite divisive at a time in history when I personally believe we need more unity not more division within Wales and the UK, not to mention the fact that Prestatyn and Ruthin were never in the old Delyn district and actually, many locals still refer to the area as Clwyd, not Denbighshire.
- b. Secondly the Commission's proposal would sever the strong ties between towns along the North Wales coast, here we all feel part of that coastline, if you drive along it you'll see that apart from town markers, there's little visible to separate say, Rhyl, Kinmel Bay & Towyn. That's not to say an area doesn't have it's own identity, but being so close with boundaries really being almost invisible means many local services are shared.
- c. Conversely, there is a clear and visible difference between south and west Flintshire and Denbighshire and the Flint & Bagillt side, which are more industrialised and in my opinion a return to the old boundary at Greenfield would make much more sense.
- d. Separating Corwen and Llandrillo from Ruthin however, seems to make no sense. Have you been? They all form a beautiful section of Denbighshire, only a few miles from each other, again, residents of these areas refer to themselves as being from 'over that way' and having recently visited Ruthin Community Hospital I can see why. Their position in the heart of the Vale draws them naturally together.
- e. Similarly there are enduring links between Argoed/Leeswood/New Brighton and Mold and yet the proposals split them up. They're already in the existing Alyn & Deeside seat so I cannot understand the value / need to separate them?

I understand the need to change boundaries that are a generation old but from the perspective of the actual population these changes are meant to benefit, it feels like the bonds between those populations are being unnecessarily broken when an

alternative solution would build on the strength of our existing community links. I hope someone may listen to the actual people affected and reconsider.

Many thanks in advance.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]



Comisiynydd y
Gymraeg
Welsh Language
Commissioner

Boundary Commission for Wales
Via email to:
cffg@ffiniau.cymru

01/01/2021

Dear Commissioners,

Response to the consultation on initial proposals for the 2023 Parliamentary Review

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the consultation on the initial proposals for the 2023 Parliamentary Review. The Local Democracy and Boundary Commission for Wales has been consulting with us on the names of the electoral wards over recent years and we have already offered our recommendations together with detailed explanatory notes about those names. We will therefore not be addressing those names here as they are not within the scope of this consultation. It must be noted, however, that the names of the wards included in the consultation document are not always in line with the standard forms we have recommended.


It must also be noted that we proposed at the beginning of this process that the Welsh Language Commissioner, by virtue of our responsibility to recommend the standard forms of Welsh place-names and our expertise in the field, would be able to offer you advice on the names of the parliamentary constituencies before you published the consultation documents. You judged that this would not be appropriate, but looking at the names of the proposed parliamentary constituencies, we would like to highlight a few names where it might have been advantageous for us to discuss them in advance:

Brecon and Radnor (Aberhonddu a Maesyfed)

Is it the intention to refer to the town of Brecon here rather than to the wider Breconshire area? The Welsh *Brycheiniog a Maesyfed* combination is a familiar one that is widely used, of course. *Brycheiniog a Sir Faesyfed* is also commonly used as the Welsh name of the existing constituency, although the suggestion in the consultation document is that the constituency currently has only one official English name, *Brecon and Radnorshire*. If the

Comisiynydd y Gymraeg

Welsh Language Commissioner



intention is to refer to the town of Brecon rather than the old Breconshire/Sir Frycheiniog, however, then the name is correct as it is in Welsh.

Alyn and Deeside (Alun a Glannau Dyfrdwy)

Please note that *Alun* is the standard form for this name. This is the form used in the standard national reference book, *A Gazetteer of Welsh Place-Names* (University of Wales Press, 1967). Whilst we acknowledged that *Alyn* is a well-established form, this consultation could have been an opportunity to promote the use of the individual form, *Alun*, and receive a public response to that proposal.

Bridgend (Pen-y-bont)

It should be noted that *Pen-y-bont ar Ogwr* is the full standard form for the settlement name and is the form expected to be seen in official contexts. It also helps to locate the constituency, of course. Has the Commission considered adopting the full form?

Inconsistencies in the consultation document

It is also worth drawing your attention to the inconsistencies in the consultation document in how some of the names are spelled. It must be ensured that *Meirionnydd* is spelled consistently with a double -n- each time in *Dwyfor a Meirionnydd*, for example. It is spelled correctly throughout the document apart from on page 16, where the name appears for the first time. Similarly, the circumflex is missing in *Aberdar*, *Glyndwr* and *Gwyr* on page 16, although they are spelled correctly in the rest of the document. It must also be ensured that *Ynys Mon* is always spelled correctly with the circumflex. Note that the circumflex is currently missing on page 15. It is essential that the Commission ensures standard and consistency in these matters before publishing the final proposals and before these names are placed on the statute books.

Naming and Designating

We welcome the statement on page 7 of the consultation document that the 'Commission considers that it is appropriate for each constituency in Wales to have alternative names in English and Welsh. This reflects the official status of the Welsh language in Wales'.

However, we have a concern regarding the term 'alternative names', and that this fails to recognise the official status of the Welsh language in Wales. The names should have equal status in both languages. The designation of the second form between brackets does not imply equal status or treatment. It is also not clear what the Commission's criteria are for deciding which language should take precedence when a constituency has two names. This policy is also not in line with the principles of the Welsh language standards to which local authorities and other organisations in Wales are subject. These include duties to ensure that Welsh text is treated no less favourably than English text and that Welsh text on a sign should be placed so that it is likely to be read first. Your initial


proposals would therefore lead to a lower standard than that which has become expected by the public in Wales.

It was noted at the beginning of this response that the Local Democracy and Boundary Commission for Wales has been consulting with us on the ward names over recent years, and this is another opportunity for us to express our concern more generally about that process. Although we provided detailed recommendations and explanatory notes from experts in the field of place-names, the Commissioner's recommendations were not always accurately represented and communicated in the Local Democracy and Boundary Commission's final reports to the Welsh Government. Our opposition to a few forms was not stated, and the wording was so vague in some parts that the reader would assume that we were endorsing forms that we in fact opposed. This is a concern we have already had the opportunity to raise with Shereen Williams and her team and we very much hope that we have agreed on a solution where we will have the opportunity to review reports before publishing them. We would be happy to offer you a similar advisory service as you move on to the next stages of the review to ensure the accuracy of the final reports.

I therefore urge you to ensure consistency and quality in the forms used in the next stages of this discussion and emphasise again that my officials would be happy to offer you further support.

I hope that these comments will be of use to you as you continue with the 2023 Parliamentary Review.

Yours sincerely,



Aled Roberts
Welsh Language Commissioner

BCW-10004 / [REDACTED] / Lampeter

If changes have to be made, then the proposed boundary changes which create ceredigion- preseli have the full support of myself and my husband as residents for the last 20 years.

BCW-10005 / Swansea West Labour Party (Uplands) /Swansea

"I am writing as the Secretary of Uplands Branch of Swansea West Labour Party.

The current BCW proposal essentially cuts the central urban footprint of Swansea West in half and puts a rural part of Gower on top of one half. This divides urban communities in the current constituency and instead joins up half of the urban footprint with an unconnected rural area of Gower.

The existing Swansea West constituency runs westwards from the River Tawe with an urban footprint along the coast that reaches into the Gower.

The counterproposal below therefore aims to reconnect local ties and convenience, to reflect the geography and respect existing boundaries. In summary this comprises:-

1. Keeping the four wards in the original Gower seat– Pontardulais (4,954), Mawr (1,438), Llangyfelach (3,946) and Penllergaer (2,553), comprising 12891 voters - within the Swansea West & Gower constituency instead of them being moved into Swansea Central and North
2. Moving two wards originally in the Swansea West constituency - Sketty (11,304) and Mayals (2,148) comprising 13452 voters - to rejoin Uplands in Swansea Central and North
3. Moving Morriston (12,105) from Swansea Central and North into Swansea East and Neath in exchange for Bonymaen (5391) and St.Thomas (5514).
4. This would give the new Swansea West and Gower constituency 74653 voters (75214 – 13452 from Sketty/Mayals + 12891 from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach & Penllergaer)
5. And the new Swansea Central and North would have 75,560 voters (76199 - 13452 +1289 -12,105 + 5391+5514)

This would have the following implications for the statutory factors that will be considered:-

Special geographical considerations

Unlike the existing BCW proposal, the counterproposal does not take a rural part of the established rural constituency of Gower and put it on top of an urban area; nor does it cut away part of the established urban constituency of Swansea West and put it in a rural area with a dividing line cutting through a continuous urban footprint in a way that local people would find hard to understand.

The coast and the River Tawe are natural boundaries for the existing Swansea West constituency. West of the River Tawe, the Castle, Uplands, Sketty and Mayals wards run seamlessly into each and are geographically contiguous along the coast.

Therefore, they should remain connected together within the same constituency. Adding St.Thomas and Bonymaen would continue the coastal frontage to the east of

the River Tawe.

Existing boundaries

Therefore the counterproposal more greatly respects the existing and established constituency boundaries of Gower and Swansea West by repatriating wards exchanged between them. All wards fall within the City and County of Swansea local authority.

Local ties

Mayals is in essence part of Sketty and Sketty is closely integrated with Uplands and Castle for work, leisure, shopping and transport routes and connections.

Swansea University campus is in Sketty and its student population primarily lives in the Uplands and Castle wards. Therefore, students and other communities cross the Sketty/Uplands boundary on a daily basis for work, study, leisure and worship.

Swansea's Muslim population is concentrated in Swansea West, largely in Sketty, Uplands and Castle, so Swansea Mosque's worshippers are primarily represented by one MP. Similarly, church congregations migrate seamlessly across Uplands and Sketty.

Therefore, separating Sketty from Uplands and Castle could cause confusion and undermine local ties across established communities.

Similarly, communities in from Pontardulais, Mawr, Llangyfelach and Penllergaer, currently in the Gower, are rural and semi-rural, and don't consider themselves as part of the urban city centre for community identity but can look towards Llanelli, Neath and Gower.

Inconvenience

It follows from the above that the unamended BCW proposal would create avoidable inconvenience by splitting the student, Muslim and other communities.

Inconvenience would arise from unnecessarily slicing an urban area in one constituency in two and putting half in a rural constituency and connecting the other half with rural wards separated from that rural constituency.

Therefore, this counterproposal is better in terms of convenience.

Local support for alternative

The counterproposal will command greater widespread support from communities and faith groups, in particular those linked to the city centre and businesses, the university community, and equally rural currently who do not regard themselves as closely tied to the city.

Statutory Electorate range

The electorate of the counter-proposed Swansea West and Gower constituency would be 74,653 voters and of the Swansea North and Central constituency 75,560 which would both be within the BCW range of 69,724- 77,062.

In conclusion, this counterproposal more effectively maintains community and

geographic integrity whilst respecting existing boundaries, so I commend it to the Boundary Commission for Wales.

[REDACTED]

Secretary Uplands Branch Swansea West Labour Party

BCW-10006/ [REDACTED] **/ Llangyndeyrn**

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 November 2021 10:23

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Dinefwr and Carmarthen East Constituency

Llangyndeyrn Community Council objects to moving the Llangyndeyrn electoral ward to the new Llanelli constituency.

The Council is strongly of the opinion that, since we are a rural community, it would make more sense to move the community of Llangyndeyrn to the Carmarthen constituency.

On behalf of the Council,

[REDACTED]

Clerk, Llangyndeyrn Community Council

BCW-10007/ [REDACTED] / Gwaenysgor

Revision of UK Parliamentary Constituency Boundaries

Comments

Background: We live in Gwaenysgor on the boundary between Flintshire and Denbighshire, within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and are proud of the surrounding Clwydian Mountain Range.

Support: We support the inclusion of Prestatyn, Meliden and Dyserth in the Delyn Constituency Proposal, as Prestatyn is our local shopping area and rail link. Our children went to school in these areas and have strong links there as well (in fact it was a problem when we were told to stay within our county during lockdown, but then common sense prevailed)

Question these changes: We note that Ruthin would be separated from Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd, Corwen and Llandrillo, however as a member of the Ruthin U3A I know there is a natural affinity within this area with these towns/areas including road links and it would seem a pity to sever the natural links as proposed.

Suggestion: Lastly we would like to comment on the name of 'Delyn', since most of the proposed constituency will be in or insight of the Clwydian Range it would seem appropriate that the name should reflect this.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

BCW-10008/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

I object to the new proposal at the moment, as residents such as myself have not been informed of the implications of such a change. No information has been distributed, and I was only made aware of this a few minutes ago. Such changes that may affect local residents facilities, financials, school districts etc MUST be based on consultation with the relevant areas inhabitants. I look forward to your response and fully expect to receive some substantive information on how this change will affect us residents.

BCW-10009/ [REDACTED] / Pontrhydfendigaid

The area now marked appears to be logical and represents a fair number of constituents.

BCW-10010/ [REDACTED] / Abergelle

I understand that these changes are required, however as a North Walian resident (having been born and bread in Wales), I don't feel as though the proposed changes are fairly distributed across Wales.

From perusing the map, there appears to be very large areas in north and mid Wales who will be represented by one MP. However in south Wales, as usual, there are many more MPs. I appreciate that you will have worked this out using population figures to guide your proposal, however this is yet another example of where south Wales are receiving preferential treatment. It appears to be a continued trend that north and mid Wales are far less represented in both Welsh Government and UK parliament.

As a member of the public and an NHS worker, we in north Wales NEED fair representation, not only to protect our valuable assets going into the uncertain years ahead, but to also future-proof services and ensure they are both sustainable and meet the needs of the north Wales community. Far too often decisions are made in the south which do not represent the needs of the north.

BCW-10011/ [REDACTED] / Llandysul

I think the proposals are acceptable and will reduce the numbers in the assembly.

BCW-10012/ [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

the proposed boundary changes give a more balanced view of the people living in Wales and are more representative than what we have now.

BCW-10013/ [REDACTED] / Newcastle Emlyn

As a resident of Ceredigion I support the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency.

BCW-10014/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

I object to these proposals.

BCW-10015/ [REDACTED] Llangunnor Community Council / Llangunnor

The proposed change of electoral boundaries was discussed at our recent full Council meeting, and the Councillors have instructed me to write to you in strong terms to object to this change.

Under the proposals it would see our Community Council become part of the new Llanelli constituency, where presently we are part of the Carmarthen East and Dinefwr constituency.

As we border Carmarthen town it makes no sense for us to be included as part of Llanelli where the administrative town of Llanelli is approximately 17 miles away, and Carmarthen is literally next door to us..

We are open to the suggestion that if any other Community Councils bordering the new Llanelli boundary that are currently excluded but now wish to be included and are happy to do a swap with Llangunnor then that would be fine.

As it stands we object to the proposed change of constituency boundaries, and would ask for this to be duly recorded.

[REDACTED]

Clerk/Clerk
Llangunnor Community Council.

BCW-10016/ [REDACTED] / Swansea

I object to the changes, making Llansamlet part of Neath for constituency purposes. Llansamlet is part of Swansea and all other local elections should keep with national elections.

They are different areas which means I would no longer have a say about who I could vote as my local MP. The MPs in Neath would not be interested in the Swansea area.

BCW-10017/ [REDACTED] / Aberystwyth

as a resident of Ceredigion I support the proposed Ceredigion/Preseli constituency

BCW-10018 / Gareth Davies MS / Vale of Clwyd

Boundary Commission for Wales
Hastings House
Fitzalan Court
Cardiff
CF24 0BL

By email to:- bcw@boundaries.wales

3rd November 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

Firstly I would like to thank you for undertaking the work on reviewing the UK Parliamentary Constituency Boundaries in Wales and I understand that this requires a lot of detailed work so please accept my gratitude to your workforce.

I have been proudly representing the Vale of Clwyd Constituency since May of this year in the Welsh Parliament (Senedd) and have been taking a key interest in the development of the proposed UK Parliamentary Boundary Changes and the affects these have on my area in particular. It is with some degree of sadness in regards to the abolishment of my constituency at a UK Parliament level as I class the Vale of Clwyd as my home which I'm proud and privileged to represent, but also understand the nature of Parliamentary Boundaries and constituencies always being subject to review.

The main purpose of the letter in my capacity as a Member of the Welsh Parliament is to inform you of my endorsement and support of the comments made by Vale of Clwyd MP Dr James Davies in his letter to you (attached) and would be grateful if you can consider the observations made by Dr Davies in the knowledge that they're fully supported from my offices perspective and would like to see them being reflected in the final proposals as they are a fair representation of our areas geography, history and demographics.

Yours sincerely,

Gareth Davies MS Vale of Clwyd

Cc Dr James Davies MP

BCW-10019/ [REDACTED] Ceredigion Conservative Association / Aberystwyth

The Ceredigion Conservative Association has carefully reviewed the proposed changes to our constituency, with it becoming Ceredigion Preseli.

Whilst we recognise that the constituency will become geographically large, we see the proposals as being the most pragmatic solution to the necessary requirement to redraw constituency boundaries. We recognise the imperative for this if our democratic process is to be seen to be fair for all.

In reaching this conclusion we have considered the effects of alternative solutions on neighbouring constituencies, and tried to take a "West Wales" approach. Solutions which might be more "convenient" for Ceredigion, will have an adverse impact on neighbouring constituencies.

Taken in the round, The Ceredigion Conservative Association strongly supports the proposal for the boundaries of the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency.



2nd November 2021

Boundary Commission for Wales

Ref: Vale of Glamorgan Parliamentary Constituency

Dear Commissioners

I write to object most strongly to the proposals to move Dinas Powys Ward from the existing Vale of Glamorgan Parliamentary Constituency to Cardiff South and Penarth Parliamentary Constituency.

I have served as an elected representative on the Vale of Glamorgan County Borough Council and its predecessor the Vale of Glamorgan Borough Council from May 1979 until I retired in May 2017. In that time, I have served as Leader, Mayor and chaired many committees. I served on the Welsh Local Government Association and Chaired the Board of the Local Government Data Unit.

I am very familiar with the towns and villages that form part of the close knit communities of the Vale of Glamorgan and indeed I maintain that Dinas Powys is an integral part of that Vale community. Long before Cardiff became the Capital or even a major port as part of the industrial revolution Dinas Powys had the distinction of being included in medieval Welsh political sub-division called the Royal Hundred (Cantref Brenhinol).

It has all the hallmarks of a rural village that has grown up from the early Hillfort and a Norman castle and can boast such features as a village square, village halls and a common. The community of interest of Dinas Powys is therefore as a key part of the Vale of Glamorgan Constituency.

In the Guide to the 2023 Reviews published on the 17 March 2021 it is stated that "Each proposed constituency must contain between 69,724 and 77,062 electors based on the electorate figures supplied by the ONSA on 5 January 2021". According to the figures published on your website for "Existing Constituencies" the number shown for the Vale is 76,811 which means it complies with your own criteria. I propose therefore that there is no justification or need for change.

Dinas Powys can claim a long association with the Vale of Glamorgan, the communities of the Vale of Glamorgan Council area including one of its predecessor authorities the Cardiff Rural District Council which dates back to 1862. Cardiff Rural District was initially known as the Llandaff and Dinas Powis Rural District Council specifically for the areas outside of what was then the Cardiff Borough.

Finally, it is clear that Dinas Powys has nothing in common with the high density urban areas of Butetown, Grangetown, Splett and Trowbridge which make up a large part of the industrial and dock related heartland of Capital City of Wales.

I recommend that Dinas Powys remain an integral part of the Vale of Glamorgan Parliamentary Constituency and that the people continue to enjoy the close relationship with the Vale communities that they have done for over a century and a half.

Yours sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature and name of the sender. Below it is a smaller black rectangular redaction box, likely covering a title or contact information.

Plaid Cymru Submission
to the
2023 Parliamentary Review
Initial Proposals by
Boundary Commission for Wales



Preface

At the outset Plaid Cymru would like to re-iterate our opposition to the reduction in the number of Members of the Westminster Parliament being returned from Wales. The change in the balance of the number of MPs returned from England and those from Wales is symptomatic of the way in which Wales is treated. Any reduction should be accompanied with a corresponding reassessment of the Devolution settlement, unfortunately at the same time as Wales is seeing its number of MPs reduced we are seeing a Westminster Government that is taking power away from the Welsh Parliament.

However the Boundary Commission, of course, does not have power over the wider political context and has its remit very specifically specified by legislation. In the light of this the Commission is to be congratulated on formulating a set of coherent proposals under challenging conditions. We appreciate that a substantial reduction in the number of MPs will necessarily entail a major-redrawing of the map, and the tight range of permitted electorates either side of the average means that it is an unenviable task to formulate constituencies that do not cut across historic boundaries and local ties.


We wish to propose some amendments to the Commission's proposals. For a number of constituencies these are very minor, while in others we propose alternative arrangements. In some areas, the initial proposals join larger urban populations with smaller slices of more rural hinterlands. While there may be a rationale to this arrangement in terms of commuting, there is a risk that populations in rural or valley areas may feel somewhat disenfranchised by having their distinctive cultural identities outweighed by the voting power of more urban populations. In some cases there seem to us to be alternative arrangements which would allow the joining together of areas which are culturally similar in single constituencies, rather than dividing them between seats which are anchored in a large conurbation.

We also note that the increase in size of a Westminster constituency makes it even harder to fit constituencies inside Local Authority areas.

Wales

Colour coded showing Plaid Cymru's proposed boundaries.

Proposed Name	No. of Electors
1 - Neath & Aberavon	70013
2 - Menai	72319
3 - Alyn & Deeside	76157
4 - Sirhowy	72380
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	73828
6 - Bridgend	76446
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	70272
8 - Cardiff Central & East	75148
9 - Cardiff North	72562
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	76876
11 - Cardiff West	75879
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	74063
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	73751
14 - Delyn	75725
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	69848
16 - Caerphilly	71422
17 - Llanelli	72306
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	73193
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	76820
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	72742
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	72943
22 - Newport East	71066
23 - Newport West	71548
24 - Pontypridd	70798
25 - Rhondda	71684
26 - Swansea East	74486
27 - Lliw & Tawe	71937
28 - Swansea West & Gower	70640
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	72115
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	75610
31 - Wrexham	75685



The South East

- Monmouth and Cwmbran. The current constituency of Monmouth, in existence since 1997, includes four wards from the Cwmbran area. Our proposal would unite the Cwmbran area in this single seat, while losing territory in Abergavenny and Severnside.
- Newport East. Our proposed constituency unites the eastern half of Newport and its natural hinterland in the Severnside area
- Newport West. Our proposed constituency unites western Newport with the Risca area which has stronger ties to Newport than the more 'valley' communities of the Caerphilly area which are in historic Glamorgan rather than Gwent.
- Blaenau Gwent and Pontypool. A shared history and cultural links unite these Valley's areas.
- Sirhowy. Comprising of Blackwood and its hinterland looking north to Tredegar.

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
4 - Sirhowy	Georgetown	3091
4 - Sirhowy	Sirhowy	4210
4 - Sirhowy	Tredegar Central and West	4027
4 - Sirhowy	Gilfach	1534
4 - Sirhowy	Bargoed	4431
4 - Sirhowy	Aberbargoed	2706
4 - Sirhowy	New Tredegar	3357
4 - Sirhowy	Darren Valley	1870
4 - Sirhowy	Twyn Carno	1721
4 - Sirhowy	Pontlloftyn	1432
4 - Sirhowy	Moriah	3128
4 - Sirhowy	Argoed	2035
4 - Sirhowy	Crumlin	4332
4 - Sirhowy	Newbridge	4892
4 - Sirhowy	Abercarn	4139
4 - Sirhowy	Ynysddu	2978
4 - Sirhowy	Pontllanfraith	6343
4 - Sirhowy	Blackwood	6330
4 - Sirhowy	Penmaen	4219
4 - Sirhowy	Cefn Fforest	2845
4 - Sirhowy	Pengam	2760
4 - Sirhowy Total		72380

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llantilio Crossenny	1527
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Devauden	1228
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Dixton with Osbaston	1968
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Drybridge	3034
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Goetre Fawr	2045
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Larkfield	1484
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llanbadoc	1099
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llangybi Fawr	1477
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Mitchel Troy	985
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Overmonnow	1662
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Raglan	1618
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	St. Arvans	1304
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	St. Christopher's	1756
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	St. Kingsmark	2346
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	St. Mary's	1539
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Thornwell	1961
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Trellech United	2249
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Usk	1930
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Wyesham	1701
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llanover	1781
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Two Locks	4715
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Coed Eva	1859
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Fairwater	3918
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Greenmeadow	2028
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Upper Cwmbran	4207
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Pontnewydd	5186
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Croesyceiliog North	2745
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Croesyceiliog South	1460
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llanyrafon East and Ponthir	1803
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llanyrafon West	1946
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	Llantarnam	4864
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran	St Dials	3317
20 - Monmouth & Cwmbran Total		72742

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
22 - Newport East	Langstone	3878
22 - Newport East	Llanwern	3544
22 - Newport East	Caerleon	6603
22 - Newport East	Liswerry	9110
22 - Newport East	Victoria	5121
22 - Newport East	St. Julians	6287
22 - Newport East	Beechwood	5611
22 - Newport East	Alway	5931
22 - Newport East	Ringland	5968
22 - Newport East	Caerwent	1699
22 - Newport East	Portskewett	1885
22 - Newport East	Shirenewton	1850
22 - Newport East	Caldicot Castle	1768
22 - Newport East	Green Lane	1482
22 - Newport East	Severn	1325
22 - Newport East	West End	1456
22 - Newport East	Dewstow	1404
22 - Newport East	Rogiet	1365
22 - Newport East	The Elms	2523
22 - Newport East	Mill	2256
22 - Newport East Total		71066
23 - Newport West	Marshfield	4897
23 - Newport West	Tredegar Park	3329
23 - Newport West	Gaer	6543
23 - Newport West	Allt-yr-yn	7088
23 - Newport West	Rogerstone	9421
23 - Newport West	Graig	5053
23 - Newport West	Bettws	5656
23 - Newport West	Pillgwenlly	5174
23 - Newport West	Stow Hill	3384
23 - Newport West	Shaftesbury	3778
23 - Newport West	Malpas	6114
23 - Newport West	Risca East	4611
23 - Newport West	Risca West	3973
23 - Newport West	Crosskeys	2527
23 - Newport West Total		71548

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Blaenavon	4575
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Pontnewynydd	1129
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Abersychan	5044
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Snatchwood	1819
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Trevethin	2463
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	New Inn	4777
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Cwmynisoy	987
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Wainfelin	1847
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Pontypool	1438
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Brynwern	1295
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	St. Cadocs and Penygarn	1341
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Panteg	5828
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Rassau	2463
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Beaufort	2717
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Brynmaur	4028
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Nantyglo	3350
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Badminton	2452
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Ebbw Vale North	3268
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Ebbw Vale South	2959
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Cwm	3254
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Blaina	3497
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Cwmtillery	3383
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Six Bells	1740
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Abertillery	3074
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool	Llanhilleth	3387
29 - Blaenau Gwent & Pontypool Total		72115

Central Glamorgan

Central Glamorgan consists of three distinct but linked geographical areas; City of Cardiff, the South Coast and the former mining valleys. Our proposals try to keep these areas distinct.


- Rhondda. By joining the Rhondda Valleys with the Ely Valley we can reach the quota and this corresponds with the former Rhondda Borough Council together with the Ely part of the former Taff Ely Borough Council. It has good transport links.
- Merthyr & Aberdare. These towns have always had a close relationship, being part of the same constituency in the 19th Century, there are strong transport links and are served by the same hospital.
- Pontypridd. We propose re-joining Pontypridd with the communities at the southern end of the Cynon Valley, these wards have a close connection with Pontypridd and during the last boundary review there was strong opposition to moving a number of them to the Cynon Valley from Pontypridd. We also propose including the wards of Creigiau and Pentyrch both of which have a strong connection with the Pontyclun and Taffs Well areas and were formerly part of the Pontypridd constituency.
- Caerphilly. By joining the Caerphilly town area together with Ystrad Mynach and the wards around Nelson we believe we can create a better and more logical seat than that proposed by the Boundary Commission.

Central Glamorgan (cont.)

- Cardiff North, Cardiff West and Cardiff Central & East. Economic factors, transport connections and identity tend to be focussed at a city wide level or at a more local ward rather than at the existing constituency level. We have therefore avoided splitting wards whilst keeping our proposals compact.
- Barry & Cardiff South. There are not enough registered electors in the City of Cardiff to form 4 constituencies and as there already links with Penarth we propose extending this to include the town of Barry.
- Bridgend. Comprising of the Upland areas of the county of Bridgend together with the town.
- Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan. The Vale of Glamorgan holds a lot in common with the area to the south of Bridgend and has good transport links.

Central Glamorgan

Comprising of the Counties of Merthyr Tydfil, Rhondda Cynon Taf, Cardiff, Vale of Glamorgan and Bridgend.



- Rhondda
- Pontypridd
- Merthyr & Aberdare
- Cardiff West
- Cardiff North
- Cardiff Central & East
- Barry & Cardiff South
- Bridgend
- Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan
- Ward Boundary
- Local Authority Boundary

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
25 - Rhondda	Trealaw	2895
25 - Rhondda	Cwm Clydach	1987
25 - Rhondda	Cymmer	3977
25 - Rhondda	Ferndale	3114
25 - Rhondda	Llwyn-y-pia	1637
25 - Rhondda	Maerdy	2283
25 - Rhondda	Pentre	3902
25 - Rhondda	Pen-y-graig	3929
25 - Rhondda	Porth	4282
25 - Rhondda	Tonypandy	2686
25 - Rhondda	Treherbert	4158
25 - Rhondda	Treorchy	5693
25 - Rhondda	Tylorstown	3054
25 - Rhondda	Ynyshir	2380
25 - Rhondda	Ystrad	4285
25 - Rhondda	Llanharry	3221
25 - Rhondda	Brynna	3616
25 - Rhondda	Llanharan	2819
25 - Rhondda	Tonyrefail West	4896
25 - Rhondda	Tonyrefail East	4433
25 - Rhondda	Gilfach Goch	2437
25 - Rhondda Total		71684

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
24 - Pontypridd	Talbot Green	1965
24 - Pontypridd	Llantrisant Town	3187
24 - Pontypridd	Beddau	3172
24 - Pontypridd	Llantwit Fardre	4825
24 - Pontypridd	Tyn-y-Nant	2454
24 - Pontypridd	Ton-teg	3183
24 - Pontypridd	Hawthorn	3180
24 - Pontypridd	Rhydfelen Central/Ilan	3037
24 - Pontypridd	Church Village	4424
24 - Pontypridd	Treforest	2862
24 - Pontypridd	Graig	1885
24 - Pontypridd	Rhondda	3458
24 - Pontypridd	Pontypridd Town	2208
24 - Pontypridd	Glyncoch	2006
24 - Pontypridd	Trallwng	2824
24 - Pontypridd	Cilfynydd	2110
24 - Pontypridd	Ynysybwl	3433
24 - Pontypridd	Pont-y-clun	6061
24 - Pontypridd	Pentyrch	2819
24 - Pontypridd	Creigiau/St. Fagans	4409
24 - Pontypridd	Taffs Well	2855
24 - Pontypridd	Abercynon	4441
24 - Pontypridd Total		70798

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Cyfarthfa	5457
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Vaynor	2880
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Rhigos	1370
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Hirwaun	3167
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Pen-y-waun	1973
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Aberdare West/Llwydcoed	7404
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Gurnos	3477
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Dowlais	5014
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Park	3296
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Town	5998
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Penydarren	3818
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Cwmbach	3751
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Aberdare East	4909
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Aberaman South	3541
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Aberaman North	3609
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Mountain Ash East	2254
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Mountain Ash West	3123
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Penrhiwceiber	4056
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil	Plymouth	4096
18 - Aberdare & Merthyr Tydfil Total		73193

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
16 - Caerphilly	Maesycwmmmer	1811
16 - Caerphilly	Llanbradach	3239
16 - Caerphilly	Ystrad Mynach	4067
16 - Caerphilly	St. Cattwg	5579
16 - Caerphilly	Hengoed	4055
16 - Caerphilly	Bedwas, Trethomas & Machen	7902
16 - Caerphilly	St. James	4267
16 - Caerphilly	St. Martins	6582
16 - Caerphilly	Morgan Jones	5636
16 - Caerphilly	Penyrheol	9021
16 - Caerphilly	Aber Valley	4655
16 - Caerphilly	Nelson	3563
16 - Caerphilly	Treharris	5270
16 - Caerphilly	Merthyr Vale	2798
16 - Caerphilly	Bedlinog	2977
16 - Caerphilly Total		71422

201 Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Cathays	13099
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Plasnewydd	12285
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Adamsdown	5692
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Splott	9081
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Rumney	6536
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Trowbridge	11076
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Riverside	9621
8 - Cardiff Central & East	Llanrumney	7758
8 - Cardiff Central & East Total		75148
9 - Cardiff North	Heath	9611
9 - Cardiff North	Lisvane	2942
9 - Cardiff North	Llanishen	13492
9 - Cardiff North	Pentwyn	10783
9 - Cardiff North	Cyncoed	8475
9 - Cardiff North	Rhiwbina	9354
9 - Cardiff North	Pontprennau/Old St. Mellons	8047
9 - Cardiff North	Penylan	9858
9 - Cardiff North Total		72562
11 - Cardiff West	Llandaff	7078
11 - Cardiff West	Fairwater	9642
11 - Cardiff West	Ely	9576
11 - Cardiff West	Caerau	7859
11 - Cardiff West	Canton	11457
11 - Cardiff West	Llandaff North	5992
11 - Cardiff West	Radyr	5425
11 - Cardiff West	Whitchurch and Tongwynlais	12928
11 - Cardiff West	Gabalfa	5922
11 - Cardiff West Total		75879

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	St. Augustine's	5318
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Plymouth	4584
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Sully	3696
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Stanwell	3365
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Castleland	3442
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Baruc	6080
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Illtyd	6242
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Dyfan	4170
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Gibbonsdown	3827
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Buttrills	4447
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Cadoc	7244
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Court	3370
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Grangetown	13257
10 - Barry & Cardiff South	Butetown	7834
10 - Barry & Cardiff South Total		76876

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
6 - Bridgend	Caerau	4795
6 - Bridgend	Aberkenfig	1868
6 - Bridgend	Bettws	1595
6 - Bridgend	Blackmill	1839
6 - Bridgend	Blaengarw	1333
6 - Bridgend	Bryncethin	1261
6 - Bridgend	Bryncoch	1757
6 - Bridgend	Felindre	2087
6 - Bridgend	Hendre	3175
6 - Bridgend	Llangeinor	887
6 - Bridgend	Llangynwyd	2351
6 - Bridgend	Maesteg East	3741
6 - Bridgend	Maesteg West	4327
6 - Bridgend	Nant-y-moel	1745
6 - Bridgend	Ogmore Vale	2357
6 - Bridgend	Penprysg	2474
6 - Bridgend	Pontycymmer	1773
6 - Bridgend	Sarn	1786
6 - Bridgend	Ynysawdre	2748
6 - Bridgend	Coity	3006
6 - Bridgend	Coychurch Lower	1160
6 - Bridgend	Morfa	3210
6 - Bridgend	Newcastle	4287
6 - Bridgend	Oldcastle	3783
6 - Bridgend	Pen-y-fai	1853
6 - Bridgend	Litchard	2080
6 - Bridgend	Pendre	1654
6 - Bridgend	Brackla	8276
6 - Bridgend	Cefn Glas	1360
6 - Bridgend	Llangewydd and Brynhyfryd	1878
6 - Bridgend Total		76446

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Llantwit Major	7939
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	St. Bride's Major	2732
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Llandow/Ewenny	2362
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Peterston-super-Ely	1855
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Wenvoe	2915
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Cowbridge	5240
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	St. Athan	2765
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Rhosee	5796
	Bryntirion, Laleston and	
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Merthyr Mawr	6574
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Newton	3035
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Porthcawl East Central	2580
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Porthcawl West Central	2967
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Rest Bay	1990
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Nottage	2741
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Cornelly	5359
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Pyle	5545
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Cefn Cribwr	1180
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Dinas Powys	6388
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Cornerswell	4069
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan	Llandough	1578
30 - Porthcawl & The Vale of Glamorgan Total		75610

Western Glamorgan

- Neath and Aberavon. This proposed constituency would unite the towns of Neath and Port Talbot, together with the Nedd and Afan valleys which form their immediate hinterlands.
- Lliw and Tawe. Whereas the commission's initial proposals divided the western valleys of Glamorgan, with some becoming part of Brecon and Radnor and others being joined with parts of urban Swansea, our proposal would create a constituency of culturally and economically similar communities, which have good links to each other via the M4. The bulk of this proposed constituency consists of the former Lliw Valley District Council, with the addition of some nearby communities immediately to the east. It is our contention that there are significantly stronger local ties between communities such as Gorseinon, Pontarddulais and Pontardawe than there are between these towns and either Powys or central Swansea.
- Swansea East. Our proposal is for a more compact, exclusively urban constituency covering the city centre and eastern parts of Swansea.
- Swansea West and Gower. Similar to the Commission's initial proposals, our proposal unites the western urban parts of Swansea with the Gower peninsula.

Western Glamorgan

Comprising of the Counties of Swansea and Neath Port Talbot.



205 Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
26 - Swansea East	Castle	10312
26 - Swansea East	Cwmbwrla	5622
26 - Swansea East	Landore	4821
26 - Swansea East	Mynyddbach	6625
26 - Swansea East	Morrison	12105
26 - Swansea East	Penderry	7397
26 - Swansea East	Bonymaen	5391
26 - Swansea East	St. Thomas	5514
26 - Swansea East	Llansamlet	11107
26 - Swansea East	Townhill	5592
26 - Swansea East Total		74486
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Gower	2990
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Gowerton	3978
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Penclawdd	2932
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Fairwood	2278
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Pennard	2229
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Bishopston	2743
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Newton	2894
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Oystermouth	3313
28 - Swansea West & Gower	West Cross	5142
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Mayals	2148
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Sketty	11304
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Cockett	10473
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Dunvant	3494
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Killay North	2031
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Killay South	1857
28 - Swansea West & Gower	Uplands	10834
28 - Swansea West & Gower Total		70640

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Onllwyn	935
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Seven Sisters	1554
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Crynant	1508
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Aberdulais	1712
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Cadoxton	1365
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Bryn-coch North	1787
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Bryn-coch South	4547
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Clydach	5821
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Pontardawe	4283
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Trebanos	1092
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Allt-wen	2023
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Ystalyfera	2169
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Godre'r graig	1514
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Rhos	1997
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Mawr	1438
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Pontardulais	4954
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Llangyfelach	3946
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Penllergaer	2553
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Penyrheol	4621
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Gorseinon	3340
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Lower Loughor	1795
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Upper Loughor	2146
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Kingsbridge	3506
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen	2220
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Lower Brynamman	1040
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Cwmlllynfell	921
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Dyffryn	2447
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Coedffranc North	1811
27 - Lliw & Tawe	Coedffranc Central	2892
27 - Lliw & Tawe Total		71937


Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Baglan	5383
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Aberavon	4048
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Briton Ferry West	2033
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Cymmer	2011
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Glyncorrwg	792
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Gwynfi	879
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Sandfields West	4917
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Briton Ferry East	2148
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Bryn and Cwmavon	5220
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Margam	2309
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Port Talbot	4342
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Sandfields East	5038
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Tai-bach	3643
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Neath East	4468
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Neath South	3694
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Neath North	2931
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Cimla	3107
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Pelenna	936
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Resolven	2387
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Blaengwrach	1491
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Glynneath	2577
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Tonna	2072
1 - Neath & Aberavon	Coedffranc West	3587
1 - Neath & Aberavon Total		70013

Dyfed

- We propose only minor amendments to the Commission's initial proposals, designed to better reflect local ties.
- Ceredigion Preseli / Mid and South Pembrokeshire. In our view, some of the southernmost wards in the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency have closer local ties with Haverfordwest and central and southern Pembrokeshire than they do with the Preseli area. In contrast Maenclochog ward is largely located in the Preseli hills, with much of it looking towards Cardigan and Fishguard as its principal towns. We therefore propose moving the wards of Letterston, Solva and St Davids to Mid and South Pembrokeshire, while moving Maenclochog to Ceredigion Preseli. This change also has the effect of creating more geographically compact constituencies. Travelling times from Ynyslas in northern Ceredigion to Solva and St Davids are in excess of 2 hours, whereas travelling times to Clunderwen and Llantysilio (in the south of Maenclochog ward) are less than 1 hour 40 minutes.
- Carmarthen / Llanelli. We propose small changes here to better reflect local ties in the Carmarthen area. We propose moving the Saron and Llangynnwr wards into the Carmarthen constituency, while moving the coastal areas of Laugharne and Llansteffan into the southern Carmarthenshire / Llanelli seat.

Dyfed

Comprising of the Counties of Carmarthenshire,
Pembrokeshire and Ceredigion.



Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llansantffraed	1935
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberaeron	1088
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberporth	1839
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Mwldan	1522
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Rhyd-y-Fuwch	895
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberteifi/Cardigan - Teifi	824
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberystwyth Bronglais	936
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberystwyth Canol/Central	1358
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberystwyth Gogledd/North	1478
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberystwyth Penparcau	2084
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Aberystwyth Rheidol	1776
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Beulah	1413
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Borth	1677
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Capel Dewi	1068
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Ceulanamaesmawr	1551
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Ciliau Aeron	1613
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Faenor	1985
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Lampeter	1660
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanarth	1222
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanbadarn Fawr - Padarn	767
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanbadarn Fawr - Sulien	973
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llandyfriog	1466
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llandysiliogogo	1653
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llandysul Town	1067
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanfarian	1193
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanfihangel Ystrad	1666
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llangeitho	1168
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llangybi	1186
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanrhystyd	1255
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanwenog	1419
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Lledrod	1812
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Melindwr	1578
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	New Quay	810

12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Penbryn	1762
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Pen-parc	1933
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Tirymynach	1403
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Trefeurig	1382
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Tregaron	951
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Troedryaur	1110
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Ystwyth	1673
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Fishguard North West	1208
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Fishguard North East	1495
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Scleddau	1158
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Llanrhian	1232
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Goodwick	1509
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Dinas Cross	1313
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Newport	878
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	St. Dogmaels	1775
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Cilgerran	1594
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Clydau	1189
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Crymych	2099
12 - Ceredigion Preseli	Maenclochog	2462
12 - Ceredigion Preseli Total		74063

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Narberth Rural	1293
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Carew	1179
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest: Castle	1674
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest: Garth	1660
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest: Priory	1907
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Hundleton	1416
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Johnston	2044
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Lampeter Velfrey	1284
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Lamphey	1429
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Manorbier	1655
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Merlin's Bridge	1619
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: Central	1578
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: East	1571
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: Hakin	1774
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: Hubberston	2004
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: North	2047
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Milford: West	1523
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Narberth	1704
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Neyland: East	1793
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Neyland: West	1590
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock: Central	1091
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock: Llanion	1915
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock: Market	1357
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock: Pennar	2442
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke: Monkton	1022
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke: St. Mary North	1675
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke: St. Mary South	1063
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Pembroke: St. Michael	2082
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	St. Ishmael's	1125
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Saundersfoot	1904
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Tenby: South	1664
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	The Havens	1196
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Wiston	1581

19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Amroth	992
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Burton	1503
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Camrose	2190
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	East Williamston	1965
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest: Portfield	1765
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest: Prendergast	1628
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Kilgetty/Begelly	1830
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Llangwm	1814
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Martletwy	1603
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Rudbaxton	945
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Penally	1398
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Tenby: North	1658
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	St. David's	1521
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Solva	1274
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire	Letterston	1873
19 - Mid & South Pembrokeshire Total		76820

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Abergwili	1912
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Ammanford	1984
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Betws	1825
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Carmarthen Town North	3691
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Carmarthen Town South	2746
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Carmarthen Town West	3601
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Cenarth	1669
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Cilycwm	1175
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Cynwyl Elfed	2468
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Cynwyl Gaeo	1351
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Garnant	1558
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Glanamman	1802
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanboidy	1705
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanddarog	1678
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llandeilo	2307
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llandovery	1963
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llandybie	3277
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanegwad	2040
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanfihangel Aberbythych	1503
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanfihangel-ar-Arth	2196
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llangadog	1629
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llangeler	2772
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llanybydder	2027
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Manordeilo and Salem	1816
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Penygroes	2347
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Pontamman	2092
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Quarter Bach	2218
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Saron	3353
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Trelech	1754
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Whitland	1830
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Llangunnor	2077
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr	Gorslas	3906
7 - Carmarthen & Dinefwr Total		70272

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
17 - Llanelli	Pembrey	3417
17 - Llanelli	Trimsaran	1887
17 - Llanelli	St. Ishmael	2318
17 - Llanelli	Kidwelly	2818
17 - Llanelli	Llangyndeyrn	2905
17 - Llanelli	Pontyberem	2154
17 - Llanelli	Llannon	4079
17 - Llanelli	Glyn	1661
17 - Llanelli	Hengoed	3352
17 - Llanelli	Burry Port	3278
17 - Llanelli	Tycroes	1862
17 - Llanelli	Hendy	2697
17 - Llanelli	Llangennech	3954
17 - Llanelli	Bynea	3282
17 - Llanelli	Llwynhendy	3010
17 - Llanelli	Glanymor	4312
17 - Llanelli	Bigyn	4544
17 - Llanelli	Lliedi	3825
17 - Llanelli	Swiss Valley	2097
17 - Llanelli	Dafen	2456
17 - Llanelli	Elli	2357
17 - Llanelli	Tyisha	2390
17 - Llanelli	Felinfoel	1334
17 - Llanelli	Laugharne Township	2161
17 - Llanelli	St. Clears	2500
17 - Llanelli	Llansteffan	1656
17 - Llanelli Total		72306


Mid Wales

- Maldwyn and Glyndwr. Similar to the Commission's initial proposals, removing the Dyfi Valley (which is geographically somewhat separate from the rest of Montgomeryshire) and Corwen, and uniting the Rhosllannerchrugog area within this one constituency rather than dividing it between two seats.

We feel certain that the Commission will receive multiple representations regarding its initial proposals to include the Tawe valley within the Brecon and Radnor constituency.

While we appreciate the challenging geographical difficulties and knock-on impacts of change in this area, we wish to propose an alternative arrangement.

- Brecon, Radnor and Abergavenny. We propose that uniting southern Powys with the Abergavenny area is a significant improvement in terms of local ties. The main hospital serving Brecon and Radnor is Nevill Hall in Abergavenny, and the whole constituency has a rural, agricultural character that it does not share with communities such as Pontardawe.



Mid Wales

Comprising of the Counties of Powys and partial Monmouthshire, partial Wrexham and partial Denbighshire.



Maldwyn & Glyndwr



Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor



Ward Boundary



Local Authority Boundary

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Rhiwcynon	1724
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Banwy	847
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Berriew	1102
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Blaen Hafren	1876
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Caersws	1831
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Churchstoke	1292
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Dolforwyn	1652
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Fordeu	1215
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Guilsfield	1827
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Kerry	1607
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llandinam	1155
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llandrinio	1763
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llandysilio	1441
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanfair Caereinion	1301
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanfihangel	895
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanfyllin	1217
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanidloes	2149
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanwddyn	846
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llanrhaeadr-ym-Mochnant/Llansilin	1815
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llansantffraid	1563
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Meifod	1069
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Montgomery	1107
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Newtown Central	2122
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Newtown East	1401
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Newtown Llanllwchaiarn North	1796
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Newtown Llanllwchaiarn West	1447
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Newtown South	1215
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Trewern	1066
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Welshpool Castle	962
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Welshpool Gungrog	1995
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Welshpool Llanerchuddol	1602

21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Dyffryn Ceiriog/Ceiriog Valley	1685
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Penycae and Ruabon South	2026
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Chirk South	1503
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Chirk North	1846
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Ruabon	2078
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Penycae	1525
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Cefn	3768
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llangollen Rural	1631
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Llangollen	3302
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Plas Madoc	1169
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Ponciau	3521
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Johnstown	2461
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr	Pant	1528
21 - Maldwyn & Glyndwr Total		72943

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	St. Mary	2194
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Aber-craf	1143
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Beguildy	1135
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Bronllys	1060
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Builth	1849
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Bwlch	800
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Crickhowell	2410
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Cwm-twrch	1557
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Disserth and Trecoed	1055
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Felin-fŷch	1102
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Glasbury	1901
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Gwernyfed	1178
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Hay	1355
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Knighton	2296
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanafanfawr	1141
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanbadarn Fawr	925
	Llandrindod	
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	East/Llandrindod West	949
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llandrindod North	1517
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llandrindod South	1726
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanelwedd	987
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llangattock	762
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llangors	901
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llangunllo	1071
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanwrtyd Wells	1450
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanyre	978
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Maescar/Llywel	1405
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Nantmel	1243
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Old Radnor	1375
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Presteigne	2174
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Rhayader	1589

5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	St. David Within	1263
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	St. John	2365
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Talgarth	1305
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Tawe-Uchaf	1763
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Ynyscedwyn	1705
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Yscir	888
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Ystradgynlais	1935
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llangynidr	865
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Talybont-on-Usk	1537
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanelly Hill	3286
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanfoist Fawr	1971
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Llanwenarth Ultra	1128
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Grofield	1308
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Crucorney	1773
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Mardy	1430
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Cantref	1668
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Priory	1546
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Lansdown	1644
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Croesonen	1609
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor	Castle	1611
5 - Abergavenny, Brecon & Radnor Total		73828

The North West


In this area we propose a significantly different arrangement to the initial proposals. Here, we would argue that the market towns of northern Wales and their hinterlands (such as Denbigh, Ruthin, Llanrwst, Corwen and Bala) form a coherent network of communities with strong local ties to each other - in terms of economy, society, language and culture - which makes them quite dissimilar to coastal urban communities. Current electoral boundaries, since the abolition of the Clwyd South West seat in 1997, have separated these inland communities into different seats. This boundary review offers an opportunity to rectify this.

Our proposals are as follows:

- Menai. A constituency based on the old county of Caernarfonshire, this constituency would be geographically and culturally coherent, consisting of the towns of Caernarfon and Bangor and their natural hinterlands. The areas included would be Dwyfor, Arfon and the coast east of Bangor, with the river Conwy and the Carneddau mountains forming a natural eastern border.
- Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy and Denbigh. We proposed to unite the market towns and rural hinterlands of northern Wales into a single constituency. Many of these areas have been part of the same constituency in the past. Meirionnydd county historically included Edeyrnion (the area around Corwen and Gwyddelwern), and were part of the same constituency until 1983. The Conwy valley and Meirionnydd were in the same constituency from 1983 - 97. To these areas we propose to add the upper Clwyd valley and the Dyfi valley. The Dyfi valley has strong transport, educational, cultural and other links to Meirionnydd. The areas around Denbigh and Ruthin likewise are part of the same network of rural market towns as Llanrwst, Bala, Dolgellau and Corwen. Agriculture is important to the whole area from an economic standpoint. While the constituency may appear large, it should be noted that it is linked by trunk roads - the A470, A5 and A494. Travelling times from one end to another are around 1 hour 30 minutes to 1 hour 40 minutes, substantially less than the 2 hours 10 minutes it takes to travel from Aberdyfi to Aberdaron in the current Dwyfor Meirionnydd seat.

North West

Comprising of the Counties of Gwynedd and partial Powys, partial Conwy, and partial Denbighshire.



- Menai
- Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh
- Ward Boundary
- Local Authority Boundary

Proposed 2024	Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
2 - Menai		Bryn	1390
2 - Menai		Capelulo	1284
2 - Menai		Conwy	3295
2 - Menai		Pandy	1463
2 - Menai		Pant-yr-afon/Penmaenan	2167
2 - Menai		Arllechwedd	1091
2 - Menai		Gerlan	1696
2 - Menai		Tregarth & Mynydd Llandygai	1628
2 - Menai		Ogwen	1697
2 - Menai		Dewi	1301
2 - Menai		Glyder	1257
2 - Menai		Menai (Bangor)	1548
2 - Menai		Hendre	940
2 - Menai		Marchog	1579
2 - Menai		Hirael	1066
2 - Menai		Deiniol	920
2 - Menai		Garth	556
2 - Menai		Aberdaron	698
2 - Menai		Llanengan	847
2 - Menai		Abersoch	519
2 - Menai		Botwnnog	734
2 - Menai		Tudweiliog	668
2 - Menai		Morfa Nefyn	945
2 - Menai		Nefyn	1003
2 - Menai		Efail-newydd/Buan	1026
2 - Menai		Abererch	986
2 - Menai		Pwllheli South	1310
2 - Menai		Llanbedrog	709
2 - Menai		Pwllheli North	1528
2 - Menai		Llanystumdwy	1547
2 - Menai		Llanaelhaearn	1187

2 - Menai	Clynnog	736
2 - Menai	Bethel	1025
2 - Menai	Bontnewydd	865
2 - Menai	Cadnant	1514
2 - Menai	Cwm-y-Glo	753
2 - Menai	Deiniolen	1463
2 - Menai	Dolbenmaen	900
2 - Menai	Groeslon	1374
2 - Menai	Llanllyfni	915
2 - Menai	Llanrug	1396
2 - Menai	Llanwnda	1507
2 - Menai	Menai (Caernarfon)	1724
2 - Menai	Peblig (Caernarfon)	1603
2 - Menai	Penisarwaun	1365
2 - Menai	Pentir	2159
2 - Menai	Penygroes	1369
2 - Menai	Seiont	2233
2 - Menai	Talysarn	1399
2 - Menai	Waunfawr	1298
2 - Menai	Y Felinheli	1803
2 - Menai	Porthmadog-Tremadog	933
2 - Menai	Llanberis	1613
2 - Menai	Criccieth	1280
2 - Menai	Porthmadog West	1329
2 - Menai	Porthmadog East	1178
2 - Menai Total		72319


Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwern	1830
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Aberdovey	907	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Efenechtyd	1321
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Abermaw	1591	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Ruthin	4260
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Bala	1413	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanbedr Dyffryn Clwyd/Llangynhafal	1170
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Bowydd and Rhiw Brithdir and Llanfachreth/Ganllwyd/Llan elltyd	1235	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanarmon-yn-Ial/Llandegla	2033
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Bryn-crug/Llanfihangel	772	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llandyrnog	1765
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Corris/Mawddwy	1023	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Denbigh Lower	3483
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Diffwys and Maenofferen	779	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Denbigh Central	1462
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Dolgellau North	953	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Denbigh Upper/Henllan	2265
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Dolgellau South	1072	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llansannan	1495
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Dyffryn Ardudwy	1169	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanrhaeadr-yng-Nghinmeirch	1496
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Harlech	1516	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Eglwysbach	1257
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanbedr	768	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Trefriw	1026
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llandderfel	1135	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Crwst	1581
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llangelynin	1625	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Gower	850
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanuwchllyn	686	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Betws-y-Coed	967
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Penrhyndeudraeth	1826	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Caerhun	1677
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Teigl	1355	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llansanffraid	1814
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Trawsfynydd	1088	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Betws yn Rhos	1623
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Tywyn	2476	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Trefnant	1503
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llanbrynmair	798	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llandrillo	931
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Glantwymyn	1701	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Corwen	1799
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Machynlleth	1701	15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh Total		69848
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Uwch Conwy	1276			
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Llangernyw	1104			
15 - Meirionnydd, Nant Conwy & Denbigh	Uwchaled	1139			

The North Coast

- Delyn. Removing the rural portion of the proposed constituency as well as the town of Flint, and adding the town of Rhyl, thereby uniting the nearby tourism-based conurbations of Rhyl and Prestatyn in a single seat.
- Llandudno and Colwyn. A coastal constituency, compact and with very strong local ties based on its economy and transport links via the A55.

North Coast

Comprising of the Counties of partial Conwy, partial Flintshire and partial Denbighshire.



Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Rhiw	4991
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Eirias	2800
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Glyn	3088
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Colwyn	3373
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Llysfaen	1906
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Llanddulas	1353
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Abergele Pensarn	1959
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Towyn	1845
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Kinmel Bay	4607
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Pentre Mawr	2861
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Gele	3997
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	St. Asaph East	1472
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	St. Asaph West	1290
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Bodelwyddan	1612
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Mochdre	1425
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Llandrillo yn Rhos	6110
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Penrhyn	3874
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Pensarn	2274
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Marl	3539
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Deganwy	3289
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Mostyn	2758
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Gogarth	2795
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Craig-y-Don	2685
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Tudno	3591
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Tremeirchion	1344
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn	Rhuddlan	2913
13 - Llandudno & Colwyn Total		73751


Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
14 - Delyn	Gwernymynydd	1399
14 - Delyn	Trelawnyd and Gwaenysgor	1496
14 - Delyn	Prestatyn Meliden	1529
14 - Delyn	Prestatyn South West	2861
14 - Delyn	Prestatyn North	4729
14 - Delyn	Prestatyn Central	2829
14 - Delyn	Prestatyn East	3162
14 - Delyn	Ffynnongroyw	1474
14 - Delyn	Gronant	1257
14 - Delyn	Dyserth	1882
14 - Delyn	Whitford	1911
14 - Delyn	Mostyn	1458
14 - Delyn	Greenfield	1983
14 - Delyn	Bagillt West	1625
14 - Delyn	Brynford	1789
14 - Delyn	Holywell Central	1465
14 - Delyn	Holywell West	1762
14 - Delyn	Holywell East	1383
14 - Delyn	Bagillt East	1413
14 - Delyn	Northop	2596
14 - Delyn	Northop Hall	1398
14 - Delyn	Caerwys	2050
14 - Delyn	Cilcain	1519
14 - Delyn	Halkyn	1427
14 - Delyn	Gwernaffield	1646
14 - Delyn	Mold East	1556
14 - Delyn	Mold Broncoed	2134
14 - Delyn	Mold South	2201
14 - Delyn	Mold West	1956
14 - Delyn	Rhyl West	3283
14 - Delyn	Rhyl South West	3732
14 - Delyn	Rhyl South	2874
14 - Delyn	Rhyl South East	6253
14 - Delyn	Rhyl East	3693
14 - Delyn Total		75725

The North East

- Wrexham. Again similar to the Commission's initial proposals, removing some of the Rhosllannerchrugog area and adding a small number of wards on the Wrexham - Flintshire border.
- Alun and Deeside. Similar to the Commission's proposals, but a somewhat more compact and urban constituency, removing some of its westernmost wards and adding the town of Flint.

North East

Comprising of the Counties of partial Flintshire and partial Wrexham.



-  Wrexham
-  Alyn & Deeside
-  Ward Boundary
-  Local Authority Boundary

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Sealand	2026
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Ewloe	4327
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Hawarden	1623
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Mancot	2516
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Queensferry	1248
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Saltney Mold Junction	1100
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Saltney Stonebridge	2672
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Shotton East	1219
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Shotton Higher	1669
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Shotton West	1464
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Treuddyn	1346
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Broughton North East	1723
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Higher Kinnerton	1373
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Hope	2042
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Penyffordd	3543
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Broughton South	3325
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Aston	2508
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Buckley Bistre East	2653
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Buckley Bistre West	3182
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Buckley Mountain	2555
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Buckley Pentrobin	4181
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Connah's Quay Central	2213
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Connah's Quay Golftyn	3688
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Connah's Quay South	4494
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Connah's Quay Wepre	1647
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Leeswood	1627
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Argoed	2167
3 - Alyn & Deeside	New Brighton	2414
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Flint Coleshill	2938
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Flint Trelawny	2710
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Flint Castle	1426
3 - Alyn & Deeside	Flint Oakenholt	2538
3 - Alyn & Deeside Total		76157

Proposed Constituency Name	ward name	electorate
31 - Wrexham	Bronington	2620
31 - Wrexham	Marchwiel	1830
31 - Wrexham	Overton	2710
31 - Wrexham	Coedpoeth	3482
31 - Wrexham	Brynyffynnon	2477
31 - Wrexham	Esclusham	2013
31 - Wrexham	Hermitage	1544
31 - Wrexham	Whitegate	1594
31 - Wrexham	Holt	2479
31 - Wrexham	Rossett	2643
31 - Wrexham	Llay	3513
31 - Wrexham	Gresford East and West	2337
31 - Wrexham	Marford and Hoseley	1824
31 - Wrexham	New Broughton	2842
31 - Wrexham	Gwersyllt West	2263
31 - Wrexham	Gwersyllt East and South	3601
31 - Wrexham	Gwersyllt North	1995
31 - Wrexham	Bryn Cefn	1543
31 - Wrexham	Gwenfro	1217
31 - Wrexham	Offa	1428
31 - Wrexham	Erddig	1444
31 - Wrexham	Smithfield	1376
31 - Wrexham	Queensway	1377
31 - Wrexham	Wynnstay	1159
31 - Wrexham	Cartrefle	1545
31 - Wrexham	Rhosnesni	2827
31 - Wrexham	Borras Park	1968
31 - Wrexham	Little Acton	1843
31 - Wrexham	Acton	2177
31 - Wrexham	Maesydre	1420
31 - Wrexham	Stansty	1641
31 - Wrexham	Grosvenor	1698
31 - Wrexham	Garden Village	1656
31 - Wrexham	Minera	1870
31 - Wrexham	Brymbo	3021
31 - Wrexham	Llanfynydd	1483
31 - Wrexham	Caergwrle	1225
31 - Wrexham Total		75685

BCW-10022 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

Why on earth are we moving to Neath Council? Why mess with something that doesn't need messing with? Beat us council tax rates r a lot higher than Swansea. I can't understand the logic in this??

BCW-10023 / Jo Stevens MP / Cardiff

This Boundary Review and its proposals are limited by the requirement to meet the Electoral Quota (EQ) framework and the number of electors on the register as December 2020. My submission is therefore restrained by that.

In my view it is erroneous to base the Review on numbers derived from individual voter registration during the height of the Coronavirus pandemic. This coincided with a time when Local Authorities were providing crucial front-line services to all our communities, rather than keeping up to date with inputting data. This decision disproportionately impacts in a negative manner on constituencies with a high concentration of young people, students, migrant communities and disadvantaged communities; all groups who are less likely to be on the electoral register.

Equalisation of constituencies should be based upon overall adult population, not just those on the electoral register.

With those caveats, I support the proposals as produced here. It is right to recognise areas with existing community links within Cardiff Central

- Cathays and Plasnewydd
- Plasnewydd and Penylan
- Penylan and Cyncoed
- Rumney and Llanrumney

The proposals as produced by the Commission for Cardiff Central represent the best outcome within the limits imposed upon the Commission. They are logical based on the required criteria.

BCW-10024 / [REDACTED] / Bangor


I do not agree with this proposed change. Though I understand the concept that these lines are drawn based on population I cannot help but feel it is an attempt to give Wales less of a say in Westminster. I also cannot help but feel like it is a deliberate attempt to get rid of a non-conservative seat.

Arfon constituency is a community and what is proposed would split it in two. I don't not understand why the hospital, one of Bangor city's main employers, should sit it a separate constituency to the rest of Bangor. I do not see why my neighbours on the same street would be in a separate constituency to me. I believe it would create a divide in our community as we would no longer be represented by the same people.



CYNGOR SIR
YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY
COUNTY COUNCIL

BUSNES Y CYNGOR / COUNCIL BUSINESS



3 November 2021

Shereen Williams
Secretary
Boundaries Commission for Wales
Hastings House
Fitzalan Court
Cardiff CF234 0BL

Dear Secretary

2023 Review of Parliamentary Boundaries in Wales – Preliminary Proposals

I refer to your letter dated September 7 and the opportunity to offer comments on the preliminary proposals.

I wish to state that the Democratic Services Committee has welcomed the proposals in respect of Ynys Môn and recommended this to the County Council. For information, this proposal was not supported by the Council at its meeting on 25 October.

Sincerely



Head of Democratic Services

BCW-10026/ [REDACTED] / Cardiff

My response is submitted on the basis that the review and proposals are limited by the requirement to meet the Electoral Quota (EQ) framework and the number of electors on the register as December 2020. It is wrong to base the review on numbers derived from individual voter registration during the Coronavirus pandemic, at a time when local authorities would have been providing crucial front-line services to our communities not keeping up to date with inputting data. This impacts disproportionately negatively on constituencies with a high concentration of young people, students, migrant communities and disadvantaged communities; all groups who are less likely to be on the electoral register.

Equalisation of constituencies should be based upon overall adult population, not just those on the electoral register.

I support the proposals as produced here. It is right to recognise areas with existing community links within Cardiff Central

- Cathays and Plasnewydd
- Plasnewydd and Penylan
- Penylan and Cyncoed
- Rumney and Llanrumney

Whilst I oppose the principles underlying the review, the proposals as produced by the Commission for Cardiff Central represent the best outcome within the limits imposed upon the Commission.

BCW-10027 / [REDACTED] / Nr Aberaeron

I think this is an excellent proposal and makes a great deal of sense for the new boundary change. I sincerely hope that this proposal is successful and implemented.

I fully realise that there is likely to be considerable opposition to these changes but I believe it's a sensible and a fair way forward. Far more cost effective to the tax payer too I hope.

BCW-10028 / [REDACTED] / Penmaenmawr

As someone who was born in Bangor and has worked in the city for a decade, I disapprove of the proposed changes. The Bangor area should not be split across two constituencies. I believe the changes will cause division, especially in regards to Ysbyty Gwynedd. The residents of the city, as well as the businesses and the university and the hospital should be represented by the same MP that they vote for as a collective. Not two separate MPs and potentially different parties. Given that Bangor is the only city in North Wales, it is vital that it's voice is heard clearly and not clouded by having it's vote split apart by neighbouring towns and villages.

I also disagree with the reduction of MPs in Wales. It feels very much like a backwards step that will reduce our voice in Westminster and likely lead to more calls for Welsh independence.

BCW-10029 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries, and would make the following comments to be taken in to account as part of the initial consultation process.

As a resident of Trethomas I am dismayed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, but am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Trethomas I have never identified as being tied into Newport for any leisure or business activities, my engagement is with Cardiff as I work there, shop there and always have done. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, be it by car or public transport. The roadlinks to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

All of my neighbours I have spoken to share my view that trying to link our community to Newport rather than Cardiff makes no sense at all and would not be at all welcomed.

I believe that there are a number of areas, currently in the Islwyn constituency which would far more readily identify with Newport than my local community, and many of those Islwyn communities also benefit from much better transport links with the City of Newport.

In conclusion, I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Trethomas.

I understand that Wayne David MP, for Caerphilly has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

BCW-10030 / [REDACTED] / Bangor

It is clear that the move by the present UK government to alter electoral boundaries is a case of gerrymandering. Based on the present model it is clear that some of the Welsh language strong holds and some of the most deprived villages in the area (e.g. Bethesda) are likely to be represented by MPs from parties that have little to no understanding of the needs of these people. Arfon has functioned as brilliantly and has brought MPs that fight for the people, I highly doubt this will be the case for those people put into the Conwy ward.

Moreover, it is clear that the aim is to reduce left wing MPs in parliament.

This is a typical case of shameless behaviour on the Tories part.

BCW-10031/ [REDACTED] / Bodelwyddan

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 November 2021 14:18

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Comments on the boundary review - North Wales Central/ East

Good afternoon,

I work and live within the constituency of Vale of Clwyd with ties to Clwyd West and Alyn and Deeside / North Wales.

Please find below my comments on the boundary review with respect to my local area.

I am disappointed, like many others politically active here within the Vale of Clwyd that our area is to be split in half and not expanded to cover Denbighshire Local Authority as the figures would fit, but accept that whilst this would be my ideal this is not something that is possible to do considering the effects on the surrounding seats.

Names

I do not support the renaming of the area to the east side of the Vale of Clwyd being 'Delyn' as this is confusing with the existing Senedd boundary and does not represent the inclusion of areas such as Prestatyn.

Name suggestions:

'Clwyd East' in tying with renaming of 'Clwyd' to 'Clwyd West'

or

'Clwyd East and Delyn' if 'Clwyd' was to remain as is proposed to avoid confusion with the existing Senedd seat 'Clwyd West'.

'Alyn and Deeside' suggested name change to 'Alyn and Dee' or 'Alyn and Flint / Flintshire' as all would be covered under the Flintshire Authority but not wholly to remove the 'Alyn' as some remain in Delyn. Change to distinguish from Senedd name if these do not intend to follow UK Parliamentary lines (this is in keeping with my suggestion to follow on the placement of Flint in A&D). A name change for Aberconwy would perhaps be considered for the same reason of avoiding confusion.

Boundaries

In principle support the changes to 'Clwyd' (Rhyl has strong links with coastal towns of Towyn and Kinnel Bay, linked to tourism trade) 'Delyn' (Strong links between Prestatyn and Gronant/Gwaenysgor/Talacre/Llanasa/Trelawnyd as Prestatyn is hub for shopping, Drs, amenities) and 'Alyn and Deeside' bar the below comments;

Alyn and Deeside should be left with only wards within Flintshire LA.

There are not strong links between industrial Flint/Bagillt and the more rural western area of Flintshire/ the areas of Denbighshire as proposed. Bordering the Deeside industrial zone, this area has stronger links to 'A&D' for employment, public services and amenities.

Leaving Argoed, Leesward and New Brighton within 'Delyn' due to their close links with Mold and to balance this numbers change.

Corwen and Llandrillo and Llanfair DC should be kept alongside Ruthin and moved to 'Delyn' this keeps these areas in the county of Denbighshire together with their natural hub of Ruthin which has the main supermarket and amenities.

* Splitting of 'The Bay of Colwyn' - Llandrillo-yn-Rhos ward and Rhos on Sea have strong links to Colwyn Bay and surrounding areas, breaking of these ties should be carefully considered.

Kind regards,



BCW-10032 / Kevin Brennan MP / Cardiff

I have consistently argued, with the support of local constituents, in recent boundary reviews that it is desirable to retain a parliamentary seat located to the west of the River Taff, reflecting the community and transport connections of Cardiff West.

The Commission in its proposals has in slightly different forms supported that approach in its most recent proposals. The latest draft proposal returns to a previous approach supported by the Commission by adding the ward of Pontyclun. Although this lies outwith the boundaries of the City and County of Cardiff, it does reflect the recent housing development in the north-west of the constituency which extends in the direction of Pontyclun. Given the constraints that the Commission is working under it is difficult to see how any alternative proposal would meet the principles the Commission is required to follow without significantly affecting the coherence of parliamentary representation across Cardiff as a whole.

I therefore not only support the proposals for Cardiff West, but for Cardiff as a whole.

BCW-10033 / [REDACTED] / Wrexham

I would you like to make a representation that Brymbo is placed in the new Wrexham Constituency.

We have stronger links with Wrexham than Flintshire.

Although we also have poor transport links.

Our heritage is strongly linked to Wrexham and even Denbighshire before Flintshire and I would certainly be opposed to joining Flintshire.

I would add that it wouldn't make sense to have Wrexham County Borough Council split between 3 MPs as it would be really confusing for the electorate.

BCW-10034 / [REDACTED] / Penpedairheol

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries, and would make the following comments to be taken in to account as part of the initial consultation process.

As a resident of Penpedairheol, I am dismayed at the initial proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency between four new constituencies, but am particularly upset by the suggestion that the lower part of the present Caerphilly constituency be linked to the Western part of the current Newport West constituency to create the new constituency of Newport West and Caerphilly.

Living in Penpedairheol, I have never identified as being tied into Newport for any leisure or business activities, my engagement is with Cardiff. One of the main reasons for this is the much better travel links between my home and Cardiff, be it by car or public transport. The roadlinks to Cardiff are much better than to Newport, and to travel by train to Newport I would have to go into Cardiff first.

All of my neighbours I have spoken to share my view that trying to link our community to Newport rather than Cardiff makes no sense at all and would not be at all welcomed.

I believe that there are a number of areas, currently in the Islwyn constituency which would far more readily identify with Newport than my local community, and many of those Islwyn communities also benefit from much better transport links with the City of Newport.

In conclusion, I would ask the Commission to look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly, and surrounding area, and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself living in Penpedairheol.

I understand that Wayne David MP, for Caerphilly has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

BCW-10035 / [REDACTED] / Bangor

I would like to comment on the proposed boundary between Aberconwy and Dwyfor Meirionnydd. The boundary would go through Bangor separating it and surrounding communities between the two proposed constituencies. Splitting Bangor in two using the historical boundary of Pentir Parish is unacceptable and does not make sense today.

The centre of Bangor including the University would become part of Aberconwy while Penrhosgarnedd which is an expanding residential area of Bangor including Ysbyty Gwynedd would become part of Dwyfor Meirionnydd.

On some streets such as Treborth Road and Penrhos Road houses on one side of the street would be in Aberconwy while the other side would be in Dwyfor Meirionnydd.

Communities such as Pentir and Rhiwlas on the outskirts of Bangor would join Dwyfor Meirionnydd. A small community of Glasinfryn which is less than 3 miles from Bangor on the road to Tregarth would be in Dwyfor Meirionnydd while Tregarth 1.5 miles further down the same road would be in Aberconwy. Tesco Bangor and many other stores on Caernarfon Road would be in Aberconwy but Harvey's Grill and the bicycle shop across a street from Tesco would be in Dwyfor Meirionnydd.

I do not believe a decision based on the historical parish boundary though still forming the community council boundary has no regard to local ties, geographic factors as the boundary change is supposed to protect or minimise disruption.

BCW-10036 / [REDACTED] / Newport

3 November 2021

I specifically want to comment on the Llanwern ward where I live and on the neighbouring Langstone ward.

It was a relief to see that previous suggestions that these wards would be added to Monmouth constituency have been dropped.

Having lived in Bishton (near Llanwern) for more than 20 years, and for a similar period previously in Pwllmeyric, central Chepstow and Earlswood (all in Monmouth) I can assure you that whereas my earlier homes were very much "Monmouthshire" in character, that is not the case with Llanwern or Langstone which are outlying suburbs of Newport and do belong in the Newport East constituency.

The main settlement in Bishton is the Understood estate which was built to serve the needs of workers in Newport, including the Llanwern steel works built in 1960. More recently a much bigger housing development is half completed at Glan Llyn, Newport on the site of the former steel blast furnaces and close to Spytty where many of Newport city's retail facilities are now located. Newport's population has expanded and Langstone has also provided a lot of new residential private housing to meet those needs. So both wards are very much part of Newport, as are the Gwent levels with their important landscape and wildlife resources beyond, stretching to the Severn and Usk Estuaries.

I would request you to retain these two wards, which are part of the City of Newport for local government, in Newport East constituency.

[REDACTED]

Clerc : [REDACTED]

Eich cyf:

2 November, 2021.

Boundaries Commission for Wales,

Dear Sir/Madam,

Publication of Preliminary Proposals

At a recent meeting of the Community Council on October 7 2021, the above matter was discussed, and the following comments were received to forward to you before 03.11.2021.

1. Consideration should be given to the more important principles of fair representation for the electorate rather than an obsession with the consistency of statistics.
2. Having constituencies which are 'equal' in numbers does not mean that the electors will be treated equally across the country. Generally: geographical features, and consequently the ease of travel and social (and traditional) interaction of some areas with each other should be important considerations when reorganising boundaries.
3. In association with the above consideration, additional burdens/pressures of work should not be placed on elected members in some constituencies as they are expanded geographically; of course, this should be balanced with the effect on the electors themselves as they seek a personal connection with the elected member. This should be considered in comparison with travel/communication requirements in populated constituencies which are geographically small but which are likely to have wide-ranging and convenient transport provisions.
4. Wards and constituencies should be considered according to the size of the resident population, i.e., those who are eligible to vote, not according to the number of electors registering to vote. Voting on the day is a matter for the individual.
5. This is relevant to elections for the Senedd, particularly if it is decided to increase the number of members. We suggest that adjacent towns (such as Caernarfon and Bangor) should not be sited in the same constituency just to reach the required population numbers. This would necessarily create large rural constituencies such as Dwyfor Meirionydd (again – drawn up just for the sake of the numbers).

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Clerk of Llanystumdwy Community Council

BCW-10038 / [REDACTED] Cardiff South and Penarth Conservative Association / Penarth

Dear Sirs

I write on behalf of Cardiff South and Penarth Conservative Association, in relation to the 2023 Review into Parliamentary Boundaries in Wales.

While we support the Commission's decision to retain the Cardiff South and Penarth parliamentary constituency, we have some concerns regarding proposed changes to the existing boundaries. We therefore submit alternative proposals which we believe better reflect community ties.

We have particular concern regarding the implications the proposed boundaries have on the communities of Splott and Trowbridge. The proposed removal of the Rumney ward will result in these two communities no longer having a land link, and will instead be only accessible by water. This goes against Commission guidance and should be rectified.

We submit that the community of Rumney should therefore be retained within the Cardiff South and Penarth constituency. This would ensure the crucial land link in the east of the constituency is maintained.

We accept that including Rumney ward would result in the constituency exceeding the quota. However, this issue can be overcome easily. On existing boundaries, the neighbouring Vale of Glamorgan constituency is already within quota. The transfer of the Dinas Powys ward to Cardiff South and Penarth is therefore unnecessary.

We therefore propose that the Dinas Powys ward remains in the Vale of Glamorgan constituency. As a rural village, it shares more characteristics with the communities of Wenvoe and Peterston-super-Ely than it shares with the urban communities within Cardiff South and Penarth.

Aside from the changes suggested above, we support the Commission's other proposals for the constituency. We therefore propose a borough constituency comprising the following wards: Trowbridge, Rumney, Splott, Butetown, Grangetown, Llandough, Cornerswell, St Augustine's, Plymouth, Stanwell and Sully.

Thank you for considering our submissions.

Kind regards,



Deputy Chairman (Political)

Cardiff South and Penarth Conservative Association

BCW-10039 / [REDACTED] / Hengoed

Dear Sirs,

I write with reference to your recently published initial proposals for the redrawing of the Parliamentary constituency boundaries and would like to make the following comments to be taken into account as part of the initial consultation process.

I am a resident of Penpedairheol near Ystrad Mynach in Caerphilly constituency. Firstly I am rather upset that the Caerphilly constituency, which has existed for at least a hundred years is to be split four ways.

As a general comment the communities of south Wales are heavily influenced by the valleys which generally run from north to south meaning that most of the main roads and railway lines also run in that direction. I notice, however, that your proposals for the Caerphilly constituency means that my village would become part of the new Islwyn constituency which would span across the valleys and so would not form a natural community at all.

I also understand that the southern part of the present Caerphilly constituency would be linked with the western part of Newport. Again I feel that Caerphilly does not naturally 'fit in' well with Newport and generally the town has more in common with Cardiff which is closer and which is where many of the main roads and railway runs to.

I would say that many people within the eastern part of the new Islwyn constituency would identify more readily with Newport than with the former areas of the Caerphilly constituency with which you propose to link them.

Finally, I ask that the Commission look again at the proposals for the Caerphilly constituency and surrounding area and change the proposals to more accurately reflect the feelings and wishes of residents like myself.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

BCW-10040 / [REDACTED] / Caerphilly

I want to make the following comments in respect of the initial proposals for the redrawing of constituency boundaries.

As a resident of Caerphilly I am concerned and upset at the current proposal to divide the current Caerphilly constituency into four new constituencies.

I fail to understand why the lower part of Caerphilly should be linked to the Western part of Newport West Constituency to create Newport West and Caerphilly. It is more important to include areas further up the valley for historic reasons.

Living in Caerphilly I engage far more with social and cultural activities in Cardiff. I shop in Cardiff. It is on very rare occasions I go to Newport for any activities.

Transport- train and bus links are far better to Cardiff than Newport. Residents travel far more for work to Cardiff rather than Newport.

There are areas in Islwyn that would benefit from closer links with Newport.

Family and friends I have spoken to agree with me. I would like you to reconsider the current boundary commission submission.

My MP Wayne David has made a detailed and viable counter proposal.

BCW-10041 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I strongly object to the northern wards of Neath constituency being allocated to Brecon and Radnor. All the local community ties, local government arrangements, history and geography of this area are linked with Neath and, to a lesser extent, with Swansea. The area shares social and socio-economic characteristics with the rest of the Neath constituency area, not with Brecon & Radnor. In the current Brecon and Radnor constituency there is already a significant geographical, social and historical gulf between the Ystradgynlais area and the rest of the constituency. I suggest the commission explores the possibility of increasing the size of the current Neath constituency by extending it northwards instead, incorporating Ystradgynlais and adjoining Brecon & Radnor wards.

BCW-10042 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I wish to disagree with the proposed changes to Swansea East and its merger with Neath.

The proposed merger is just a numbers game, to accommodate a set allocation of the public to be redistributed to make it more manageable, rather than a true representation of the people.

I could go on about how the three Swansea wards that will be affected bear no similar social economics with the proposed area, but that argument was ignored when parts of Neath were put into Aberavon when the last reorganisation was undertaken.

We are all numbers that statistical models recognise, and have no real opinion that is going to affect this proposal.

I have registered my opinion.

However it has no benefits to either constituency to move the votes between them.

It would be easier to bring back those areas that were moved into Aberravon as historically they are part of Neath.

There's no link between the Swansea East area and Neath.

Yet again another line drawn on a map to satisfy the needs of others and not the votes of the people.

BCW-10043 / Ruth Jones MP / London

I welcome the opportunity to give my views on the current draft plans. Please see the attached document for my response to the initial Boundary Commission proposals.

Consultation Response – Newport West and Caerphilly

In this consultation response, I would like to list the several concerns I have with the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency, justifying why these issues are relevant, and noting where they deserve further scrutiny.

Ultimately, this consultation response signals my disquiet with the proposed boundaries, and advocates that the Boundary Commission reconsider its plans for Newport West.

My alternative proposal is made in the final part of this response.

Crossing council lines

Issue 1: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency takes in two council areas

A clear and obvious initial issue with the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is that it crosses council areas, dividing wards between the civic centres of Newport City Council and Caerphilly County Borough Council.

Most importantly, for constituents, having an MP who represented two council areas may drive uncertainty over who to contact when issues and concerns arise.

The observation is also well-known amongst council officers, councillors, and parliamentarians, from our day-to-day constituency work. Consistently, casework must be passed between our offices, and our areas of jurisdiction need to be made clear to constituents. In the current constituency, this can work effectively, with each parliamentary office working together, but strictly within Newport City Council boundaries.

In melding two council areas, the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency would immediately blur already unclear lines. The Newport West and Caerphilly MP –

far from working alongside their directly corresponding Member of the Senedd and Councillors – would be required to work as a go-between in the middle of no less than five layers of competence. First, their own UK Parliamentary competence; second, those of the Newport West MS; third, those of the Caerphilly MS; fourth, those of CCBC; fifth, those of NCC.

Practically, this would make collaborative working on cases more difficult, more complex, and less efficient. Elsewhere, the proposals would immediately set back established council-MP relations and areas of joint working.

More than anything it would make it harder for an MP to keep abreast of all council developments in their constituency.

The Usk

Issue 2: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency and the proposed Newport East constituency ignore the clear and obvious natural boundaries separating the city of Newport

Today, natural separation points are clearly used to demarcate constituencies across the UK. We would be loath to ignore the historical, cultural, and practical significances of naturally-established borders.

In Newport, we are lucky to have at our disposal an obvious and blatant natural boundary dividing the West and East of our city. The Usk represents a clear point at which to separate. The river has served the people of Newport well as a well-known, and readily visible, border.

As such, the recommendations of the Boundary Commission around the river's edge – choosing to ignore and supersede the Usk – seem nothing short of farcical. For Newport East to gain land West of the river – in the Bettws, Malpas, Pillgwenlly, Shaftesbury and Stow Hill wards – makes very little sense. Moreover, for the new Newport West and Caerphilly constituency to hold few of Newport city's Western wards seems just as absurd.

The new constituency boundaries would remove the clarity of the real border between West and East, and replace it with a poorly-defined, unnatural and unnecessarily complex street-by-street boundary. This would see wards and communities which have been tied together for generations on the Western banks of the Usk needlessly split.

Most inappropriate would be the division of the Gaer and Pillgwenlly; and Stow Hill and Allt-yr-Yn. The proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency would fragment streets, quite literally, neighbour by neighbour. Observing the detail of the proposed boundary reveals the extent of this issue. For instance, Caerau Road and Stow Hill would see houses mere metres away from one another divided. The proposed boundary cuts capriciously through allotments to the West of Coldra Road. Neighbours along Barrack Hill would no longer share the same MP. The proposed boundary sees Harlequin Drive – with no exaggeration – bizarrely divides along front lawns and garden fences.

Whilst we recognise that boundaries cannot always be clear cut, we believe that the Boundary Commission has made an obvious mistake in choosing to repudiate the Usk, which must be reversed before the implementation of any new constituency.

Ward comparators

Issue 3: wards in the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency have little commonality

The new Newport West and Caerphilly constituency appears to combine wards with little or no common interest or demography.

The wards which are retained from the current Newport West constituency – Graig, Rogerstone, Tredegar Park, Shaftesbury, Gaer, Allt-yr-Yn, and Marshfield – are themselves diverse and divergent. This, we believe, contributes to the current Newport West's strengths.

marked, between the parts of Newport which are retained, and the parts of Caerphilly which are added.

Centres of power

Issue 4: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency creates two discordant centres of power, which would be difficult for a Member of Parliament to balance

The proposed constituency actively creates two centres of power, both of which are poorly weighted.

The 'Newport section' of the proposed constituency secedes parts of the city centre to the proposed Newport East constituency, but retains others. As such, there remains

an urban centre of power in and around Allt-yr-Yn in the proposed constituency, albeit one which is significantly hollowed-out relative to the current Newport West.

The 'Caerphilly section' of the proposed constituency retains the entire Caerphilly urban area – a second centre of power.

In the event of the proposed constituency coming into effect, any Member of Parliament would be constantly divided between their two centres of power.

Issues like where to host a constituency office, surgeries, and events would arise almost immediately. Lengthy travel between the two centres of interest would be frequent and impossible to avoid – both for constituents and the MP.

The chance of internal political conflict between the two urban centres, especially with regard to support from the MP on the part of community organisations and businesses, would be heightened massively. Intrinsically, one centre of interest's gain would become the other's loss.

Both the 'Newport section' and the 'Caerphilly section' deserve active and concentrated representation from any MP. Containing both within the same constituency would make this task almost impossible.

Cross-constituency travel

Issue 5: the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is cumbersome and inconvenient for cross-constituency travel

From the north-western tip of the proposed constituency, north of Senghenydd, to the south-eastern edge, at the West Usk Lighthouse, is a distance of well over 20 miles. Travel between the two points must be made by car, taking in a mixture of single-lane roads and B-roads: there is no viable public transport route between these two distance points in the proposed constituency.

This is, frankly, an impractical situation.

Any Member of Parliament would face difficulty when travelling widely in the constituency. They would be forced to adopt a car as their main mode of transport; an irresponsible measure to take, given the scale of the climate crisis.

The current Newport West boundaries are operable because much of the constituency is reachable on foot, or by bus, especially in and around the city centre. Rural areas are either reachable by public transport or on a short car journey.

The proposed boundaries make this much harder. With Newport Bus only covering the NCC area, public transport options narrow substantially, and active travel becomes impossible.

Alternative proposals

From the brief overview of concerns raised above, it is manifest that the proposed Newport West and Caerphilly constituency is unfit for purpose, both practically and culturally, for any Member of Parliament.

As such, it is sensible to offer an alternative proposal for the Boundary Commission to consider.

The Boundary Commission states that by law, every new constituency proposed must contain between 69,724 and 77,062 Parliamentary electors (as of 2 March 2020).

It is our belief that this stipulation should be readily fulfilled by retaining the current boundaries for Newport West, and adding to this two wards from the current **Islwyn** constituency – that is, **Risca West** and **Risca East** respectively.

These two wards, of 3,973 and 4,611 electors respectively, would allow Newport West to become a constituency of 75,624; well within the Boundary Commission's requirement.

The addition of the two Risca wards is the natural choice. Most importantly, Risca already has strong links with communities within the current Newport West. Specifically, its ties to the community of Rogerstone are clear and flagrant, with the wards melding almost seamlessly into one another, along Risca Road.

Risca's facilities are frequently used by residents of Rogerstone and vice versa – sports clubs and leisure centres, retail facilities, and public transport options are used by people living in both communities. This stands in stark contrast to the Boundary Commission's current proposal, which intends to wed valley communities with inner city wards – where no historic links are shared, and no practical commonality currently exists.

A draft map of the proposal follows on the next page.




Figure 1: Newport West – our Alternative Proposal

BCW-10044 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

We are currently poorly represented as we are at the fringes of urban and rural communities. To push us into a rural constituency which has no significant local population until 20 miles to the north makes no sense. There are not just geographical differences there are significant cultural and economic ones. It clearly makes more sense to link us into Ystradgynlais and Ystlyfera which is geographically close shares the same local amenities and would make communication and organisation of local issues easier.

BCW-10045 / [REDACTED] / Tredegar

I live in Tredegar and think the Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney plan is a good idea.

Tredegar would be somewhere in the middle of the new constituency, with Ebbw Vale, Brynmawr and Abertillery on one side, and Rhymney on the other. Our area is well connected by road with public transport links as well – there are regular buses between many of our towns and villages, like between Tredegar and Rhymney, and Tredegar to Ebbw Vale.

Our communities also have a lot in common, having been steel towns and mining towns so it would be a natural fit to have Rhymney join with Blaenau Gwent.

The plan would keep our communities together across the heads of the valleys so I support it.

BCW-10046 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

We have been part of llansamlet ward Swansea for many years we don't want to change.

BCW-10047 / [REDACTED] / Ystrad Meurig

As a resident of Ceredigion I wholeheartedly support these changes, which will better reflect the local population distribution and constituency varieties. Thank you.

BCW-10048 / [REDACTED] / Wrexham

I believe Brymbo has its heritage in With that of Wrexham, cutting us off from Wrexham and into Flintshire is alien to our heritage.

Brymbo is synonymous with steel, lead and coal industry and to cut this off from Wrexham is moving the biggest part of Wrexham's history into the county of Flintshire which has its own industrial heritage which is to the north of that county. The danger is that ex-Wrexham villages will take many years to be recognised and supported by the county leaders, which would be a further step backwards for an already deprived area.

When Brymbo moves out of Wrexham where would the senior school children go as today the nearest school is Bryn Alyn in Gwersyllt after the move it would be in Hope a big change and a splitting of children in the family as well as the village.

2023 Review of Parliamentary constituencies

Official response from
The Labour Party

1. Introduction, Rules, Process and Policy

1.1. Labour Party Statement

1.1.1. This submission is made on behalf of Welsh Labour and the National Executive Committee of the Labour Party. It is an overall response to the Initial Proposals of the Parliamentary Boundary Commission for Wales which follows a consultation process within the Labour Party involving all members of Parliament, members of the Senedd and other elected public representatives and constituency Labour parties.

1.2. The Review Process

1.2.1. The Labour Party welcomes the Initial Proposals of the Commission and the clear and comprehensive way in which they have set those proposals out. While we disagree with some of them and in some cases make alternative proposals which we believe better fit the statutory criteria, we accept that the Commission have fully considered the different options and explained the decisions which they have made. We recognise that the boundary commissions have a vital role in ensuring that as far as practicable all constituencies are of roughly equal size and that therefore votes are of equal value. While the Labour Party has long drawn attention to the inequities brought about by electoral under-registration, we accept that the commissions have to work with the data which are available under the terms of the relevant legislation.

1.2.2. We also welcome the Commission's efforts to stimulate and encourage public participation in the process and to consult with political parties on their policies and procedures. We believe that political parties have a constructive role to play in assisting the commissions to examine alternative options and to assess the

levels of public support which they may enjoy and the Labour Party will therefore engage constructively with the process in Wales as in England and Scotland.

1.3. **The Legislation and the Statutory Criteria**

1.3.1. We note that this review is the first to be carried out under the terms of the Parliamentary Constituencies Act 2020 which fixes the size of the House of Commons at 650 and creates an additional “protected” constituency, Ynys Mon, but that the criteria which the Commission may take into account in choosing between different schemes remain unchanged, they being:

- Special Geographical Circumstances, including the size, shape and accessibility of a constituency
- Local government boundaries
- The boundaries of existing constituencies
- Any local ties that would be broken by changes to constituencies
- Any inconveniences attendant upon those changes

1.3.2. It is self-evident that the Commission may not be able fully to adhere to all of these criteria (or indeed in some places any of them) in every part of Wales while keeping the electorates of constituencies within the permissible range. The task of the Commission must be to seek to apply them in their entirety in all areas while recognising that they must make judgements as to the relative weight they should be given in particular local circumstances. We accept that in some areas the disruption to existing constituencies is likely to be substantial, that it may be difficult to respect local authority boundaries and that local ties may be broken. Where the Labour Party puts forward alternative proposals to those of the Commission, we do so on the basis that we believe them to be on balance more consistent with those statutory criteria.

1.4. **Special Geographical Circumstances**

- 1.4.1. We note that this rule has not been explicitly applied in any of the Initial Proposals but is presumably reflected in the presumption against having constituencies which straddle large river estuaries and in the shape of constituencies in sparsely populated and mountainous areas. We accept and support such an approach and we make no objections to any of the proposals which are based upon the application of this criterion.

1.5. Local Government Boundaries

- 1.5.1. We note that the Commission can take into account local authority boundaries as they were in place in December 2020 including for the first time prospective boundaries for which electoral change orders have been passed but the changes not yet implemented. We note that this provision does not affect any local authority within Wales.
- 1.5.2. We would argue that there are many ways by which adherence to this criterion may be measured and that these include:
- Complete coterminosity of a local authority with one or more constituencies
 - Minimising the number of local authorities within a single constituency
 - Minimising the number of constituencies within a single local authority
 - Adherence to a local authority boundary where there is a choice between doing so or not doing so
- 1.5.3. The particular weight which should be applied to these measures is, we believe, partly a qualitative matter. For example the desirability of complete coterminosity may be dependent upon the nature of that authority, whether it comprises an identifiable and historic community, whether it has a history of discrete parliamentary representation, and whether the authority itself and its boundaries are particularly well-defined relative to its neighbours.

1.5.4. While it is clearly desirable administratively to limit the variance between local authority and parliamentary boundaries, we are not convinced that a purely mathematical application of this criterion is always the most appropriate yardstick. We do not believe that it is necessarily a matter of more than minor inconvenience if, for example, a local authority is divided between four rather than three constituencies if other statutory criteria support the former. We do not believe that it is likely seriously to detract from the viability of any individual constituency and therefore the likelihood that electors will recognise and accept the representational unity of that constituency. Equally, while it is obviously a matter of inconvenience to a Member of Parliament and the local authorities concerned if a constituency contains parts of more than one council area, we do not believe that by itself it is necessarily likely to make that constituency less acceptable to its electors. We note in this respect that the requirement to ensure that all constituency electorates are within five per cent of the Electoral Quota will mean that the Commission will have less flexibility in adjusting constituencies to match local authority boundaries than it had in the most recent completed review.

1.6. Electoral Wards

1.6.1. We note the Commission's policy of using electoral wards as the smallest unit with which to build constituencies and of not dividing community council wards. The Labour Party supports the Commission in this respect and does not believe there is any benefit to be gained in any part of Wales by diverging from that policy.

1.6.2. We believe that the use of wards as building blocks is an important means of making the process orderly and accessible. While it may result in some rigidity, limit the Commission's options and inhibit their ability to respect community ties, were they to be disregarded then the process of public engagement and consultation would become much more complex and potentially opaque.

1.7. Names and Designation of Constituencies

- 1.7.1. We note and support the Commission's policy on the names and designation of constituencies and its policy of proposing either English or Welsh names as it believes is appropriate for the area concerned. We believe in general that where a constituency is largely unchanged or is clearly a successor to an existing seat the presumption should be that the name of that seat will remain the same. However we recognise that with the major changes required to constituencies in many cases new arrangements should be reflected in new constituency names. Our comments on this issue will be largely reserved until the Secondary Consultation Period.

1.8. Terminology and Process

- 1.8.1. Throughout the Labour Party's representations a reference to a Successor Seat refers to the proposed seat which contains the largest single number of electors from the current seat in question. Conversely a Predecessor Seat is the current seat which provides the largest single number of electors to the proposed seat in question
- 1.8.2. Where a current seat does not supply the largest single number of electors to any proposed seat we would refer to that current seat as being "Abolished", and conversely where the largest single contribution to a proposed seat is not the largest single contribution from a current seat, that proposed seat would be referred to as "New".
- 1.8.3. Where we refer to a Theoretical Entitlement to seats that is simply an expression of the electorate of the area concerned divided by the Electoral Quota.
- 1.8.4. We look forward to presenting our case in detail during the Secondary Consultation Period when we will be represented at the public hearings. We will also at that point comment upon the representations and counter proposals of others.

- 1.8.5. For their assistance in drawing up our counter proposals the Labour Party would like to record its thanks to Kevin Larkin and his website boundaryassistant.org.

2. **The Initial Proposals**

2.1. Overall Pattern of Constituencies

2.1.1. We note that in drawing up their proposals the Commission have a number of challenges where there may be no ideal solution. These include:

- Minimising the breaking of ties within constituencies in the South Wales Valleys where more than one of those valleys may be combined in one seat or one valley may be divided between more than one seat
- Bringing the electorates of constituencies in the City of Cardiff within five per cent of the electoral quota
- Increasing the electorate of the Brecon & Radnorshire CC
- Increasing the electorate of the Ceredigion CC
- Increasing the electorate of the Montgomeryshire CC

2.1.2. We believe that the arrangements chosen to resolve these issues to a large extent dictate the pattern of constituencies across Wales as a whole.

2.1.3. We broadly accept the Initial Proposals which the Commission have adopted in respect of these constituencies although we do make a minor counter proposal in respect of the successor seat to Ceredigion CC.

2.1.4. While not affecting the overall pattern of constituencies and the grouping of local authorities, we also wish to make a counter proposal affecting Neath Port Talbot County Borough and the City of Swansea.

2.1.5. Otherwise we do not wish to make any objections to the Initial Proposals of the Commission but will obviously consider proposals which are made by others and make any comments upon them during the Secondary Consultation Period.

2.2. Local Authorities

- 2.2.1. We note that there are very few local authorities which can sustain whole numbers of constituencies with electorates within five per cent of the Electoral Quota. We do support the proposed Torfaen CC and Monmouthshire CC which are coterminous with their council areas. We also support the allocation of two whole seats to Carmarthenshire and do not wish to make any objection to the two constituencies as set out.
- 2.2.2. We further support the retention of the local authorities of Blaenau Gwent, Ceredigion and Merthyr Tydfil intact within their respective constituencies.

2.3. South East Wales

- 2.3.1. We believe that the inclusion of the Upper Rhymney Valley is the logical way of increasing the Blaenau Gwent CC and therefore support the proposed Blaenau Gwent & Rhymney CC. We also note the major changes which are required within Newport and Caerphilly and specifically the transfer of six wards of the City of Newport from Newport West CC to the new Newport East BC. We support this proposal but note that the linkage between Newport and Caerphilly is an awkward one and that there are only limited ties within the Newport West & Caerphilly CC as proposed. We do not however wish to object to the proposals which preserve the town of Caerphilly in one seat and retain the Islwyn CC intact with the exception of one ward.
- 2.3.2. We also broadly support the Merthyr Tydfil & Aberdare CC as proposed while noting that the inclusion of the Nelson ward of Caerphilly County Borough means that the constituency is comprised of parts of three different local authorities. We also regret that this proposal results in the division of the Cynon Valley with Aberdare and Aberaman placed in different constituencies. We recognise however that were Nelson to be retained in the Caerphilly CC it would have significant knock-on consequences which might lead to an even more unsatisfactory division

within the Cynon Valley. We do not therefore wish to make any counter proposal.

- 2.3.3. We note that the Commission has limited options within the City of Cardiff where the Cardiff South & Penarth BC is currently over-sized while the other three seats are all under-sized, with Cardiff North having just 60,192 electors. The typically large electorates of wards in the city reduce the number of options available and mean that making the required adjustments within the city could result in a complete redrawing of the pattern of seats in Cardiff which has been largely unchanged since 1983.
- 2.3.4. We therefore on balance support the Initial Proposals which keep three of the four constituencies completely intact and entail just two wards within Cardiff moving constituency. We believe that the inclusion of Dinas Powys in the Cardiff South & Penarth BC is a logical extension of the current arrangements and that Dinas Powys itself looks towards Cardiff and has good transport connections. We accept that the inclusion of the Taffs Well ward in Cardiff North BC and of Pont-y-Clun in Cardiff West BC would mean that only one constituency would be wholly within Cardiff, and that the Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough would be divided between five different constituencies. However we do believe that there are ties including road and rail connections between Taffs Well and north Cardiff and between Pont-y-Clun and west Cardiff which make both proposals sensible.
- 2.3.5. We therefore support the Initial Proposals for the Pontypridd CC and the Rhondda CC.
- 2.3.6. We also support the revised Vale of Glamorgan CC, unchanged other than by the transfer of Dinas Powys.

2.4. South West Wales

- 2.4.1. We note that every constituency in the rest of Wales needs to be enlarged and that therefore major change is necessary.
- 2.4.2. We support the Commission's proposals for two seats wholly or partly in Bridgend County Borough and particularly the transfer of the town of Porthcawl to an Aberafan Porthcawl CC. We believe there are ties between Margam, Cornelly and Pyle which make this the logical place for a constituency which contains parts of Bridgend and Neath Port Talbot county boroughs. We also support the proposed Bridgend CC and we agree that on balance this is the appropriate name for the constituency given that Bridgend would be the largest settlement as well as being the name of the local authority.
- 2.4.3. As noted above, we support the proposal of the Commission for the Brecon & Radnor CC to include ten wards of the existing Neath CC comprising the Upper Tawe Valley. We believe that any other alternative would lead to more disruption and that this area forms a natural extension to the existing constituency. Respecting the ties between Ystalyfera and Ystradgynlais.
- 2.4.4. We support the provision of three whole seats to the remainder of Neath Port Talbot County Borough and the City of Swansea but believe that the Initial Proposals are unsatisfactory in creating a radial arrangement whereby two constituencies contain part of central Swansea and extend a considerable distance beyond to communities with which they have few ties.
- 2.4.5. We believe that the need to reduce the number of seats in this part of Wales justifies the creation of a single constituency covering the whole of Swansea City Centre. We therefore wish to propose that the Swansea Central & North BC should include the wards of Bonymaen, St Thomas, Mayals and Sketty, with the wards of Llangyfelach, Mawr, Penllergaer and Pontardulais retained in a Swansea West & Gower CC. We then propose that the Morriston ward should be transferred to Swansea East & Neath CC. We

would further suggest that the Swansea Central & North CC should be called simply Swansea Central BC.

- 2.4.6. The counter proposal would result in the whole of Gower CC being kept intact with the exception of the Clydach ward while the Swansea Central BC would include the majority of both the Swansea East and Swansea West constituencies.
- 2.4.7. The ward list and map of the counter proposal is set out below.
- 2.4.8. As stated above we support the proposed Caerfyrddin CC and Llanelli CC. We do though wish to make a minor counter proposal in Pembrokeshire. This is for the wards of Solva and St Davids to be included in the Mid & South Pembrokeshire CC and the Ceredigion Preseli CC to include the Pembrokeshire County ward of Maenchlochog. We believe that this proposal improves the shape of both constituencies with the Pembrokeshire part of Ceredigion Preseli CC more focused on Fishguard as its local centre, while respecting the ties of St Davids with Haverfordwest and the coastal communities to its south.

2.5. Mid and North Wales

- 2.5.1. We support the proposed Brecon & Radnor CC, and also the proposed Montgomery & Glyndwr CC. We recognise again that this latter constituency would comprise parts of three local authorities but the areas being added all come from the existing Clwyd South seat and we believe are logical and compact and fit well with the Montgomeryshire constituency.
- 2.5.2. We note then that the whole of the existing Wrexham CC can be retained intact with the addition of a further 11 wards which are also currently in Clwyd South CC.
- 2.5.3. In the rest of North Wales we recognise that there must be a net reduction of two seats and that this will result in considerable change and constituencies which cover very large areas. We note that the Commission

propose that the existing Alyn & Deeside CC should be retained intact with the addition of two wards of Wrexham County Borough and three wards of Flintshire which are currently in Delyn CC and we support that.


- 2.5.4. We welcome the retention of the three main towns of Flint, Holywell and Mold within the Delyn CC and believe that the addition of Prestatyn is also logical. We believe that the ties of Delyn to Ruthin and the four rural wards of Denbighshire which are added to the seat are weaker, but do not wish to make any counter proposal.
- 2.5.5. We support the proposals for the remaining three seats in North Wales noting that the existing Aberconwy and Dwyfor Meirionnydd constituencies are both retained intact within their enlarged seats, and that the proposed Clwyd CC contains the large majority of the wards within the existing Clwyd West CC.
- 2.5.6. The details of the Labour Party's counter proposals are set out below. As stated above we will consider all proposals made by others and comment upon them during the Secondary Consultation Period.

3. Counter Proposals

- 3.1. The counter proposal for Neath Port Talbot and Swansea is as follows:

Constituency and Electorate	Wards
Swansea Central BC 75,560	City and County of <u>Swansea</u> wards of: Bonymaen, Castle, Cwmbwrla, Landore, Mayals, Mynyddbach, Penderry, St. Thomas, Sketty, Townhill, Uplands
Swansea East and Neath CC 76,841	<u>Neath Port Talbot</u> County Borough wards of: Aberdulais, Blaengwrach, Bryn-coch North, Bryn-coch South, Cadoxton, Cimla, Coedffranc Central, Coedffranc North, Coedffranc West, Crynant, Dyffryn, Glynneath, Neath East, Neath North, Neath South, Onllwyn, Pelenna, Resolven, Seven Sisters, Tonna City and County of <u>Swansea</u> wards of: Clydach, Llansamlet, Morriston


Constituency and Electorate	Wards
Swansea West and Gower CC 74,563	City and County of <u>Swansea</u> wards of: Bishopston, Cockett, Dunvant, Fairwood, Gorseinon, Gower, Gowerton, Killay North, Killay South, Kingsbridge, Llangyfelach, Lower Loughor, Mawr, Newton, Oystermouth, Penclawdd, Penllergaer, Pennard, Penyrheol, Pontardulais, Upper Loughor, West Cross



3.2. The counter proposal for Pembrokeshire is as follows:

Constituency and Electorate	Wards
Ceredigion Preseli CC 75,936	County of <u>Ceredigion</u> County of <u>Pembrokeshire</u> wards of: Cilgerran, Clydau, Crymych, Dinas Cross, Fishguard North East, Fishguard North West, Goodwick, Letterston, Llanrhian, Maenclochog, Newport, Scleddau, St. Dogmaels

Constituency and Electorate	Wards
Mid and South Pembrokeshire CC 74,947	County of <u>Pembrokeshire</u> wards of: Amroth, Burton, Camrose, Carew, East Williamston, Haverfordwest: Castle, Haverfordwest: Garth, Haverfordwest: Portfield, Haverfordwest: Prendergast., Haverfordwest: Priory, Hundleton, Kilgetty/Begelly, Lampeter Velfrey, Lamphey, Llangwm, Manorbier, Martletwy, Merlin's Bridge, Milford: Central, Milford: East, Milford: Hakin, Milford: Hubberston, Milford: North, Milford: West, Narberth, Narberth Rural, Neyland: East, Neyland: West, Pembroke Dock: Central, Pembroke Dock: Llanion, Pembroke Dock: Market, Pembroke Dock: Pennar, Pembroke: Monkton, Pembroke: St. Mary North, Pembroke: St. Mary South, Pembroke: St. Michael, Penally, Rudbaxton, Saundersfoot, Solva, St. David's, St. Ishmael's, Tenby: North, Tenby: South, The Havens, Wiston



BCW-10050 / [REDACTED] Vale of Clwyd Conservative Association / St Asaph

Boundary Review comments and proposals Vale of Clwyd Conservative Association.

This response is submitted on behalf of the Officers of Vale of Clwyd Conservative Association by [REDACTED], Chairman.

The Association Officers recognise the significant amount of time and work which has been invested into the Boundary Review to develop the proposals that we are now commenting on. We understand and recognise the need and the rationale for the review and the need for change. That said, there is a great deal of disappointment and much sadness that Vale of Clwyd could be split in half. A preference was always going to be to keep Vale of Clwyd and add to it from the rest of the County of Denbighshire but it is understood that this may pose challenging knock on effects for the rest of North Wales and difficult to achieve.

In light of the above therefore, we are broadly supportive of the proposed changes:

- Considering the proposed Clwyd, we support including the coastal town of Rhyl along with Bodelwyddan, Rhuddlan, St Asaph, Denbigh and villages in between which share many community links particularly in terms of retail and employment with people naturally moving between them and to access the A55.
- There is a natural affinity between Rhyl, Towyn and Kinmel Bay as Holiday resorts as well as a geographical proximity and we support these areas being included in Clwyd.
- The area of Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd sits more appropriately with the proposed Delyn constituency with the community linked for secondary education and retail very much with Ruthin. Therefore, we advocate for Llanfair DC to be included in the proposed Delyn and not in the proposed Clwyd.
- The name Clwyd we propose should be changed to Clwyd West, which geographically, being the western half of the vale, is what it is and would be well recognised by communities.
- Considering the proposed Delyn, the same arguments can be made as for Rhyl and west, for having Prestatyn, Gronant, and villages to the East up to but not including Bagillt and Flint, included in proposed Delyn. There is natural community flow and transport connectivity.
- Bagillt and Flint sit very much more comfortably with the more industrial communities to the East of them in Deeside and Connah's Quay. Community flow for retail and employment more naturally moves east towards Chester and beyond.
- Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd, as outlined above, sits very much with Ruthin both geographically and for education and retail in particular. We propose therefore that Llanfair DC is included in the proposed Delyn as the Commission suggests.

- We propose that Argoed, New Brighton and Leeswood be included in the proposed Delyn as the community ties for employment, retail and education very much sit with the town of Mold.
- Corwen and Llandrillo, whilst equidistant from Bala and Ruthin, have a stronger link with Ruthin. Many children attend school in Ruthin, communities use Ruthin for retail as it has greater provision and they are both within the County of Denbighshire hence reducing the division of areas of the County boundaries.
- The name of Delyn we strongly propose should be changed to Clwyd East. As for the proposal of Clwyd West, Clwyd East is more appropriate name being the eastern half of the geographical vale of Clwyd and would be well recognised by communities.

BCW-10051 / [REDACTED] / Cardigan

I SUPPORT THIS BECAUSE IT REPRESENTS A FAIR DISTRIBUTION OF THE POPULATION TO CONSTITUENCY.

BCW-10052 / [REDACTED] / Denbighshire

I am broadly in agreement with the proposals.

- Prestatyn, Gronant, and villages to the East up to but not including Bagillt and Flint sit appropriately with the proposed Delyn. There is natural community flow and transport connectivity.
- Bagillt and Flint sit very much more comfortably with the more industrial communities to the East of them in Deeside and Connah's Quay. Community flow for retail and employment more naturally moves east towards Chester and beyond. Therefore, I believe they should not be included with proposed Delyn.
- The area of Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd sits more appropriately with the proposed Delyn constituency with the community linked for secondary education and retail very much with Ruthin. Therefore, I propose that Llanfair DC is included in the proposed Delyn as the Commission suggests.
- I propose that Argoed, New Brighton and Leeswood be included in the proposed Delyn as the community ties for employment, retail and education very much sit with the town of Mold.
- Corwen and Llandrillo, whilst equidistant from Bala and Ruthin, have a stronger link with Ruthin. Many children attend school in Ruthin, communities use Ruthin for retail as it has greater provision and they are both within the County of Denbighshire hence reducing the division of areas of the County boundaries.
- I propose that the name of the new constituency be Clwyd East which is a more appropriate name being the eastern half of the geographical vale of Clwyd and would be well recognised by communities.

BCW-10053 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I'm writing concerning the boundary Commission proposals regarding the Llansamlet Ward in Swansea.

Under the proposals outlined within the Commission report, this community is scheduled to be part of the new Neath and Swansea East Constituency. I'm writing to object to the proposal to place Llansamlet in this new Constituency.

I object for the following reasons –

Llansamlet is an integral part of Swansea and has been part of Swansea East in its various guises since 1918.

The construction of the new seat is a result of a purely mathematical formula and takes no account of history, community traditions or the poor transport links between a lot of Llansamlet and Neath.

Llansamlet forms part of the wider Community of Swansea; it forms the commercial heart of the current Swansea East Seat. Swansea Enterprise Park falls entirely within Llansamlet and over 10,000 people work in the Enterprise Park. Llansamlet hosts part of the DVLA, The Land Registry, The UK Pensions Centre, has 3 Major call centers, including one for HSBC Bank and is the base for Admiral Insurance. It would be simply wrong to transfer a commercial center which is integral part of the local economy to a new seat which it has nothing in common with.

Llansamlet is a coherent community, within the Parish boundary of St Samlet's Church. It should remain in one local Government Ward, within a Swansea based seat. The Commission should not entertain any thoughts or proposals of splitting the ward and placing the residential part of Llansamlet in the new Parliamentary seat, while retaining Swansea Enterprise Park in a Swansea based and focused seat.

If Llansamlet were to be part of a Neath and Swansea East seat, most people and consequently the focus of the seat would be Neath with the Swansea part of the seat tacked on. We would be a small part of a much larger entity and fear that the area would suffer from being a small part of an area which we have never been part of.

People feel part of the local community which has many voluntary sector groups who support the community. Again, they form part of the wider Swansea Voluntary sector and have no association with Neath.

Llansamlet's history is as part of the industrial history of Swansea, based on the heavy metal working industries which characterized the lower Swansea Valley. Regeneration of the Lower Swansea Valley led to the creation of one of the First Enterprise Parks in the UK in the early 1980s – Llansamlet or Swansea Enterprise Park, referred to in this submission. The name gives the game away – the area has never been linked with or associated with Neath.

Transport links between Llansamlet and Neath are poor with a significant part of Birchgrove area of Llaansamlet Ward having no direct transport links to Neath, since the direct bus was withdrawn in 2018. It would make seeing the MP very difficult if they were based in Neath town center.

Sports clubs within Llansamlet either play in pan South Wales Competitions or play in Swansea based competitions; none play in Neath based competitions. The sporting and cultural focus of Llansamlet is clearly oriented towards Swansea.

Given the number of Wards from the current Neath Constituency, the focus of the seat is going to be Neath, and there must be a chance that the MP will chose to base themselves in Neath.

During discussions with local residents on these proposals, not one person indicated support for moving Llansamlet to a Neath and Swansea East Seat.

For these reasons I strongly object to Llansamlet being included in the new Neath and Swansea East Seat; Llansamlet should remain a part of a Swansea based and focused seat.

[REDACTED]

BCW-10054 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I have lived in the current Swansea East Constituency for most of my life. I have lived in Heol Las, Llansamlet, Birchgrove and Peniel Green. I currently live in the Llansamlet Branch area of Swansea East, but in my lifetime, Llansamlet/Bonymaen was historically one Branch.

Local ties are demonstrated by the generations of local families continuing to move from one community of the area to another, eg people who grew up in the older areas of Llansamlet, Trallwn and Birchgrove moving to newer areas in Frederick Place, Talcopa, Tregof, Heronsbrook and Parc Brynheulog.

Some people have settled in the area because of the new Ysgol Gynradd Gymraeg Lon Las (Welsh School) established in Llansamlet at the heart of the community. Community groups have become established:- Friends of Swansea Vale Nature Reserve, Friends of Heol Las Park and Scott's Pit; Friends of Primrose Park; Llansamlet Historical Society.

The main public transport provider is First Cymru. The bus company runs on the basis of providing profitable routes, or routes supported by the local authority. The buses 30,31,32 and 33 serve the communities of Birchgrove, Llansamlet, Peniel Green and Trallwn. They all run to Swansea. Service 31 also connects our communities to Morriston Hospital.

New Adventure Travel runs a number 45 service running from Morriston Hospital through Glais to the communities in Llansamlet, Winchwen and on to Swansea.

There is only one bus service (34) running from Swansea to Neath, which only highlights the lack of demand and connection to the Neath area from Llansamlet Branch area.

Llansamlet(branch) people shop in Swansea. Llansamlet(branch) people go to Swansea for their social and cultural events.

The Llansamlet Historical Society has demonstrated the industrial and historical links that lie in the Swansea Valley and tie people to the area. Local people worked in the mines dotted about Llansamlet, Birchgrove and Glais. My best friend's father worked in the Swansea Vale at Llansamlet, my father worked in the Upper Forest and Worcester Tin Plate Works in Morriston, There was the Dyffryn Steel Works Morriston, the Addis Works at Upper Bank and later Morganite. There are many more industries that have provided work for the people who lived in the area.

The Swansea Enterprise Park located on the East Bank of the River Tawe in Llansamlet, was the first enterprise zone in the UK and it provides thousands of jobs in the area.

Even the Churches in the area are tied communally: The Parish of Llansamlet serves Llansamlet, Birchgrove and Glais. St Samlet's Llansamlet; St John's in Birchgrove and St Paul's in Glais.

All social, cultural, commercial and historical ties are with Swansea and not Neath.

Local people go to school in the area, work in the area, live in the area, have families in the area and the next generation stays in the area.



BCW-10055 / [REDACTED] / Burnham-on-Sea

To whom it may concern,

Please find attached my submission for an all-Wales scheme in the form of a Microsoft Word document and an Excel spreadsheet. Screenshots of my proposed constituencies from Boundary Assistant, which are embedded in the text-based file, are also included here separately to ensure that Commissioners are able to study them in greater detail.

The Word document contains details of my links to Wales since I am not currently based there. Information about the names and electorate figures for my recommendations are then listed in alphabetical order, grouped into two sub-regions.

These same suggestions are listed in the Excel file roughly north-west to south-east. Names and electorate figures for the wards that would make up each seat can be found in columns F and G.

Anglesey is listed as 'seat zero' on the spreadsheet and is mentioned only in curly brackets in the Word file, since its boundaries cannot be altered according to the governing legislation. I have spent a fair bit of time on the island, and its statutory protection is a move I broadly support.

I have resisted any urge to critique the Commission's initial proposals on this occasion. I may do so at a later consultation stage if the BCW's revised proposals do not prove sufficiently satisfactory.

Lastly, I wish to take this opportunity to thank the Commissioners in advance for any serious consideration given to all or part of my modest counter-proposal.

Warmest regards,

[REDACTED]

COUNTER-PROPOSAL FOR UK PARLIAMENTARY BOUNDARIES IN RESPECT OF WALES

Introduction

Wales is an historic nation and its present constitutional status is that of a constituent country within the ‘UK proper’ rather than the so-called ‘wider UK family’. It has two official languages.

It should not be confused with the Principality of Wales, which is a unique rank of the British peerage reserved for, and often (but not automatically) bestowed upon, the first in line to the throne. The term does not refer to a place at all.

It forms a statistical region of the United Kingdom in its own right, and is coterminous with the multi-member European parliamentary constituency of Wales that existed from 1999 to 2020.

It has a population of approximately 3.14 million and a surface area of 20,779 square kilometres, meaning there is little to no danger of any proposed constituency exceeding the statutory maximum size.

It can be divided into 13 traditional counties, eight ceremonial ‘retained’ counties and administratively into 22 primary authorities, often called ‘County Boroughs’.

Its representation is being reduced at this review, in accordance with the volume of its electorate in relation to the rest of the UK. Mainland Wales will effectively have its number of seats cut from the present 39 to just 31.

For Senedd elections, it is split into five regions – based on former single-member European parliamentary constituencies from before 1999 – each containing seven to nine single-member constituencies.

With fewer seats to go around and a rigid quota to keep within, that number feels like too many for this exercise, but I still think the country can be divided into two broad sub-areas, namely: North, Mid & West Wales; and South Wales.

My links with Wales

I studied in North West Wales from 2009 to 2013 and remained a frequent visitor to that part of the country for over three years following graduation. I voted in the Arfon constituency at the 2010 UK general election.



During that period, I spent time in Llanfairpwll, Menai Bridge, Newborough, Caernarfon, Llandudno Junction, Colwyn Bay, Prestatyn, Flint, Porthmadog and Penrhyn Castle. I also caught a ferry to and from Holyhead, and became accustomed to changing trains at Newport railway station.

In terms of family links, my maternal grandfather was of Welsh origin and my mother would be taken to visit her cousins in Merthyr as a child. My former stepfather lives in Llanwrtyd, while my little sister’s parents-in-law moved to Mountain Ash a few years ago. I have often made trips to Cardiff and Chepstow, and also paid a visit to the Royal Mint in Llantrisant for my 33rd birthday.

I used to watch live football and rugby – as well as highlights – on BBC2 Wales and S4C, and still catch part of *Sgorio* online livestreams sometimes. In the late 1990s, I would also take in episodes of *Pam Fi Duw?* (albeit with English subtitles switched on) on Thursday nights because it was better than any offering on the free-to-air English-language channels.

NORTH, MID and WEST WALES


I propose the following 13 constituencies (twelve excluding the statutorily protected seat of Anglesey) for this area. Two fall entirely within Carmarthenshire. Four have principal names in Welsh, three have the same name in both official languages according to modern spelling conventions, and the other six have their primary name in English:



- 1) ***Bae Ceredigion ES [Cardigan Bay CC]*** (74,299 electors)
- 2) ***Brecon and Radnor CC***
[Aberhonddu a Maesyfed ES]
(70,914)
- 3) ***Caerfyrddin ES [Carmarthen CC]***
(70,211)
- 4) ***Denbigh CC [Dinbych ES]***
(70,400)
- 5) ***Flint CC [Y Fflint ES]*** (74,949)
- 6) ***Llandudno CC/ES*** (71,708)
- 7) ***Llanelli CC/ES*** (72,367)
- 8) ***Meirionydd a Chaernarfon ES***
[Merioneth and Carnarvon CC]
(69,800)
- 9) ***Montgomery CC [Trefaldwyn ES]***
(73,608)
- 10) ***Pembroke CC [Penfro ES]***
(70,571)
- 11) ***Prestatyn CC/ES*** (69,778)
- 12) ***Wrexham CC [Wrecsam ES]***
(76,138)
- 13) ***{Ynys Môn ES [Anglesey CC]***
(52,415)}.

SOUTH WALES

I hereby put forward the following 19 constituencies for this area. One has the same name in both English and Welsh, whereas for the remaining 18 the principal name is in English. Two are coterminous with local authorities:



- 1) **Aberavon CC [Aberafan ES]**
(76,147 electors)
- 2) **Aberdare CC [Aberdâr ES]**
(72,836)
- 3) **Barry and Penarth CC [Y Barri a Phenarth ES]** (76,531)
- 4) **Bridgend CC [Pen-y-bont ES]**
(75,327)
- 5) **Caerphilly and Cardiff North BC**
[Caerffili a Gogledd Caerdydd
EB] (70,234)
- 6) **Cardiff South BC [De Caerdydd**
EB] (71,092)
- 7) **Cardiff West BC [Gorllewin**
Caerdydd EB] (73,878)
- 8) **Ebbw Vale CC [Glyn Ebwy ES]**
(76,847)
- 9) **Gower CC [Y Gŵyr ES]** (76,801)
- 10) **Merthyr and Rhymney CC**
[Merthyr Tudful a Rhymni ES]
(76,444)
- 11) **Mid Cardiff BC [Canol Caerdydd**
EB] (73,667)
- 12) **Monmouth CC [Mynwy ES]**
(72,681)
- 13) **Neath CC [Castell-nedd ES]**
(75,930)
- 14) **Newport East BC [Dwyrain**
Casnewydd EB] (76,159)
- 15) **Newport West and Risca CC**
[Gorllewin Casnewydd a Risga
ES] (74,598)
- 16) **Ogmore CC [Ogwr ES]** (70,217)
- 17) **Pontypool CC [Pont-y-pŵl ES]**
(70,591)
- 18) **Pontypridd CC/ES** (72,127)
- 19) **Swansea BC [Abertawe EB]**
(73,412).

	Prif enw/Principal name	Enw amgen/Alternate name	Etholwyr/Voters	Bwrdeistref Sirol/Primary authority	Ward (yn Saesneg yn unig)	Etholwragedd/Electorate
0	Ynys Môn	Anglesey	52,415	Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Aethwy	5,175
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Bro Aberffraw	3,023
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Bro Rhosyr	3,919
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Central Anglesey	6,537
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Holyhead	5,504
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Holy Island	4,434
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Llifôn	4,104
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Lligwy	4,810
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Seiriol	4,650
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Talybolion	4,762
				Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey	Twrcelyn	5,497
1	Llandudno	Llandudno	71,708	Conwy	Betws-y-Coed	967
				Conwy	Bryn	1,390
				Conwy	Caerhun	1,677
				Conwy	Capelulo	1,284
				Conwy	Conwy	3,295
				Conwy	Craig-y-Don	2,685
				Conwy	Crwst	1,581
				Conwy	Deganwy	3,289
				Conwy	Eglwysbach	1,257
				Conwy	Gogarth	2,795
				Conwy	Gower	850
				Conwy	Llansanffraid	1,814
				Conwy	Marl	3,539
				Conwy	Mostyn	2,758
				Conwy	Pandy	1,463
				Conwy	Pant-yr-afon/Penmaenan	2,167
				Conwy	Penrhyn	3,874
				Conwy	Pensarn	2,274
				Conwy	Trefriw	1,026
				Conwy	Tudno	3,591
				Conwy	Uwch Conwy	1,276
				Gwynedd	Arllechwedd	1,091
				Gwynedd	Bethel	1,025
				Gwynedd	Cwm-y-Glo	753
				Gwynedd	Deiniol	920
				Gwynedd	Deiniolen	1,463
				Gwynedd	Dewi	1,301
				Gwynedd	Garth	556
				Gwynedd	Gerlan	1,696
				Gwynedd	Glyder	1,257
				Gwynedd	Hendre	940
				Gwynedd	Hirael	1,066
				Gwynedd	Llanberis	1,613
				Gwynedd	Llanrug	1,396
				Gwynedd	Marchog	1,579
				Gwynedd	Menai (Bangor)	1,548
				Gwynedd	Ogwen	1,697
				Gwynedd	Penisarwaun	1,365
				Gwynedd	Pentir	2,159
				Gwynedd	Tregarth & Mynydd Llandygai	1,628
				Gwynedd	Y Felinheli	1,803
2	Meirionydd a Chaernarfon	Merioneth & Carnarvon	69,800	Ceredigion	Borth	1,677
				Ceredigion	Ceulanamaesmawr	1,551
				Ceredigion	Tirymynach	1,403
				Ceredigion	Trefeurig	1,382
				Gwynedd	Aberdaron	698
				Gwynedd	Aberdovey	907
				Gwynedd	Abererch	986
				Gwynedd	Abermaw	1,591
				Gwynedd	Abersoch	519
				Gwynedd	Bala	1,413
				Gwynedd	Bontnewydd	865
				Gwynedd	Botwnnog	734
				Gwynedd	Bowydd and Rhiw	1,235
				Gwynedd	Brithdir and Llanfachreth/Ganllwyd/Llanelltyd	1,132
				Gwynedd	Bryn-crug/Llanfihangel	772
				Gwynedd	Cadnant	1,514
				Gwynedd	Clynnog	736
				Gwynedd	Corris/Mawddwy	1,023
				Gwynedd	Criccieth	1,280
				Gwynedd	Diffwys and Maenofferen	779
				Gwynedd	Dolbenmaen	900
				Gwynedd	Dolgellau North	953
				Gwynedd	Dolgellau South	1,072
				Gwynedd	Dyffryn Ardudwy	1,169
				Gwynedd	Efail-newydd/Buan	1,026
				Gwynedd	Groeslon	1,374
				Gwynedd	Harlech	1,516
				Gwynedd	Llanaelhaearn	1,187
				Gwynedd	Llanbedr	768
				Gwynedd	Llanbedrog	709
				Gwynedd	Llandderfel	1,135
				Gwynedd	Llanengan	847
				Gwynedd	Llangelynin	1,625
				Gwynedd	Llanllfni	915
				Gwynedd	Llanuwchllyn	686
				Gwynedd	Llanwnda	1,507
				Gwynedd	Llanystumdwy	1,547
				Gwynedd	Menai (Caernarfon)	1,724
				Gwynedd	Morfa Nefyn	945
				Gwynedd	Nefyn	1,003
				Gwynedd	Peblig (Caernarfon)	1,603
				Gwynedd	Penrhyndeudraeth	1,826
				Gwynedd	Penygroes	1,369
				Gwynedd	Porthmadog East	1,178
				Gwynedd	Porthmadog West	1,329
				Gwynedd	Porthmadog-Tremadog	933
				Gwynedd	Pwllheli North	1,528

				Gwynedd	Pwllheli South	1,310
				Gwynedd	Seiont	2,233
				Gwynedd	Talysarn	1,399
				Gwynedd	Teigl	1,355
				Gwynedd	Trawsfynydd	1,088
				Gwynedd	Tudweiliog	668
				Gwynedd	Tywyn	2,476
				Gwynedd	Waunfawr	1,298
				Powys	Giantwymyn	1,701
				Powys	Machynlleth	1,701
3	Denbigh	Dinbych	70,400	Conwy	Abergele Pensarn	1,959
				Conwy	Betws yn Rhos	1,623
				Conwy	Colwyn	3,373
				Conwy	Eirias	2,800
				Conwy	Gele	3,997
				Conwy	Glyn	3,088
				Conwy	Kimmel Bay	4,607
				Conwy	Llanddulas	1,353
				Conwy	Llandrillo yn Rhos	6,110
				Conwy	Llangernyw	1,104
				Conwy	Llansannan	1,495
				Conwy	Llysfaen	1,906
				Conwy	Mochdre	1,425
				Conwy	Pentre Mawr	2,861
				Conwy	Rhiw	4,991
				Conwy	Towyn	1,845
				Conwy	Uwchaled	1,139
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Bodelwyddan	1,612
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Corwen	1,799
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Denbigh Central	1,462
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Denbigh Lower	3,483
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Denbigh Upper/Henllan	2,265
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Efenechtyd	1,321
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llandrillo	931
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llanfair Dyffryn Clwyd/Gwyddelwern	1,830
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llanrhaeadr-yng-Nghinmeirch	1,496
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Ruthin	4,260
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	St. Asaph East	1,472
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	St. Asaph West	1,290
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Trefnant	1,503
4	Prestatyn	Prestatyn	69,778	Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Dyserth	1,882
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llanarmon-yn-Ial/Llandegla	2,033
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llanbedr Dyffryn Clwyd/Llangynhafal	1,170
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llandyrnog	1,765
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Llangollen	3,302
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Prestatyn Central	2,829
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Prestatyn East	3,162
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Prestatyn Meliden	1,529
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Prestatyn North	4,729
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Prestatyn South West	2,861
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhuddlan	2,913
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhyl East	3,693
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhyl South	2,874
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhyl South East	6,253
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhyl South West	3,732
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Rhyl West	3,283
				Sir Ddinbych/Denbighshire	Tremeirchion	1,344
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Brynford	1,789
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Caerwys	2,050
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Cilcain	1,519
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Ffynnongroyw	1,474
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Gronant	1,257
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Gwernaffield	1,646
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Gwernymynydd	1,399
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Halkyn	1,427
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Leeswood	1,627
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Llanfynydd	1,483
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Trelawnyd and Gwaenysgor	1,496
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Treuddyn	1,346
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Whitford	1,911
5	Flint	Y Fflint	74,949	Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Argoed	2,167
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Aston	2,508
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Bagillt East	1,413
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Bagillt West	1,625
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Buckley Bistre East	2,653
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Buckley Bistre West	3,182
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Buckley Mountain	2,555
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Buckley Pentrobin	4,181
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Connah's Quay Central	2,213
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Connah's Quay Goltyn	3,688
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Connah's Quay South	4,494
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Connah's Quay Wepre	1,647
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Ewloe	4,327
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Flint Castle	1,426
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Flint Coleshill	2,938
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Flint Oakenholt	2,538
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Flint Trelawny	2,710
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Greenfield	1,983
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Holywell Central	1,465
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Holywell East	1,383
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Holywell West	1,762
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mold Broncoed	2,134
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mold East	1,556
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mold South	2,201
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mold West	1,956
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mostyn	1,458
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	New Brighton	2,414
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Northop	2,596
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Northop Hall	1,398
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Sealand	2,026

				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Shotton East	1,219
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Shotton Higher	1,669
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Shotton West	1,464
6	Wrexham	Wrexham	76,138	Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Broughton North East	1,723
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Broughton South	3,325
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Caergwrle	1,225
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Hawarden	1,623
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Higher Kinnerton	1,373
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Hope	2,042
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Mancot	2,516
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Penyffordd	3,543
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Queensferry	1,248
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Saltney Mold Junction	1,100
				Sir Y Fflint/Flintshire	Saltney Stonebridge	2,672
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Acton	2,177
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Borras Park	1,968
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Bryn Cefn	1,543
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Brynyffynnon	2,477
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Cartrefle	1,545
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Erd dig	1,444
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Esclusham	2,013
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Garden Village	1,656
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Gresford East and West	2,337
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Grosvenor	1,698
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Gwersyllt East and South	3,601
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Gwersyllt North	1,995
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Gwersyllt West	2,263
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Hermitage	1,544
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Little Acton	1,843
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Llay	3,513
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Maesydre	1,420
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Marford and Hoseley	1,824
				Wrexham/Wrexham	New Broughton	2,842
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Offa	1,428
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Queensway	1,377
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Rhosnesni	2,827
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Rossett	2,643
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Smithfield	1,376
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Stansty	1,641
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Whitegate	1,594
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Wynnstay	1,159
7	Montgomery	Trefaldwyn	73,608	Powys	Banwy	847
				Powys	Berriew	1,102
				Powys	Caersws	1,831
				Powys	Dolforwyn	1,652
				Powys	Forden	1,215
				Powys	Gullsfield	1,827
				Powys	Llanbrynmair	798
				Powys	Llandrinio	1,763
				Powys	Llandysilio	1,441
				Powys	Llanfair Caereinion	1,301
				Powys	Llanfihangel	895
				Powys	Llanfyllin	1,217
				Powys	Llanrhaeadr-ym-Mochnant/Llansilin	1,815
				Powys	Llansantffraid	1,563
				Powys	Llanwddyn	846
				Powys	Meifod	1,069
				Powys	Montgomery	1,107
				Powys	Rhiwcyron	1,724
				Powys	Trevern	1,066
				Powys	Welshpool Castle	962
				Powys	Welshpool Gungrog	1,995
				Powys	Welshpool Llanerchuddol	1,602
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Bronington	2,620
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Brymbo	3,021
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Cefn	3,768
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Ceiriog Valley	1,685
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Chirk North	1,846
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Chirk South	1,503
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Coedpoeth	3,482
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Gwenfro	1,217
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Holt	2,479
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Johnstown	2,461
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Llangollen Rural	1,631
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Marchwiell	1,830
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Minera	1,870
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Overton	2,710
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Pant	1,528
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Penycae	1,525
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Penycae and Ruabon South	2,026
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Plas Madoc	1,169
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Ponciau	3,521
				Wrexham/Wrexham	Ruabon	2,078
8	Brecon & Radnor	Aberhonddu a Maesyfed	70,914	Powys	Aber-craf	1,143
				Powys	Beguildy	1,135
				Powys	Blaen Hafren	1,876
				Powys	Bronllys	1,060
				Powys	Builth	1,849
				Powys	Bwlch	800
				Powys	Churchstoke	1,292
				Powys	Crickhowell	2,410
				Powys	Cwm-twrch	1,557
				Powys	Disserth and Trecoed	1,055
				Powys	Felin-fâch	1,102
				Powys	Glasbury	1,901
				Powys	Gwernyfed	1,178
				Powys	Hay	1,355
				Powys	Kerry	1,607
				Powys	Knighton	2,296
				Powys	Llanafanfawr	1,141

				Powys	Llanbadarn Fawr	925
				Powys	Llandinam	1,155
				Powys	Llandrindod East/Llandrindod West	949
				Powys	Llandrindod North	1,517
				Powys	Llandrindod South	1,726
				Powys	Llanelwedd	987
				Powys	Llangattock	762
				Powys	Llangors	901
				Powys	Llangunllo	1,071
				Powys	Llangynidr	865
				Powys	Llanidloes	2,149
				Powys	Llanwrtyd Wells	1,450
				Powys	Llanyre	978
				Powys	Maescar/Llywel	1,405
				Powys	Nantmel	1,243
				Powys	Newtown Central	2,122
				Powys	Newtown East	1,401
				Powys	Newtown Llanllwchaearn North	1,796
				Powys	Newtown Llanllwchaearn West	1,447
				Powys	Newtown South	1,215
				Powys	Old Radnor	1,375
				Powys	Presteigne	2,174
				Powys	Rhayader	1,589
				Powys	St. David Within	1,263
				Powys	St. John	2,365
				Powys	St. Mary	2,194
				Powys	Talgarth	1,305
				Powys	Talybont-on-Usk	1,537
				Powys	Tawe-Uchaf	1,763
				Powys	Ynyscedwyn	1,705
				Powys	Yscir	888
				Powys	Ystradgynlais	1,935
9	Bae Ceredigion	Cardigan Bay	74,299	Ceredigion	Aberaeron	1,088
				Ceredigion	Aberporth	1,839
				Ceredigion	Aberystwyth Bronglais	936
				Ceredigion	Aberystwyth Central	1,358
				Ceredigion	Aberystwyth North	1,478
				Ceredigion	Aberystwyth Penparcau	2,084
				Ceredigion	Aberystwyth Rheidol	1,776
				Ceredigion	Beulah	1,413
				Ceredigion	Capel Dewi	1,068
				Ceredigion	Cardigan - Mwldan	1,522
				Ceredigion	Cardigan - Rhyd-y-Fuwch	895
				Ceredigion	Cardigan - Teifi	824
				Ceredigion	Ciliau Aeron	1,613
				Ceredigion	Faenor	1,985
				Ceredigion	Lampeter	1,660
				Ceredigion	Llanarth	1,222
				Ceredigion	Llanbadarn Fawr - Padarn	767
				Ceredigion	Llanbadarn Fawr - Sulien	973
				Ceredigion	Llandyfriog	1,466
				Ceredigion	Llandysiliogogo	1,653
				Ceredigion	Llandysul Town	1,067
				Ceredigion	Llanfarian	1,193
				Ceredigion	Llanfihangel Ystrad	1,666
				Ceredigion	Llangeitho	1,168
				Ceredigion	Llangybi	1,186
				Ceredigion	Llanrhystyd	1,255
				Ceredigion	Llansantffraed	1,935
				Ceredigion	Llanwenog	1,419
				Ceredigion	Lledrod	1,812
				Ceredigion	Melindwr	1,578
				Ceredigion	New Quay	810
				Ceredigion	Penbryn	1,762
				Ceredigion	Pen-parc	1,933
				Ceredigion	Tregaron	951
				Ceredigion	Troedyraur	1,110
				Ceredigion	Ystwyth	1,673
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Cilgerran	1,594
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Clydau	1,189
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Crymych	2,099
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Dinas Cross	1,313
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Fishguard North East	1,495
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Fishguard North West	1,208
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Goodwick	1,509
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Letterston	1,873
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Llanrhian	1,232
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Maenclochog	2,462
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Newport	878
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Scleddau	1,158
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Solva	1,274
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	St. David's	1,521
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	St. Dogmaels	1,775
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Wiston	1,581
10	Pembroke	Penfro	70,571	Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Amroth	992
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Burton	1,503
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Camrose	2,190
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Carew	1,179
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	East Williamston	1,965
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest Castle	1,674
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest Garth	1,660
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest Portfield	1,765
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest Prendergast	1,628
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Haverfordwest Priory	1,907
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Hundleton	1,416
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Johnston	2,044
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Kilgetty/Begelly	1,830
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Lampeter Velfrey	1,284
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Lamphey	1,429
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Llangwm	1,814
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Manorbier	1,655


				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Martletwy	1,603
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Merlin's Bridge	1,619
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford Central	1,578
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford East	1,571
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford Hakin	1,774
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford Hubberston	2,004
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford North	2,047
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Milford West	1,523
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Narberth	1,704
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Narberth Rural	1,293
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Neyland East	1,793
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Neyland West	1,590
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock Central	1,091
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock Llanion	1,915
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock Market	1,357
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Dock Pennar	2,442
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke Monkton	1,022
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke St. Mary North	1,675
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke St. Mary South	1,063
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Pembroke St. Michael	2,082
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Penally	1,398
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Rudbaxton	945
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Saundersfoot	1,904
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	St. Ishmael's	1,125
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Tenby North	1,658
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	Tenby South	1,664
				Sir Benfro/Pembrokeshire	The Havens	1,196
11	Caerfyrddin	Carmarthen	70,211	Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Abergwili	1,912
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Carmarthen Town North	3,691
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Carmarthen Town South	2,746
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Carmarthen Town West	3,601
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Cenarth	1,669
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Cilycwm	1,175
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Cynwyl Elfed	2,468
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Cynwyl Gaeo	1,351
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Garnant	1,558
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Glanamman	1,802
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Gorslas	3,906
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Laugharne Township	2,161
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanboidy	1,705
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanddarog	1,678
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llandeilo	2,307
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llandovery	1,963
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llandybie	3,277
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanegwad	2,040
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanfihangel Aberbythych	1,503
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanfihangel-ar-Arth	2,196
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llangadog	1,629
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llangeler	2,772
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llangunnor	2,077
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llangydeyrn	2,905
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llansteffan	1,656
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llanbydder	2,027
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Manordeilo and Salem	1,816
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Quarter Bach	2,218
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	St. Clears	2,500
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	St. Ishmael	2,318
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Trelech	1,754
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Whitland	1,830
12	Llanelli	Llanelli	72,367	Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Ammanford	1,984
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Betws	1,825
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Bigyn	4,544
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Burry Port	3,278
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Bynea	3,282
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Dafen	2,456
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Elli	2,357
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Felinfoel	1,334
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Glanymor	4,312
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Glyn	1,661
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Hendy	2,697
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Hengoed	3,352
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Kidwelly	2,818
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llangennech	3,954
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llannon	4,079
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Lliedi	3,825
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Llwynhendy	3,010
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Pembrey	3,417
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Penygroes	2,347
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Pontamman	2,092
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Pontyberem	2,154
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Saron	3,353
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Swiss Valley	2,097
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Trimsaran	1,887
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Tycroes	1,862
				Sir Gaerfyrddin/Carmarthenshire	Tyisha	2,390
13	Gower	Y Gŵyr	76,801	Abertawe/Swansea	Bishopston	2,743
				Abertawe/Swansea	Cockett	10,473
				Abertawe/Swansea	Dunvant	3,494
				Abertawe/Swansea	Fairwood	2,278
				Abertawe/Swansea	Gorseinon	3,340
				Abertawe/Swansea	Gower	2,990
				Abertawe/Swansea	Gowerton	3,978
				Abertawe/Swansea	Killay North	2,031
				Abertawe/Swansea	Killay South	1,857
				Abertawe/Swansea	Kingsbridge	3,506
				Abertawe/Swansea	Llangyfelach	3,946
				Abertawe/Swansea	Lower Loughor	1,795
				Abertawe/Swansea	Mawr	1,438
				Abertawe/Swansea	Mayals	2,148


				Abertawe/Swansea	Newton	2,894
				Abertawe/Swansea	Oystermouth	3,313
				Abertawe/Swansea	Penclawdd	2,932
				Abertawe/Swansea	Penllergaer	2,553
				Abertawe/Swansea	Pennard	2,229
				Abertawe/Swansea	Penyrheol	4,621
				Abertawe/Swansea	Pontardulais	4,954
				Abertawe/Swansea	Upper Loughor	2,146
				Abertawe/Swansea	West Cross	5,142
14	Swansea	Abertawe	73,412	Abertawe/Swansea	Bonymaen	5,391
				Abertawe/Swansea	Castle	10,312
				Abertawe/Swansea	Cwmbwrla	5,622
				Abertawe/Swansea	Landore	4,821
				Abertawe/Swansea	Mynyddbach	6,625
				Abertawe/Swansea	Penderry	7,397
				Abertawe/Swansea	Sketty	11,304
				Abertawe/Swansea	St. Thomas	5,514
				Abertawe/Swansea	Townhill	5,592
				Abertawe/Swansea	Uplands	10,834
15	Neath	Castell-nedd	75,930	Abertawe/Swansea	Clydach	5,821
				Abertawe/Swansea	Llansamlet	11,107
				Abertawe/Swansea	Morrison	12,105
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Aberdulais	1,712
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Allt-wen	2,023
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Bryn-coch North	1,787
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Bryn-coch South	4,547
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Cadoxton	1,365
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Cimla	3,107
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Crynant	1,508
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Cwmlynnfell	921
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Dyffryn	2,447
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Godre'r graig	1,514
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen	2,220
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Lower Brynamman	1,040
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Neath East	4,468
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Neath North	2,931
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Neath South	3,694
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Pontardawe	4,283
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Rhos	1,997
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Tonna	2,072
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Trebanos	1,092
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Ystalyfera	2,169
16	Aberavon	Aberafan	76,147	Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Aberavon	4,048
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Baglan	5,383
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Blaengwrach	1,491
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Briton Ferry East	2,148
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Briton Ferry West	2,033
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Bryn and Cwmavon	5,220
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Coedffranc Central	2,892
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Coedffranc North	1,811
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Coedffranc West	3,587
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Cymmer	2,011
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Glyncorwg	792
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Glynneath	2,577
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Gwynfi	879
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Margam	2,309
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Onllwyn	935
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Pelenna	936
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Port Talbot	4,342
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Resolven	2,387
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Sandfields East	5,038
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Sandfields West	4,917
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Seven Sisters	1,554
				Castell-nedd Port Talbot/Neath Port Talbot	Tai-bach	3,643
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Caerau	4,795
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Llangynwyd	2,351
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Maesteg East	3,741
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Maesteg West	4,327
17	Ogmore	Ogwr	70,217	Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Aberkenfig	1,868
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Bettws	1,595
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Blackmill	1,839
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Blaengarw	1,333
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Bryncethin	1,261
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Bryncoch	1,757
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Cefn Cribwr	1,180
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Cornelly	5,359
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Felindre	2,087
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Hendre	3,175
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Llangeinor	887
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Nant-y-moel	1,745
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Ogmore Vale	2,357
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Penprysg	2,474
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Pontycymmer	1,773
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Pyle	5,545
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Sarn	1,786
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Ynysawdre	2,748
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Brynna	3,616
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Gilfach Goch	2,437
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Llanharan	2,819
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Llanharry	3,221
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Pont-y-clun	6,061
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Talbot Green	1,965
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Tonyrefail East	4,433
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Tonyrefail West	4,896
18	Bridgend	Pen-y-bont	75,327	Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Cowbridge	5,240
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Llandow/Eweny	2,362
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Llantwit Major	7,939
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Peterston-super-Ely	1,855
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	St. Athan	2,765


				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	St. Bride's Major	2,732
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Brackla	8,276
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Bryntirion, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr	6,574
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Cefn Glas	1,360
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Coity	3,006
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Coychurch Lower	1,160
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Litchard	2,080
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Llangewydd and Brynhyfryd	1,878
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Morfa	3,210
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Newcastle	4,287
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Newton	3,035
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Nottage	2,741
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Oldcastle	3,783
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Pendre	1,654
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Pen-y-fai	1,853
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Porthcawl East Central	2,580
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Porthcawl West Central	2,967
				Pen-y-bont/Bridgend	Rest Bay	1,990
19	Barry & Penarth	Y Barri a Phenarth	76,531	Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Baruc	6,080
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Buttrills	4,447
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Cadoc	7,244
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Castleland	3,442
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Cornerswell	4,069
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Court	3,370
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Dinas Powys	6,388
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Dyfan	4,170
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Gibbonsdown	3,827
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Illyd	6,242
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Llandough	1,578
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Plymouth	4,584
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Rhoose	5,796
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	St. Augustine's	5,318
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Stanwell	3,365
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Sully	3,696
				Bro Morgannwg/Vale of Glamorgan	Wenvoe	2,915
20	Aberdare	Aberdâr	72,836	Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Aberdare East	4,909
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Aberdare West/Llwydcoed	7,404
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Cwm Clydach	1,987
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Cwmbach	3,751
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Cymmer	3,977
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Ferndale	3,114
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Hirwaun	3,167
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Llwyn-y-pia	1,637
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Maerdy	2,283
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Pentre	3,902
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Pen-y-graig	3,929
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Pen-y-waun	1,973
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Porth	4,282
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Rhigos	1,370
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Tonypandy	2,686
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Trealaw	2,895
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Treherbert	4,158
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Treorchy	5,693
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Tylorstown	3,054
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Ynysir	2,380
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Ystrad	4,285
21	Pontypridd	Pontypridd	72,127	Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Aberaman North	3,609
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Aberaman South	3,541
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Abercynon	4,441
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Beddau	3,172
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Church Village	4,424
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Cilfynydd	2,110
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Glyncoch	2,006
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Graig	1,885
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Hawthorn	3,180
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Llantrisant Town	3,187
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Llantwit Fardre	4,825
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Mountain Ash East	2,254
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Mountain Ash West	3,123
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Penrhiwceiber	4,056
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Pontypridd Town	2,208
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Rhondda	3,458
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Rhydfelen Central/Ilan	3,037
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Taffs Well	2,855
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Ton-teg	3,183
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Trallwng	2,824
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Treforest	2,862
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Tyn-y-Nant	2,454
				Rhondda Cynon Taff(f)	Ynysybwl	3,433
22	Merthyr & Rhymney	Merthyr Tudful a Rhymni	76,444	Caerffili/Caerphilly	Aberbargoed	2,706
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Bargoed	4,431
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Cefn Fforest	2,845
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Darren Valley	1,870
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Gilfach	1,534
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Moriah	3,128
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	New Tredegar	3,357
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Pengam	2,760
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Pontlottyn	1,432
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	St. Cattwg	5,579
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Twyn Carno	1,721
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Bedlinog	2,977
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Cyfarthfa	5,457
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Dowlais	5,014
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Gurnos	3,477
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Merthyr Vale	2,798
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Park	3,296
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Penydarren	3,818
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Plymouth	4,096
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Town	5,998
				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Treharris	5,270

				Merthyr Tudful/Merthyr Tydfil	Vaynor	2,880
23	Ebbw Vale	Glyn Ebwy	76,847	Blaenau Gwent	Abertillery	3,074
				Blaenau Gwent	Badminton	2,452
				Blaenau Gwent	Beaufort	2,717
				Blaenau Gwent	Blaina	3,497
				Blaenau Gwent	Brynmawr	4,028
				Blaenau Gwent	Cwm	3,254
				Blaenau Gwent	Cwmtillery	3,383
				Blaenau Gwent	Ebbw Vale North	3,268
				Blaenau Gwent	Ebbw Vale South	2,959
				Blaenau Gwent	Georgetown	3,091
				Blaenau Gwent	Llanhilleth	3,387
				Blaenau Gwent	Nantyglo	3,350
				Blaenau Gwent	Rassau	2,463
				Blaenau Gwent	Sirhowy	4,210
				Blaenau Gwent	Six Bells	1,740
				Blaenau Gwent	Tredeggar Central and West	4,027
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Abercarn	4,139
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Argoed	2,035
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Blackwood	6,330
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Crumlin	4,332
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Newbridge	4,892
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Penmaen	4,219
24	Cardiff West	Gorllewin Caerdydd	73,878	Caerdydd/Cardiff	Caerau	7,859
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Canton	11,457
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Creigiau/St. Fagans	4,409
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Ely	9,576
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Fairwater	9,642
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Llandaff	7,078
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Llandaff North	5,992
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Pentyrch	2,819
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Radyr	5,425
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Riverside	9,621
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Adamsdown	5,692
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Butetown	7,834
25	Cardiff South	De Caerdydd	71,092	Caerdydd/Cardiff	Grangetown	13,257
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Llanrumney	7,758
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Penylan	9,858
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Rumney	6,536
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Splott	9,081
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Trowbridge	11,076
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Trowbridge	11,076
26	Mid Cardiff	Canol Caerdydd	73,667	Caerdydd/Cardiff	Cathays	13,099
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Cyncoed	8,475
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Gabalfa	5,922
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Heath	9,611
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Llanishen	13,492
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Pentwyn	10,783
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Plasnewydd	12,285
27	Caerphilly & Cardiff North	Caerffili a Gogledd Caerdydd	70,234	Caerdydd/Cardiff	Lisvane	2,942
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Pontprenau/Old St. Mellons	8,047
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Rhiwbina	9,354
				Caerdydd/Cardiff	Whitchurch and Tongwynlais	12,928
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Aber Valley	4,655
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Llanbradach	3,239
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Morgan Jones	5,636
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Nelson	3,563
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Penyrheol	9,021
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	St. James	4,267
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	St. Martins	6,582
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	St. Martins	6,582
28	Newport West & Risca	Gorllewin Casnewydd a Risga	74,598	Caerffili/Caerphilly	Bedwas, Trethomas and Machen	7,902
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Crosskeys	2,527
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Hengoed	4,055
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Maesycwmmmer	1,811
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Pontllanfraith	6,343
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Risca East	4,611
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Risca West	3,973
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Ynysddu	2,978
				Caerffili/Caerphilly	Ystrad Mynach	4,067
				Casnewydd/Newport	Allt-yr-yn	7,088
				Casnewydd/Newport	Gaer	6,543
				Casnewydd/Newport	Graig	5,053
				Casnewydd/Newport	Marshfield	4,897
				Casnewydd/Newport	Rogerstone	9,421
				Casnewydd/Newport	Tredeggar Park	3,329
29	Newport East	Dwyrain Casnewydd	76,159	Casnewydd/Newport	Alway	5,931
				Casnewydd/Newport	Beechwood	5,611
				Casnewydd/Newport	Bettws	5,656
				Casnewydd/Newport	Caerleon	6,603
				Casnewydd/Newport	Langstone	3,878
				Casnewydd/Newport	Liswerry	9,110
				Casnewydd/Newport	Llanwern	3,544
				Casnewydd/Newport	Malpas	6,114
				Casnewydd/Newport	Pillgwenilly	5,174
				Casnewydd/Newport	Ringland	5,968
				Casnewydd/Newport	Shaftesbury	3,778
				Casnewydd/Newport	St. Julians	6,287
				Casnewydd/Newport	Stow Hill	3,384
				Casnewydd/Newport	Victoria	5,121
				Casnewydd/Newport	Victoria	5,121
30	Pontypool	Pont-y-pŵl	70,591	Torfaen	Abersychan	5,044
				Torfaen	Blaenavon	4,575
				Torfaen	Brynwern	1,295
				Torfaen	Coed Eva	1,859
				Torfaen	Croesyceiliog North	2,745
				Torfaen	Croesyceiliog South	1,460
				Torfaen	Cwmyniscy	987
				Torfaen	Fairwater	3,918
				Torfaen	Greenmeadow	2,028
				Torfaen	Llantarnam	4,864

				Torfaen	Llanyrafon East and Ponthir	1,803
				Torfaen	Llanyrafon West	1,946
				Torfaen	New Inn	4,777
				Torfaen	Panteg	5,828
				Torfaen	Pontnewydd	5,186
				Torfaen	Pontnewynydd	1,129
				Torfaen	Pontypool	1,438
				Torfaen	Snatchwood	1,819
				Torfaen	St Dials	3,317
				Torfaen	St. Cadocs and Penygarn	1,341
				Torfaen	Trevethin	2,463
				Torfaen	Two Locks	4,715
				Torfaen	Upper Cwmbran	4,207
				Torfaen	Wainfelin	1,847
31	Monmouth	Mynwy	72,681	Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Caerwent	1,699
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Caldicot Castle	1,768
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Cantref	1,668
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Castle	1,611
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Croesonen	1,609
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Crucorney	1,773
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Devauden	1,228
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Dewstow	1,404
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Dixton with Osbaston	1,968
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Drybridge	3,034
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Goetre Fawr	2,045
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Green Lane	1,482
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Grofield	1,308
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Lansdown	1,644
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Larkfield	1,484
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llanbadoc	1,099
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llanelly Hill	3,286
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llanfoist Fawr	1,971
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llangybi Fawr	1,477
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llanover	1,781
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llantilio Crossenny	1,527
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Llanwenarth Ultra	1,128
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Mardy	1,430
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Mill	2,256
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Mitchel Troy	985
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Overmonnow	1,662
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Portskewett	1,885
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Priory	1,546
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Raglan	1,618
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Rogiet	1,365
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	St. Arvans	1,304
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	St. Christopher's	1,756
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	St. Kingsmark	2,346
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	St. Mary's	1,539
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Severn	1,325
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Shirenewton	1,850
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	The Elms	2,523
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Thornwell	1,961
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Trellech United	2,249
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Usk	1,930
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	West End	1,456
				Sir Fynwy/Monmouthshire	Wyesham	1,701







BCW-10056 / [REDACTED] / Port Talbot

The proposed constituency is too big, and I would prefer my local MP to represent my local area.

BCW-10057 / Colin Mann / Caerphilly

I represent Llanbradach as a County Councillor. I object to my ward being separated from the rest of the Caerffili basin area to which it has a natural affinity.

I object generally to the proposals which unnecessarily divide my area. The population of the Rhymni Valley is being used to supplement the population of other areas.

There is a long-standing affinity between communities within the Rhymni Valley which should be respected. The present Westminster constituency should include the whole of the valley rather than the artificial divide proposed.

The area is joined together by the Rhymni railway line which provides good communication between the valley and Cardiff.

The idea that, for example, the Caerffili basin should be joined to Newport West, to which there is little affinity, is wrong.

I urge you to look again at the proposals and respect the integrity of both Llanbradach and the Rhymni Valley as a whole.

Thank you

BCW-10058 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I'm writing concerning the boundary Commission proposals regarding the Llansamlet Ward in Swansea.

I object for the following reasons -

I have friends living in Llansamlet and everyone considers it part of Swansea. There is real bewilderment in the community as everyone considered Llansamlet is an integral part of Swansea .

I understand the reasons for reducing the number of MP's, but there must be a more sensible way of rearranging the Swansea Seats

Llansamlet forms part of the wider Community of Swansea; it forms the commercial heart of the current Swansea East Seat. Swansea Enterprise Park falls entirely within Llansamlet and over 10,000 people work in the Enterprise Park. Llansamlet hosts part of the DVLA, The Land Registry, The UK Pensions Centre, has 3 Major call centers, including one for HSBC Bank and is the base for Admiral Insurance. It would be simply wrong to transfer a commercial center which is integral part of the local economy to a new seat which it has nothing in common with.

Llansamlet is a coherent community, within the Parish boundary of St Samlet's Church. It should remain in one local Government Ward, within a Swansea based seat.

If Llansamlet were to be part of a Neath and Swansea East seat, most people and consequently the focus of the seat would be Neath with the Swansea part of the seat tacked on. We would be a small part of a much larger entity and fear that the area would suffer from being a small part of an area which we have never been part of.

Local schools operate under the Swansea Education system; children live with the community and go to school in the area. few if any Children from Llansamlet go to schools in Neath

Llansamlet's history is as part of the industrial history of Swansea, based on the heavy metal working industries which characterized the lower Swansea Valley.

Regeneration of the Lower Swansea Valley led to the creation of one of the First Enterprise Parks in the UK in the early 1980s – Llansamlet or Swansea Enterprise Park, referred to in this submission. The name gives the game away – the area has never been linked with or associated with Neath.

Transport links between Llansamlet and Neath are poor with a significant part of Birchgrove area of Llansamlet Ward having no direct transport links to Neath, since the direct bus was withdrawn in 2018. It would make seeing the MP very difficult if they were based in Neath town center.

Sports clubs within Llansamlet either play in pan South Wales Competitions or play in Swansea based competitions; none play in Neath based competitions. The sporting and cultural focus of Llansamlet is clearly oriented towards Swansea.

Given the number of Wards from the current Neath Constituency, the focus of the seat is going to be Neath, and there must be a chance that the MP will chose to base themselves in Neath.

For these reasons I strongly object to Llansamlet being included in the new Neath and Swansea East Seat; Llansamlet should remain a part of a Swansea based and focused seat.

BCW-10059 / [REDACTED] / Nelson

I am disappointed by the planned break up of the Caerphilly constituency. I live in Nelson which is in a corner of the County Borough close to boundaries with Merthyr Tydfil and Rhondda Cynon Taff. Current proposals would move Nelson into the Merthyr constituency. Nelson and Llanfabon will be at the southern tip of a constituency extending way up into the Brecon Beacons. Yet I can easily walk from my home to the Caerphilly Borough headquarters and council chamber in Ystrad Mynach. I have many more connections with other communities in the proposed Islwyn or Pontypridd constituencies. I am also concerned regarding the part of Caerphilly being joined with part of the Newport West constituency. People I know from Caerphilly town and the surrounding communities tend to identify much more with Cardiff in terms of job opportunities and sporting and cultural activities, partly because transport links are so much better.

Pleas will the Commission review the proposals for the current Caerphilly constituency and others to better reflect the social, economic and physical geography of the valleys.

My present MP, Wayne David, has put forward alternative plans which I think deserve consideration.

Regards, [REDACTED]

BCW-10060 / [REDACTED] Llansamlet Labour Branch / Swansea

- Llansamlet is an integral part of Swansea and has been part of Swansea since 1918;
- The construction of the new seat is a result of a purely mathematical formula and takes no account of history, community traditions or the poor transport links between Llansamlet and Neath.
- Llansamlet forms part of the wider Community of Swansea; it forms the commercial heart of the current Swansea East Seat. Swansea Enterprise Park falls entirely within Llansamlet and over 10,000 people work in the Enterprise Park. Llansamlet hosts part of the DVLA, The Land Registry, The UK Pensions Centre, has 3 Major call centers, including one for HSBC Bank and is the base for Admiral Insurance. It would be simply wrong to transfer a commercial center which is integral part of the local economy to a new seat which it has nothing in common with.
- Llansamlet is a coherent community, within the Parish boundary of St Samlet's Church. It should remain in one local Government Ward, within a Swansea based seat. The Commission should not entertain any thoughts or proposals of splitting the ward and placing the residential part of Llansamlet in the new Parliamentary seat, while retaining Swansea Enterprise Park in a Swansea based and focused seat.
- If Llansamlet were to be part of a Neath and Swansea East seat, most people and consequently the focus of the seat would be Neath with the Swansea part of the seat tacked on. We would be a small part of a much larger entity and fear that the area would suffer from being a small part of an area which we have never been part of.
- People feel part of the local community which has many voluntary sector groups who support the community. Again, they form part of the wider Swansea Voluntary sector and have no association with Neath.
- Llansamlet's history is as part of the industrial history of Swansea, based on the heavy metal working industries which characterized the lower Swansea Valley. Regeneration of the Lower Swansea Valley led to the creation of one of the First Enterprise Parks in the UK in the early 1980s – Llansamlet or Swansea Enterprise Park, referred to in this submission. The name gives the game away – the area has never been linked with or associated with Neath.
- Transport links between Llansamlet and Neath are poor with a significant part of Birchgrove area of Llaansamlet Ward having no direct transport links to Neath, since the direct bus was withdrawn in 2018. It would make seeing the MP very difficult if they were based in Neath town center.
- Sports clubs within Llansamlet either play in pan South Wales Competitions or play in Swansea based competitions; none play in Neath based competitions. The sporting and cultural focus of Llansamlet is clearly oriented towards Swansea.

The above information forms a coherent set of reasons why Llansamlet should remain part of a Swansea based and focused Parliamentary seat. Its history, social and cultural links are entirely Swansea focused and contribute evidence as to why its future should be with Swansea Parliamentary Seats.

BCW-10061 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

I'm writing concerning the boundary Commission proposals regarding the Llansamlet Ward in Swansea.

Under the proposals outlined within the Commission report, this community is scheduled to be part of the new Neath and Swansea East Constituency. I'm writing to object to the proposal to place Llansamlet in this new Constituency.

I object for the following reasons -

- Llansamlet is an integral part of Swansea and has been part of Swansea since 1918;

The dividing boundaries historically have always been rivers, streams, hills, and mountains, not a calculator massaging the figures into manageable numbers.

- The construction of the new seat is a result of a purely mathematical formula and takes no account of history, community traditions or the poor transport links between Llansamlet and Neath.
- Llansamlet forms part of the wider Community of Swansea; it forms the commercial heart of the current Swansea East Seat. Swansea Enterprise Park falls entirely within Llansamlet and over 10,000 people work in the Enterprise Park. Llansamlet hosts part of the DVLA, The Land Registry, The UK Pensions Centre, has 3 Major call centers, including one for HSBC Bank and is the base for Admiral Insurance. It would be simply wrong to transfer a commercial center which is integral part of the local economy to a new seat which it has nothing in common with.
- Llansamlet is a coherent community, within the Parish boundary of St Samlet's Church. It should remain in one local Government Ward, within a Swansea based seat. The Commission should not entertain any thoughts or proposals of splitting the ward and placing the residential part of Llansamlet in the new Parliamentary seat, while retaining Swansea Enterprise Park in a Swansea based and focused seat.
- If Llansamlet were to be part of a Neath and Swansea East seat, most people and consequently the focus of the seat would be Neath with the Swansea part of the seat tacked on. We would be a small part of a much larger entity and fear that the area would suffer from being a small part of an area which we have never been part of.
- People feel part of the local community which has many voluntary sector groups who support the community. Again, they form part of the wider Swansea Voluntary sector and have no association with Neath.

- Llansamlet's history is as part of the industrial history of Swansea, based on the heavy metal working industries which characterized the lower Swansea Valley. Regeneration of the Lower Swansea Valley led to the creation of one of the First Enterprise Parks in the UK in the early 1980s – Llansamlet or Swansea Enterprise Park, referred to in this submission. The name gives the game away – the area has never been linked with or associated with Neath.
- Transport links between Llansamlet and Neath are poor with a significant part of Birchgrove area of Llaansamlet Ward having no direct transport links to Neath, since the direct bus was withdrawn in 2018. It would make seeing the MP very difficult if they were based in Neath town center.
- Sports clubs within Llansamlet either play in pan South Wales Competitions or play in Swansea based competitions; none play in Neath based competitions. The sporting and cultural focus of Llansamlet is clearly oriented towards Swansea.

The above information forms a coherent set of reasons why Llansamlet should remain part of a Swansea based and focused Parliamentary seat. Its history, social and cultural links are entirely Swansea focused and contribute evidence as to why its future should be with Swansea Parliamentary Seats.

BCW-10062 / [REDACTED] / Cardiff

I welcome and support the proposal to keep the existing Cardiff North Constituency intact, by adding the Taff's Well electoral ward to it in order to create the proposed new and enlarged Cardiff North Constituency.

However, equalising constituency sizes will not make First Past The Post a fair voting system.

Imagine a General Election in which:

the UK is divided into 650 constituencies all with exactly the same number of electors, so that the overall result will not be distorted by different sized constituencies;

only two parties contest the election, and both parties contest every seat, so that the overall result will not be distorted by a third party splitting the vote;

the number of electors who turn out to vote is exactly the same in every constituency, so that the overall result will not be distorted by different sized turnouts;

both parties receive exactly the same total number of votes across the UK, and both parties' votes are spread fairly evenly throughout the UK.

Party A could win 649 seats, each with a majority of one vote, and lose one seat to Party B by 649 votes.

However, if the boundaries were drawn differently, Party A could, instead, lose 649 seats to Party B, each by one vote, and win just one seat with a majority of 649 votes.

In fact either party could win any number of seats from one seat to 649 seats depending merely on how the boundaries are drawn.

Yet only one result, 325 seats for each party, out of 649 possible different results, is a fair result.

However, if by chance, and it would be by chance and not by design, both parties did win 325 seats each, it would not alter the fact that up to almost half of the voters would be unrepresented in Parliament by an MP that they had helped to elect.

Clearly, First Past The Post is not designed for electing a parliament that accurately reflects the “will of the people”.

It is unfit for purpose and it should be abolished.

First Past The Post is not good enough for electing the leader of the Conservative Party;

First Past The Post is not good enough for electing the leader of the Labour Party;

and First Past The Post is not good enough for electing the Speaker of the House of Commons.

So First Past The Post should not be accepted as good enough for electing our MPs.

Sadly, therefore, I feel that the task of redrawing constituency boundaries, that you are required to carry out for the UK Parliament, will do very little, if anything, to make UK elections fairer, no matter how hard you try.

BCW-10063 / [REDACTED] / Bangor

1) I object to combining the parliamentary constituency of Arfon with the parliamentary constituency of Dwyfor/Meirionnydd. The proposed new constituency is much too large to be effectively and efficiently represented by one Member of Parliament. Even the two current constituencies are large, bearing in mind the pressures on our Members of Parliament to travel from one end of their constituency to the other, to ensure good and effective representation for the constituents and maintain a meaningful connection with them.

2) Reading the proposals, it becomes apparent to the percipient reader that an English mindset lies behind them, with very little recognition or understanding of north-west Wales. Wales is treated exactly as if it were a part of England. But the sociological nature of Wales, its history and traditions, are very different. For instance, no consideration has been given to our living traditional 'areas' – that social network of catchment areas which developed 'naturally' – our areas, our neighbourhoods, the communities which relate to each other socially. I see no understanding of these factors at all in the proposals. Rather, new boundaries have been fixed in a mechanistic manner, chiefly according to population. As a result, it is proposed to split the Arfon area – an area which forms a social, historical and cultural entity of unique communities linked for more than two centuries to the slate industry valleys. The Ogwen, Peris and Nantlle Valleys and the adjacent villages included within the term "Arfon" belong together wholly naturally. It would be a purblind "administrative" step to separate them as suggested. Similarly, the more urban coastal communities - Bangor, Y Felinheli and Caernarfon – have developed in their modern form specifically to serve the three slate valleys. This was the *raison d'être* of their contemporary evolution. And, most importantly, the communities of Arfon form the heart of the unique "Fro Gymraeg" in north-west Wales. With all this in mind, I oppose the proposal to split the Arfon area in this senseless manner.

3) Specifically, I very strongly oppose the intention to divide Dyffryn Ogwen, Bethesda and Bangor from the Arfon area. Bethesda and Dyffryn Ogwen belong much more naturally to the social networks of Arfon than to the Aberconwy area, which include very dissimilar catchment areas and traditions from what is found in the Arfon communities. I strongly recommend that the proposed boundaries be amended in order to safeguard and maintain the historical identity of Arfon in its entirety, as it is now and has remained for centuries.

4) Lastly, I object to the general intention to reduce the number of Welsh MPs. The number of our Members of Parliament is already small, their influence comparatively marginal and increasingly minoritised under the current Westminster government set-up. Reducing their number would mean reducing Wales' democratic

representation within the "Union" of the countries of Britain. This would certainly lead to increasing dissatisfaction and disillusion among electors in Wales. The new proposals will be certain to emphasise and reinforce Wales' unequal and lowly status within the British State in the minds of many electors in Wales.

[REDACTED]

BCW-10064 / [REDACTED] / Swansea

This new constituency crosses local boundaries. Npt and swansea council have different priorities and approaches. The MP will find it difficult to represent all residents

BCW-10065 / [REDACTED] / Nelson

Nelson ward in the County Borough of Caerphilly is the only Caerphilly ward to be allocated to the proposed parliamentary constituency of Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare. This breaks the local ties with all other wards in Caerphilly CBC.

As well as being within the area of Caerphilly CBC, Nelson also receives services from the Aneurin Bevan Health Authority and Gwent Police. These other administrative boundaries mean that Nelson shares all its local government, education, health and police services with wards to the east, in Caerphilly CBC, and shares none of its services with Merthyr or RCT wards to the north and west.

The Initial Proposals report states that Nelson has been allocated to the proposed Merthyr and Aberdare constituency "to meet the statutory electorate range". But it would be less disruptive to local ties to combine wards from only the principal councils of Merthyr Tydfil and Rhondda Cynon Taf to achieve this aim, rather than combining wards from three principal councils. For example Aberaman North and Aberaman South are geographically much closer to the primary settlements of Aberdare and Merthyr than is Nelson.

Clearly reallocating the Aberaman wards in the north of the proposed constituency of Pontypridd would reduce its size but Pontyclun and Taffs Well to the south have also been separated from their principal council area to be attached to Cardiff constituencies, whereas the combined electorates of the principal councils of Cardiff and the Vale of Glamorgan at 351,332 is large enough to subdivide into 5 parliamentary constituencies of the required size without infringing on the boundaries of RCT.

So in conclusion, I would ask the Commission not to split Nelson from its principal council area and to consider how this can be achieved by reconsidering the proposed Pontypridd constituency and the division of wards within Cardiff and the Vale of Glamorgan council area.

BCW-10066 / [REDACTED] / Bethesda

Dear Commission,

These preliminary proposals have many strange aspects. These include minor and major problems, which have led to the creation of an unnatural map. I appreciate the limitations which have made it difficult to create a natural map, but I believe it is possible to create a map which would be more suitable, even given the strict limitations imposed on the Commission.

As one from Arfon, the most unnatural aspect which strikes me is the separation of the Bangor and Dyffryn Ogwen areas from Caernarfon. Obviously, it is not possible to retain Arfon constituency as it is. But areas in this constituency have a feeling of being one community, for cultural, linguistic and geographical reasons.

It appears to me that the Commission has decided to expand the current constituencies of Dwyfor Meirionnydd and Aberconwy, and to split Arfon between them. This is only one option. Expanding Arfon and creating new constituencies for the Conwy and Meirion areas would be an equally valid option.

'Greater Arfon' would be a natural constituency for several reasons. For one thing, it would keep the areas I mentioned above together. Expanding to the east would bring the communities of Llanfairfechan and Penmaenmawr into the constituency; this makes sense from a cultural standpoint – much of Arfon contains quarrying areas, similar to Penmaenmawr. These areas have a similar history. But it is also important to note that a greater Arfon would resemble the old Caernarfonshire in many respects. The Dwyfor area was within the Caernarfon constituency prior to the boundary reorganisation; it is very natural to bring Dwyfor into Arfon.

Of course, I understand that changing the boundaries of one area would affect adjacent constituencies. There are potential sensible boundaries for the northern constituencies which would respect communities in Arfon, but which would also solve some other oddities in the preliminary proposals.

I would suggest the following:

Create a coastal constituency between the rivers of Conwy and Clwyd,

Keep Rhyl and Prestatyn together, with the north of Flintshire,

Place Mold with Alyn and Deeside,

Create a constituency to include the areas of Meirion, Nant Conwy, Nant Clwyd and north-west Montgomeryshire,

Create the constituency of east Montgomeryshire and south Wrexham.

The idea of a coastal constituency is not a new one; I borrow the idea from the Commission's previous recommendations which were not passed by Westminster. Such a constituency would be natural since these coastal communities have much in common. Flintshire would not have to expand into Denbighshire. The River Clwyd would form a natural eastern boundary, allowing Rhyl and Prestatyn to stay together.

The Meirion-Conwy-Clwyd-northern Montgomeryshire constituency would be large, but not unreasonable; certainly not when compared with some current Scottish constituencies. It also makes sense geographically since it is based on valleys. Flintshire would not have to expand into Denbighshire, apart from the coast. In addition, it would create a constituency for Welsh-speaking communities; north-west Montgomeryshire is culturally and linguistically similar to the county of Meirionnydd.

As you see, there are advantages to retaining Arfon, and it is possible to create meaningful constituencies for the remainder of the north by so doing.

If you disagree with any of the above, and are unwilling to deviate from the preliminary proposals other than by making cosmetic changes, I still have comments on minor details of the preliminary proposals. Although I believe that there is a strong case for making substantial changes, I append some comments on possible minor improvements.


1. Why has the Commission created a map based on the old wards which will come to an end in May 2022? Is it not possible to create a map based on the new wards without affecting the bigger picture?

2. Splitting Penrhosgarnedd from the rest of Bangor makes no sense at all. Bangor is one city.


3. What is going on with the constituency names? Felinheli is neither in Dwyfor or Meirion! "Dwyfor Meirionnydd" is a suitable name for the current constituency, being

a combination of the former Dwyfor and Meirionnydd district councils. Similarly, Aberconwy constituency shares its borders with the former district council of Aberconwy. If the future boundaries will not be based on the former district councils, it makes no sense to retain the names. The natural name for a constituency based on Dwyfor, Meirion, and most of Arfon would be "Gwynedd." This would be the same as the county. The name "Aberconwy" does not make sense for the new constituency either. It did make sense for the old constituency because of the former district council, but the changes would make the situation completely different. 'Aberconwy' means the place where the River Conwy reaches the sea. This does not apply to areas such as Llangernyw, Bangor, or Dyffryn Ogwen. It would be sensible to have a name referring to more than one corner of the constituency. 'Conwy and Bangor' would be one option, since it encompasses the two most populated areas. 'Aberconwy and Aberogwen' is another option if we have to refer to rivers.

Thank you for reading my comments and I hope you will consider the above. I wish to attach a possible map for north Wales showing the boundaries for which I have made the case. The map does not include any suggestions for the south, with which I am less familiar.



BCW-10067/[REDACTED] / Barry



1st November
2021

Boundary Commission for
WalesHastings House
Fitzalan Court
CARDIFF

CF24 OBL

Dear Sirs,

Dinas Powis Boundary Changes

Why move Dinas Powis out of the Vale of Glamorgan? It's" notbroke, so don't bend it".

Dinas Powis has been part of the life blood of Barry for generations. I have family and friends living there, all born in Barry. Barry and the Vale is an area of employment for many from Dinas Powis.

Schools in Barry play a large part of Dinas Powis life as does sporting activities. Older people use Barry for shopping, not everyone drives and even if they have, many have had to give up their cars with the onset of old age, travelling to Cardiff would certainly make life difficult if not impossible for many.

I sincerely hope this Boundary Change is rejected - it's a retrograde step and a move too far.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

BCW-10068/ [REDACTED] / Cardiff



25th October 2021


Local Democracy and Boundary Commission for
WalesHastings House
Fitzalan
Court
Cardiff
CF24 OBL

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to convey my objections to the Boundary Commission for Wales' proposals to move the Dinas Powys ward, currently in the Vale of Glamorgan Parliamentary constituency, into the Cardiff South & Penarth Parliamentary constituency.

I understand that Dinas Powys has been in the Vale of Glamorgan constituency for at least 40 years and as a resident of Leckwith, located in the Dinas Powys ward, for over seven years, I believe the community ties Dinas Powys has with other parts of the Vale of Glamorgan, should remain intact.

Yours faithfully,



BCW-10069 / [REDACTED] / Rhyl

Dear Sirs,

I am happy with the boundary commissions findings. However, there are certain points I wish to be made whilst reviewing the overall situation.

Your suggestion, is that Rhyl, Rhuddlan, Bodelwyddan, St Asaph, Trefnant and Denbigh/Henllan should be a part of a seat to the west, along with the existing Clwyd West constituency (less Ruthin, Llanbedr DC, Llanarmon yn Ial, Rhos on Sea, Llangernyw, Mochdre and Uwchale. Then Prestatyn, Dyserth, Tremeirchion/Cwm/Waen and Llandyrnog would join a seat to the east, along with the existing Delyn constituency (less Argoed, Leeswood and New Brighton), but with Ruthin, Llanarmon yn Ial and Llanbedr DC from the current Clwyd West constituency.

My feeling is that there are strong links between Rhyl and Kinmel Bay/Towyn. For example, social media accounts and clubs are linked as if there is no facility in one, generally, they look to the other. Myself I have a luncheon club, seeing a need for widowers and ladies to get together as a group entitled, Prestatyn and Rhyl ladies luncheon club. At present, we have 155 members This also applies to Prestatyn and Gronant/Gwaenysgor/Talacre/Llanasa/Trelawnyd.

There are no strong links between industrial Flint/Bagillt and the areas of Flintshire and Denbighshire to the south and west. The old West/East Flintshire constituency boundary ([1950-1983](#)) ran through Greenfield, a natural cut off point between the more rural western area of Flintshire and the more industrial area from Greenfield through to Flint, which runs along the Dee estuary. The area around Greenfield represents the distinct point at which people look to the border area of Deeside, Cheshire and Merseyside for employment, amenities and public services, such as the local acute hospital in Chester. This boundary could be sensibly reintroduced. It would make sense to include Corwen and Llandrillo alongside Ruthin because it keeps areas in the county of Denbighshire together. It would make sense for Argoed/Leeswood/New Brighton to remain in the same seat as Mold, due to community links. This would also bring the advantage of the existing Alyn & Deeside remaining intact (albeit with areas added to it) The seat to the east would be better named "Clwyd East" and not "Delyn". Prestatyn and Ruthin were never in the former Delyn district of Clwyd (1974 to 1996). The Borough of Delyn was formed by the merger of Flint Municipal Borough with the urban districts of Holywell and Mold, and Holywell Rural District. Its name was formed by combining the names of the area's principal rivers: the Dee and the Alyn. Prestatyn used to be in Rhuddlan District of Clwyd and Ruthin and rural areas were in Glyndwr District of Clwyd.

Traditional Community ties should not be overlooked when considering boundaries. It affects train routes which are essential travel. The shopping park in Prestatyn and the many eateries that have sprung up are also shared with Rhyl, where I now live.

Thank you for your time
[REDACTED]

BCW-10070/ [REDACTED] / Neath

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 November 2021 20:13

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Response to Initial Proposals

Dear Boundary Commission,

Please find attached my detailed response to your initial proposals. I have commented on:

- 1) the names of several constituencies, especially where I feel that it would be helpful to conform better to how the Commissions have previously named constituencies;
- 2) proposals that I agree with in full, along with reasons for commending them;
- 3) proposals that I disagree with, although I would like to note up front that many of my counterproposals are minor, involving moving low-single-figure numbers of wards, and I feel that the initial proposals are broadly very good.

I am writing in the capacity of a private individual who has lived in multiple locations in Wales and over the border over the years, before settling back in my home town of Neath, and who has a general interest in Wales, maps, and the review process. I do, however, wish to give my permission to the Commission to put my name to any or all of my contributions – I have no desire to be anonymous.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

BCW-10070 / [REDACTED] / Neath**Constituency Names****3 Alyn and Deeside and 14 Delyn**

Retaining the names of districts that were abolished in 1996 for constituencies that no longer conform to the boundaries of those districts is an occasion on which changing the names to reflect modern administrative geography ought to be considered. Delyn is particularly unfortunate in that it was a portmanteau neologism in 1974 for a district with a lifespan of just 22 years. It has now been longer than that since that district ceased to exist. The two mainly Flintshire constituencies should revert to the names **East Flintshire** and **West Flintshire** (with official alternative names **Dwyrain Sir y Fflint** and **Gorllewin Sir y Fflint** respectively) that they bore until the Third Periodic Review.

7 Caerfyrddin (Carmarthen)

I would suggest swapping the proposed name and the proposed official alternative name, so that the name is **Carmarthen** and the official alternative name is **Caerfyrddin**. This would return more expected results for those working with data sources (e.g. the English-language media).

13 Clwyd

This is an incredibly misleading name. The constituency is one of six that at least in part cover of the preserved county of Clwyd. The awkward boundaries of the proposed constituency make finding an appropriate name difficult. I would suggest reviving **Denbigh** (with an official alternative **Dinbych**), the name of the constituency that most closely corresponded to these boundaries before the Third Periodic Review.

16 Islwyn

As this now spans the River Rhymney (the historic county boundary between Monmouthshire and Glamorgan), both components should be reflected in the name. I therefore propose the name **Islwyn and Gelligaer** (with an official alternative, **Islwyn a Gelli-gaer**).

19 Mid and South Pembrokeshire

This should simply be called **Pembroke** (with an official alternative, **Penfro**). The boundaries are very similar to the Pembrokeshire constituency that existed between the Third and Fourth Periodic Reviews.

21 Montgomeryshire and Glyndŵr

This is a poor name. The Glyndŵr district has not existed since 1996, and the proposed boundaries exclude the two largest towns in that former district, Denbigh and Ruthin. It would be preferable to call this **Montgomeryshire and South Clwyd** (alternative name **Maldwyn a De Clwyd**).

27 Swansea East and Neath

This name simply does not follow the norms of constituency naming used by the four Boundary Commissions. Over two thirds of this constituency is from the former Neath district (in fact, it comprises the whole former district, save for the one community of Briton Ferry), with the smaller part coming from Swansea. There are three standard approaches to naming a county constituency that takes in a relatively small part of a city, and this proposal has followed none of them:

- 1) Simply to keep the county constituency name and not reference the city/large town at all – in this case, this would name the constituency Neath (alternative: Castell-nedd). Examples of this approach include:
 - Colne Valley (which includes part of Huddersfield)
 - East Devon (which includes part of the City of Exeter)
 - Gordon (which includes part of the City of Aberdeen)
 - Gower (which includes part of the pre-1974 Swansea County Borough)
 - Mid Derbyshire (which includes part of the City of Derby)
 - North East Derbyshire (which includes part of Chesterfield)
 - South Cambridgeshire (which includes part of the City of Cambridge)
 - South West Devon (which includes part of the City of Plymouth)
 - Tewkesbury (which includes parts of both Cheltenham and Gloucester)
 - Totnes (which includes part of the Borough of Torbay)
- 2) The order County Division Name and City Compass Point – in this case, this would name the constituency Neath and Swansea East (alternative: Castell-nedd a Dwyrain Abertawe). Examples of this approach include:
 - Central Suffolk and Ipswich North
 - Houghton and Sunderland South
 - Linlithgow and Falkirk East
 - Romsey and Southampton North
 - Washington and Sunderland West
 - Wyre and Preston North
- 3) County Division Name and City Area Name. There is a good historic name for the part of Swansea east of the River Tawe: Kilvey – this is the name of an ancient commote or lordship, and maintains currency through things such as Kilvey Hill Transmitting Station and the territorial designation of Lord Grenfell of Kilvey. This would give a constituency name of Neath and Kilvey (alternative: Castell-nedd a Chilfái). Examples of this approach include:
 - Penistone and Stocksbridge (rather than Penistone and Sheffield North)
 - Wentworth and Dearne (rather than Wentworth and Barnsley South East)

My preference for the name of this constituency is therefore **Neath and Kilvey** (with an official alternative, **Castell-nedd a Chilfái**). But options reflecting either other normative approach would also be acceptable – Neath and Swansea East (Castell-nedd a Dwyrain Abertawe) or just plain Neath (Castell-nedd).

28 Swansea West and Gower

The Gower constituency has only not included parts of the 1918-1974 Swansea County Borough between the First and Third Periodic Reviews. Particularly if some of the unnecessary shuffling of wards between this constituency and Swansea Central and North is reversed, there seems little need to change the name. I would advise keeping this as **Gower** (with an official alternative, **Gŵyr**).

26 Swansea Central and North

Once more this somewhat cumbersome name does not follow norms of how the boundary commissions have named residual central constituencies of cities/large towns after peripheral parts have been transferred to neighbouring county constituencies. Looking across the country, the norm is just to use the name of the settlement (e.g. Exeter, Ipswich, Preston) or perhaps append just Central (e.g. Sunderland Central). I would once more favour the normative approach, naming this constituency **Swansea** (with an official alternative, **Abertawe**).

Boundaries

Constituencies where I agree with the Initial Proposals

I am in full agreement with the Initial Proposals for the following seven constituencies (aside of course from Ynys Môn, which is protected by law):

- 4 Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney
- 12 Ceredigion Preseli
- 19 Mid and South Pembrokeshire
- 20 Monmouthshire
- 22 Newport East
- 29 Torfaen
- 30 Vale of Glamorgan

To comment briefly on these:

4 Blaenau Gwent and Rhymney

I agree with the Commission that adding the eight Caerphilly wards in the upper Rhymney valley is the best way of bringing the Blaenau Gwent constituency within range. I would particularly like to applaud the Commission for uniting the three wards of Bargoed community in a single constituency.

12 Ceredigion Preseli and 19 Mid and South Pembrokeshire

The Commission's approach in creating two constituencies covering Ceredigion and Pembrokeshire is sensible and is consistent with the pattern of constituencies between the Third and Fourth Periodic Reviews when this general arrangement was last used.

The proposed boundary corresponds well to that of the historic boundaries of the three North Pembrokeshire hundreds of Dewisland, Cemmaes, and Cilgerran, and keeping these areas intact in adding them to the Ceredigion constituency is an excellent reflection of long-standing local ties on the ground.

I particularly agree with the Commission in keeping the Maenclochog ward in Mid and South Pembrokeshire, given its strong local ties with the town of Narberth.

20 Monmouthshire

I agree with the Commission that making the constituency coterminous with the principal area is the best scheme possible for Monmouthshire. I would particularly applaud that a boundary crossing with Powys, with all the knock-on disruption to multiple constituencies that would cause, has been avoided.

22 Newport East

I think that the Commission has identified the best six wards to add from Newport West. These form a coherent part of north Newport, with a natural boundary west of Bettws. It also makes good sense to include Stow Hill ward, covering the city centre in this constituency, as it has good links with all parts of Newport.

It is pleasing that the Commission has removed the existing constituency's boundary crossing into Monmouthshire, as retaining this would cause widespread knock-on consequences to multiple constituencies.

29 Torfaen

I agree with the Commission that making the constituency coterminous with the principal area is the best scheme possible for Torfaen.

30 Vale of Glamorgan

I agree with the Commission that removing the Dinas Powys ward is necessary for creating adequate constituencies covering the Vale of Glamorgan and the City of Cardiff. Dinas Powys has excellent transport links to Cardiff and coheres well with Penarth, which is already in a cross-boundary constituency.

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part one: Cardiff

In this section, I will discuss the following four constituencies:

- 8 Cardiff Central
- 9 Cardiff North
- 10 Cardiff South and Penarth
- 11 Cardiff West

I appreciate that the Commission has attempted to achieve minimum change in its approach to these constituencies. Nevertheless, I feel that the result is deeply flawed in two respects:


- 1) The proposed Cardiff South and Penarth constituency is discontinuous (apart from across the mouth of the Rhymney River along the foreshore, to which there is no public access at points).
- 2) The double boundary crossing into Rhondda Cynon Taf (Pontyclun and Taff's Well wards) causes knock-on consequences to constituencies in Rhondda Cynon Taf and Caerphilly county boroughs:
 - a. Removing these wards from the Pontypridd constituency causes that constituency to take in the two Aberaman wards. These two wards are an immediate inner suburb of Aberdare, served only by Aberdare town

buses, and with much weaker links to the lower Cynon Valley. Local ties should dictate that these two wards be kept with together with the two Aberdare wards in the Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare constituency.

- b. This in turn causes an extra boundary crossing, with the Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare constituency taking Nelson ward from Caerphilly county borough. Whilst orphan wards may be acceptable where they solve problems, this is just part of a chain of unnecessary problems.
- c. This in turn requires moving the Llanbradach ward into the Islwyn constituency, breaking the strong local ties between the village of Llanbradach and Caerphilly town, to which it is immediately adjacent and connected by train and local bus services.

It would be much better to avoid this whole unsatisfactory chain of dominos by not crossing the boundary between Cardiff and Rhondda Cynon Taf and instead taking a ward directly from either Newport or Caerphilly. I would therefore suggest that a better way of adding enough electors to Cardiff to retain four constituencies would be by adding the Marshfield ward of Newport to a Cardiff constituency (rather than Pontyclun and Taff's Well). The Marshfield ward is a distinctive and somewhat self-contained lowland area of countryside and small villages between the built-up areas of Cardiff and Newport, covering the Wentloog Levels.

The pattern of constituencies in Cardiff I would propose as an improvement to the initial proposals is shown on the below map:



10 Cardiff South and Penarth (numbered 2 on map above)

I agree with the Commission in its addition of Dinas Powys ward from the Vale of Glamorgan to bring the numbers up in Cardiff so that there are enough electors to form four legal constituencies.

As the Commission's Initial Proposals demonstrate, this means that the constituency can retain a maximum of two of the four wards in the eastern part of the city (Llanrumney, Rumney, Trowbridge, and Splott), with this resulting in an effective discontinuous part. In order to maintain the strong local ties between these wards in the east of Cardiff, I would recommend moving them as a group of four to the Cardiff Central constituency (4 on the map above, to be renamed Cardiff East). Instead, I would add the Riverside ward from Cardiff West and the Cathays ward from Cardiff Central. The Riverside ward is effectively a northward continuation of Grangetown, with a large ethnic-minority community. The Cathays ward covers the city centre and has excellent connections with all parts of the city.

My counterproposal has an electorate of 72,809, within the electoral range.

11 Cardiff West (numbered 3 on map above)

As noted above, the Commission's proposals' knock-on effects on the Pontypridd, Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare, Islwyn, and Newport West and Caerphilly constituencies cause multiple issues. The local issue here is separating Pontyclun from Talbot Green and Llantrisant Town, with which it forms a contiguous urban area within the somewhat distinctive southernmost part of Rhondda Cynon Taf, south of the range of mountains that forms the edge of the coalfield, with its main communication links running east-west along the A473 Pontypridd-Bridgend road and the South Wales Main Line railway. Splitting what is effectively a coherent town like this is far from ideal.

As the existing Cardiff West constituency's electorate is very close to the lower bound, a swap of three Cardiff wards will bring it within range. I therefore propose that it loses the Riverside ward to Cardiff South and Penarth, and adds the Llandaff North and Gabalfa wards from Cardiff North. As noted above, the Riverside ward is effectively a northward continuation of Grangetown, with a large ethnic-minority community. The Llandaff North and Gabalfa wards are separated from the rest of Cardiff North by the barriers of the Taff Vale Railway and the A48 Eastern Avenue, but well connected to the centre of the "city" of Llandaff in Cardiff West over Llandaff Bridge and the Western Avenue Bridge.

My counterproposal has an electorate of 70,179, within the electoral range.

9 Cardiff North (numbered 4 on map above)

The Commission's proposal to add Taff's Well ward from Rhondda Cynon Taf is not objectionable in itself, beyond its being unnecessary to achieve a satisfactory and legal pattern of constituencies in Cardiff. That ward is small enough that the knock-on consequences mentioned above affecting multiple constituencies would not be necessitated by moving Taff's Well alone. Nevertheless, as the boundary crossing is not needed, my counterproposal does not do this.

Instead, I propose removing the Llandaff North and Gabalfa wards, south of the barriers of the Taff Vale Railway and the A48 Eastern Avenue, from this constituency to bring Cardiff West within range (as mentioned above) and adding instead the Cyncoed and Pentwyn wards from Cardiff Central. These latter two wards are located generally on the high ground north of the barrier of the A48 Eastern Avenue and west of the River Rhymney and have excellent community ties with neighbouring Llanishen and Pontprennau in Cardiff North.

In order to form two within-range constituencies from the remaining wards without crossing the Rhondda Cynon Taf boundary, I propose retaining the split of Pontprennau and Old St Mellon's ward, but moving it to follow the rather strong boundary between the two communities, following the River Rhymney and the A4232 and M4 roads. This would retain 5248 electors from Pontprennau community within Cardiff North.

My counterproposal therefore has an electorate of 72,833.

8 Cardiff Central, to be renamed Cardiff East (numbered 5 on map above)

The Commission's minimum change initial proposal resulted in adding wards to this constituency that left neighbouring Cardiff South and Penarth effectively in two segments. As this was clearly unsatisfactory, my counterproposal reworks this constituency more extensively to bring Cardiff West and Cardiff North within range without crossing the boundary with Rhondda Cynon Taf in two separate places. As noted above, my counterproposal avoids a chain of unsatisfactory boundaries across Rhondda Cynon Taf and Caerphilly by placing the Marshfield ward from Newport directly into this constituency instead.

My counterproposal retains the core of the current Cardiff Central in the Roath area of the city (Adamsdown, Plasnewydd and Penylan wards). It sheds the city centre west of the hard edge of the Rhymney Railway (Cathays ward) to Cardiff South and Penarth, and the two wards north of the A48 Eastern Avenue (Cyncoed and Pentwyn) to Cardiff North. To this it adds all four of the eastern Cardiff wards (Llanrumney, Rumney, Trowbridge, and Splott) from Cardiff South and Penarth, maintaining local ties between this group of four wards, and also adds the whole of the Old St Mellon's community (2799 electors), which is currently split between Cardiff North and Cardiff South and Penarth. To bring in enough electors for four Cardiff constituencies, it also adds the Marshfield ward from Newport: as mentioned above, this ward is a distinctive and somewhat self-contained lowland area of countryside and small villages between the built-up areas of Cardiff and Newport, covering the Wentloog Levels and would fit well in a Cardiff constituency.

The resulting constituency should be renamed to Cardiff East (with an alternative name, Dwyrain Caerdydd). This would be a compact and coherent constituency with strong boundaries (Cardiff Docks, the Rhymney Railway, the A48, the Rhymney River, the A4232, and the M4) and maintaining existing local ties, especially between Llanrumney, Rumney, and Trowbridge, the part of Cardiff east of the Rhymney River, formerly part of Monmouthshire and still with a distinctive identity within the city of Cardiff, an identity shared with the Newport part of the Wentloog Levels in Marshfield ward.

My counterproposal has an electorate of 69,982, a couple of hundred electors above the statutory minimum.

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part two: Western Gwent


This section is broadly a slight tidy-up of the Commission's proposals to account for not unnecessarily crossing a series of boundaries from Cardiff through Rhondda Cynon Taf and Merthyr Tydfil to the Caerphilly/Newport group. Two constituencies will be addressed:

- 16 Islwyn
- 23 Newport West and Caerphilly

I broadly agree with the Commission's proposals. The proposed configuration unites communities on both sides of the middle Rhymney valley in its Islwyn constituency, with a clear focus on the towns of Ystrad Mynach, Blackwood, and Newbridge along the A472 corridor. The southern constituency uniting Caerphilly town with western parts of Newport is also fundamentally good, with the proportion of workers commuting to Cardiff increasing as one gets nearer the capital, forming a natural community of interest. This constituency also has good internal connectivity along the A468 road.

My disagreement with the Commission concerns just three wards: Nelson, Llanbradach, and Marshfield. As mentioned above, I disagree with the Commission's approach in crossing the Cardiff/Rhondda Cynon Taf boundary twice, only to split Aberaman from Aberdare, put Nelson as an orphan ward in a constituency covering all or part of two other principal areas, and splitting Llanbradach from Caerphilly town, when simply moving Marshfield into a Cardiff East constituency would avoid this chain of disruptions to local ties.

My proposed map is as follows:



This achieves moving the necessary electors from Newport/Caerphilly directly to Cardiff/Vale of Glamorgan to enable drawing five legal constituencies in that area without mediating it unnecessarily through Rhondda Cynon Taf/Merthyr Tydfil. The particularly strong local ties between Llanbradach and Caerphilly town are maintained, and instead of three orphan wards (Nelson, Taff's Well, Pontyclun) there is just one (Marshfield).

My proposed constituencies have electorates within range as follows:


- Newport West and Caerphilly (labelled 7 on the map above): 72,736
- Islwyn and Gelligaer (labelled 8 on the map above): 71,059

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part three: Carmarthenshire

Before coming to Rhondda Cynon Taf and Merthyr Tydfil, I would like first to turn to issues in the west, as these form a chain of issues of awkward boundaries rather like those emanating from Cardiff – the difference being that this chain is one caused by proximity to the upper limit rather than the lower.

In general, once again I find myself in agreement with the Commission's initial proposals in Carmarthenshire. The broad approach of expanding the Llanelli constituency to be within range and uniting the rest of the county in another constituency is clearly the most intuitive way of achieving minimal change. I do, however, have reservations regarding three wards.

My counterproposal map is as follows:



My proposed Llanelli (labelled 22) differs from the Commission's initial proposal simply by the omission of Llangunnor ward. This is because Llangunnor ward forms part of the built-up area of Carmarthen town, including the town's railway station. Even without this ward, Llanelli is still within range at 69,895 electors.

My proposed Carmarthen (labelled 21) correspondingly adds Llangunnor. It also adds the two Neath Port Talbot wards of Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen and Lower Brynamman (which form the community of Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen). This is consistent with the approach the Commission has shown elsewhere in being willing to move a small number of wards across local authority boundaries to avoid problems with the limits of the electoral range (examples include the three Denbighshire wards included in the proposed Montgomeryshire and Glyndŵr, as well as the various orphan wards previously mentioned in South East Wales). The issue here is that not moving the two wards of Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen community into the Carmarthen constituency results in the Commission's initial proposals demonstrating a chain of sub-optimal boundaries across western Glamorgan until the Bridgend constituency is completely cut in two along an awkward line between Bridgend town and its immediate inner suburbs in an attempt to find a combination of wards that fits by a small enough margin under the upper limit, but that does not satisfy the other criteria well.

Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen community is an excellent fit with the Carmarthen constituency. It forms part of the Amman valley, the rest of which is in Carmarthenshire. As the ward name Lower Brynamman suggests, the county boundary actually bisects the

village of Brynamman; so community ties would be better respected by this boundary crossing.

My proposed Carmarthen constituency therefore has an electorate of 75,943, within the electoral range.

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part four: Swansea, Neath, Brecknockshire, and Radnorshire

There is much to be welcomed in the Commission's initial proposals in this area:

- Respecting the existing boundary between the Brecon and Radnorshire and Montgomeryshire constituencies keeps each of three of the traditional counties of Powys intact within a single constituency in each case, respecting the local ties of each traditional county's identity.
- I agree that the best place for the Brecon and Radnorshire constituency to cross the Powys county boundary is into Neath Port Talbot in the Upper Swansea valley. This reflects the population distribution of the constituency well, with Brecon and Ystradgynlais being by far the largest towns, sitting along the T6 Traws-Cymru bus route towards Neath and Swansea. Ystradgynlais forms part of a contiguous urban area across the county boundary with Ystalyfera in Neath Port Talbot – this boundary crossing is absolutely seamless. Well done to the Commission on finding this idea.
- I commend the Commission for reuniting Mumbles community within a single constituency. The desire of people in the Mayals ward to be in the Gower constituency with the remainder of Mumbles community in the Gower constituency has come up repeatedly at previous reviews.
- Killay North and South and Dunvant wards are also an excellent fit for the Gower constituency with their outer suburban feel and their excellent links to the Peninsula and to Gowerton.
- I welcome the return of Coedffranc community to the Neath constituency, as it was before the Third Periodic Review, and as local people have called for at every subsequent review. The Neath district has a strong, distinct identity, and I welcome boundaries that more closely resemble the pre-1996 district and the pre-1974 rural district and municipal borough.

There are also a number of negative points, which generally result from trying to find combinations of wards that are a little too close to the upper bound, rather than the more natural combinations that are available after including Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen community in Carmarthen:

- The core Swansea constituency including rural wards all the way out to the northern extremity of the authority that are in the current Gower constituency. There is a good case for including either Cockett or Sketty instead (although including both would unfortunately be over the upper limit), as both are integral parts of Swansea proper and have much stronger ties to other parts of urban Swansea than outlying rural areas do.

- This also has the unfortunate effect of putting Penllergaer and Gorseinon wards into different constituencies, despite their forming one continuous settlement with one another, breaking obvious local ties.
- Splitting Clydach and Mawr wards off into different constituencies is particularly unfortunate and heavily disruptive to local ties. Although the Mawr ward covers a large rural area (as its name suggests), the vast majority of the population lives in Craig Cefn Parc community ward, directly contiguous with Clydach. Clydach and Mawr should be kept in the same constituency as one another.
- It is also worth noting that Clydach is an exceptionally poor fit with eastern parts of Swansea and with Neath. The centre of Clydach is on the west bank of the Tawe. Its main communication links – the A4067 road and the X6 bus – run north-south along the west bank, towards Morriston and central Swansea in one direction, and Pontardawe and Ystradgynlais in the other.
- Moving Coedffranc, but not Briton Ferry back into Neath is not only disruptive to local ties, but results in too many electors from Neath Port Talbot being combined with Bridgend County Borough to avoid an awkward boundary in Bridgend as well.

These issues can be resolved as shown on the below map:



This represents a series of reasonably minor changes from the Commission's initial proposals.

27 Swansea East and Neath, to be renamed Neath and Kilvey (numbered 17 on above map)

Compared to the Commission's initial proposals, this adds back to Neath all of the Coedffranc and Briton Ferry wards that were moved out in 1983, bringing the southern boundary of the constituency back into line with the historic southern

boundary of the Neath district. Including Briton Ferry in a Neath constituency will also enable a less changed boundary between constituencies in Bridgend. To fit Briton Ferry back in, this necessitates removing Clydach, which is centred west of the River Tawe and poorly connected to the rest of the constituency.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 74,001, within the electoral range.

26 Swansea Central and North, to be renamed Swansea (numbered 18 on above map)

Compared to the Commission's initial proposals, this does not add any of the wards from the Gower constituency (Penllergaer, Llangyfelach, Pontardulais, and Mawr), but instead retains Cockett from Swansea West. This avoids breaking local ties between Mawr and Clydach and between Penllergaer and Gorseinon as noted above. It is a finely balanced decision whether to include Cockett or Sketty, but on balance I feel it is better to include Cockett, as it comes all the way in to Gendros, rather than Sketty, which is largely separated from the core of Swansea by Singleton Park, and has somewhat more in common with Killay and Mumbles.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 73,781, within the electoral range.

28 Swansea West and Gower, to be renamed Gower (numbered 20 on above map)

This is changed less from the existing Gower than the Commission's initial proposals. It loses both Clydach and Mawr wards, but adds Mayals (reuniting Mumbles community in one constituency), Sketty, Killay North and South, and Dunvant.

This is less disruptive to existing local ties (e.g. between Gorseinon and Penllergaer) and takes one fewer ward from the urban core of Swansea (Cockett) than the Commission's initial proposals. It retains the good feature of reuniting Mumbles community in a single constituency.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 76,194, within the electoral range.

5 Brecon and Radnor (numbered 19 on above map)

This counterproposal has reunited Clydach and Mawr, but they do not both fit in any of the previous constituencies (at least not without a downstream crossing of the Tawe or crossing the Loughor). They do, however, have excellent northward connectivity along the Tawe valley towards Pontardawe and Ystradgynlais. This counterproposal therefore once again follows the Commission's approach of moving small numbers of wards across boundaries (cf the three Denbighshire wards the Commission proposes to include in Montgomeryshire and Glyndŵr and the various orphan wards in South East Wales) and includes Clydach and Mawr with the other Tawe valley wards being added to Brecon and Radnor. This necessitates removing the two wards of Gwaun-Cae-Gurwen community to the Carmarthen constituency. This succeeds in better respecting local ties between communities in the Tawe valley, as argued above.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 76,112, within the electoral range.

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part five: Port Talbot, Bridgend, Rhondda Cynon Taf, and Merthyr Tydfil


I will present two versions of a counterproposal here.

Perhaps the most significant issue with the Commission's initial proposals in the South is with respect to Bridgend. The existing constituency has a strong northern boundary along the M4, focussed on the towns of Bridgend and Porthcawl. There are strong links between the two towns (for instance, there are multiple bus routes connecting them), and minimum change should dictate that they are not separated without a compelling reason. The nature of the initial proposal is even more shocking: the proposed boundary runs along the western edge of Bridgend community itself, placing the town in a separate constituency from its immediate inner western suburbs of Cefn Glas, Llangewydd and Brynhyfryd, and Bryntirion.

There is a much more straightforward solution, so long as Briton Ferry is included in the Neath constituency as outlined above. Simply bringing the existing Bridgend constituency up to size by adding the three wards that form the small town of Pencoed (Felindre, Hendre, and Penprysg) will create two valid constituencies in Bridgend and Port Talbot.

Accepting the Commission's Rhondda (my second counterproposal will reject this), removing the two Cardiff/Rhondda Cynon Taf boundary crossings and no longer taking Caerphilly simply enables the two Aberaman wards to join Aberdare town in the Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare constituency. These two wards are an immediate inner suburb of Aberdare, served only by Aberdare town buses, and with much weaker links to the lower Cynon Valley.

This simple version is presented on the below map:



The electorates of the constituencies in this counterproposal are:

- Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare (labelled 12): 74,805
- Pontypridd (labelled 14): 73,003
- Rhondda (labelled 13): 71,684
- Bridgend (labelled 15): 71,074 [alternative name: Pen-y-bont]
- Port Talbot and Maesteg (labelled 16): 75,925 [alternative name: Port Talbot a Maesteg]

This first counterproposal better satisfies the minimum change and respect for local government boundaries criteria.

My second counterproposal considers the problems with the Commission's proposed Rhondda constituency.


The three southernmost wards, Llanharan, Brynna, and Llanharry, are very poorly connected to the rest of the constituency, and directly only by a farm track via the deserted mediaeval village of Peterstone-super-Montem that sits astride the range of mountains that marks the southern edge of the coalfield. Llanharan's connections run east-west along the A473 Pontypridd-Bridgend road and along the South Wales Main Line. The effect of following the local authority boundary is to break existing local ties with Pencoed.

On the other side of the mountain, a similar issue exists. The Gilfach Goch ward is currently in a cross-boundary constituency with Bridgend, and the local authority

boundary bisects the village of Gilfach Goch itself. Once more, aligning the constituency boundary with the local authority boundary breaks local ties.

Therefore swapping some wards/groups of wards between constituencies may strengthen the previous counterproposal from the perspective of local ties, with a corresponding trade-off in fidelity to local authority boundaries:

- The seven wards of the former Ogmore and Garw urban district to Rhondda (as well as containing part of Gilfach Goch, this links a second time to the Rhondda constituency between the top of the Ogwr Fawr and Treorci).
- Pyle to Port Talbot and Maesteg (this ward is well-linked to Cefn Cribwr and to Margam).
- Llanharan and Brynna to Bridgend.
- Llanharry to Pontypridd (it was only required in this group for numbers' sake in the previous configuration; it sits more naturally with Pontyclun, with the Tyla Garw ward of the community continuing in to a point very close to Pontyclun railway station).



The electorates of the constituencies in this counterproposal are:


- Merthyr Tydfil and Aberdare (labelled 12): 74,805
- Pontypridd (labelled 14): 76,224
- Rhondda (labelled 13): 73,557

- Bridgend (labelled 15): 71,964 [alternative name: Pen-y-bont]
- Port Talbot and Maesteg (labelled 16): 69,941 [alternative name: Port Talbot a Maesteg]

There are trade-offs in how to evaluate these two counterproposals. I personally slightly prefer the second as being better reflective of local ties. But both achieve keeping Aberaman as part of Aberdare, and both retain a recognizable Bridgend constituency with relatively minimal change on its current strong boundaries. In these respects, both versions are significant improvements on the Commission's initial proposals.

Constituencies where I disagree with the Initial Proposals, part six: North Wales, including Montgomeryshire

In this region, I feel that the Commission has departed significantly from the generally high quality of its approach elsewhere. It is not clear on what basis the Commission selected Dwyfor Meirionnydd and Aberconwy as the undersized constituencies to construct clear successors to. Indeed, there is good reason for choosing Arfon and Vale of Clwyd instead.



The Vale of Clwyd constituency currently contains four of the five largest towns in Denbighshire (Rhyl, Prestatyn, Denbigh, and Rhuddlan) together with the city of St Asaph. Denbighshire is unique among the Welsh principal areas that could fit entirely within a single constituency that it has not received this treatment from the Commission. Instead, it is split three ways. The geographical constraints of the

proximity of the border do not explain this, as it would be easy to construct three legal constituencies in Flintshire and Wrexham.

The partition of Arfon is also very poor. It places the Pentir ward, which contains Ysbyty Gwynedd, in a different constituency from Bangor city.

I therefore submit a counterproposal in which every constituency in the region differs substantially from the Commission's initial proposals, but follows the statutory criterion to give regard to local authority boundaries significantly more closely:

West Flintshire (29 on the above map)

This constituency adds to the existing Delyn constituency the four Buckley wards and Ewloe from Alyn and Deeside. In contrast to the Commission's initial proposals, it is entirely within Flintshire and does not cross the Clwydian Range into Denbighshire. Buckley and Ewloe are well-connected to Mold, the county town of Flintshire, which is located in this constituency. As the local authority's name has been Flintshire, not Delyn, since 1996, I have reverted to the pre-1983 name of the constituency, West Flintshire (alternative: Gorllewin Sir y Fflint).

The electorate of this counterproposal is 71,616.

East Flintshire (30 on the above map)

This constituency is based on Alyn and Deeside, but reverts to the name of East Flintshire (alternative: Dwyrain Sir y Fflint) as the local authority has not been called Alyn and Deeside since 1996. It loses the four Buckley wards and Ewloe to West Flintshire, and gains the three Gwersyllt wards and Llay from Wrexham and Brymbo. Bryn Cefn, Coedpoeth, Gwenfro, Minera, and New Broughton from Clwyd South.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 71,494.

Wrexham (31 on the above map)

This simply contains all of the Wrexham wards from both Wrexham and Clwyd South constituencies, apart from those in the East Flintshire constituency above. This achieves three good constituencies entirely within Flintshire and Wrexham.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 72,371

Denbighshire (28 on the above map)

This is based on Vale of Clwyd constituency, expanded to cover the whole local authority area, consistent with the Commission's excellent approach in Monmouthshire, Torfaen, Blaenau Gwent, Merthyr Tydfil, and Ceredigion. In order to maintain local ties between remote areas of the current Clwyd West constituency and the town of Ruthin, I have also added the Conwy wards of Llansannan and Uwchaled. As this now includes all of Denbighshire, I suggest that the constituency be called Denbighshire (alternative name: Sir Ddinbych).

The electorate of this counterproposal is 76,712.

Montgomeryshire and Meirionnydd (25 on the above map)

This simply adds to the existing Montgomeryshire constituency all of the wards of Gwynedd council's "district" of Meirionnydd. This gives extremely clean boundaries, reflecting two entire traditional counties, rather than the Commission's artificial creation of problems in the North East by creating a constituency that (unlike any classified road) crosses the Berwyn Mountains. There are excellent road connections throughout this constituency, with good-quality classified roads linking Welshpool, Newtown, and Machynlleth to Dolgellau, Bala, and Blaenau Ffestiniog.

To pre-empt any objection to this constituency's size, it is approximately 3600 km² in land area, substantially less than the legal maximum. It is worth noting that the existing constituency of Montgomeryshire already spans the entire width of Wales between the English border and the Dyfi estuary. Another 8 miles in width down to Aberdyfi is not a substantive difference.

Montgomeryshire and Meirionnydd also share similar dialects of the Welsh language, traditionally exhibiting a distinctive ae diphthong. Both counties' economies now rely heavily on farming and tourism. There is enough historic and cultural commonality between these two historic counties that this convenient numerical pairing should be accepted.

I suggest the name Montgomeryshire and Meirionnydd (with the alternative Maldwyn a Meirionnydd).

The electorate of this counterproposal is 73,621.

Caernarfon (26 on the above map)

This is an expanded version of the existing Arfon constituency, which is centred on the city of Bangor and the town of Caernarfon. To this is added all of the wards of the Gwynedd "district" of Dwyfor, which was previously in the Caernarfon constituency until the Fifth Periodic Review moved it into Dwyfor Meirionnydd against local protests at the remoteness of the Llŷn Peninsula from Dolgellau and this area being more conveniently represented in the Caernarfon constituency. The current numbers work well to restore this convenience.

There are not enough electors in Arfon and Dwyfor for a whole constituency, so this also adds from Conwy the wards of Bryn, Pandy, Betws-y-coed, Crwst, Gower, Eglwysbach, Llangernyw, Trefriw, and Uwch Conwy. These comprise Llanfairfechan, which has good links to Bangor along the A55 road and the North Wales Main Line railway and also the inland Nant Conwy area, largely an historical part of Caernarfonshire and a good cultural fit with Arfon.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 73,634.

Conwy (27 on the above map)

The effect of removing some Conwy wards to Denbighshire and Caernarfon above is to leave a Conwy constituency that includes all of the main coastal towns of the county borough, being a successor to both Aberconwy and Clwyd West. This is a compact, coherent constituency entirely within Conwy county borough that

represents the county borough better than the Commission's rather sprawling initial proposals.

The electorate of this counterproposal is 76,980.

BCW-10071 / Cllr. Lyndon Jones / Swansea**From:** [REDACTED]**Sent:** 03 November 2021 22:43**To:** BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>**Subject:** The Parliamentary Constituency of Swansea West and Gower

Dear Sir/s,

We would like to support your proposals for the Swansea West and Gower Constituency, but would like to make the following points:

1. The constituency is wholly within the City and County of Swansea. It has very good communication and transport links and we believe makes for a very coherent constituency.
2. We support the proposal to re-connect the Community of Mumbles. Currently, Newton, Oystermouth and West Cross are part of the Mumbles Community Council area, but Mayals, whilst being part of the Mumbles Community Council, is in the Swansea West Constituency. Under your proposals the Community of Mumbles will then be in one constituency, which is long overdue.
3. Including Dunvant, Killay, Sketty and Cockett in the new Gower and Swansea West constituency makes sense, because they are communities in their own right, but they also skirt Townhill and the Uplands, which are both an integral part of Swansea and both communities look to the City Centre and provide a natural boundary for the new Constituency of Swansea West & Gower.
4. Residents in Gower, Three Crosses, Dunvant and Upper Killay, use killay and Sketty as their local shopping centres.
5. Residents in Waunarlwydd, which is part of the Cockett Ward, look towards Gowerton, so should be included in the new Swansea West & Gower Constituency.

However, one change we would suggest is to call the new constituency Gower and Swansea West, because the Gower part of the constituency makes up the largest part of the new constituency (Gower has 58.4% of the constituency as opposed to Swansea West having 41.6%).

Yours faithfully,

Lyndon Jones

Cllr. Lyndon Jones MBE**Leader of the Conservative Group (City & County of Swansea Council)**

BCW-10072/ [REDACTED] / Pembrokeshire**Response submitted by the Electoral Registration Officer and Returning Officer for Pembrokeshire County Council to the Boundary Commission for Wales' 2023 Review of Parliamentary Constituencies Initial Proposals****Date: 29th October 2021**

1. Introduction

1.1 The Electoral Registration Officer and Returning Officer for Pembrokeshire County Council recognises the importance of such a review as required by the Parliamentary Constituencies Act 1986 (as amended).

1.2 As is the case with electoral wards and community wards, we agree that it is important that reviews are carried out periodically. Administrative boundaries need to reflect, as far as is practicably possible, that each area has an equitable electorate ensuring that the 'weight' of an individual's vote equates to the same for each elector. To assist in achieving such equity, the 'United Kingdom electoral quota (UKEQ)', for the 2023 Review, has been set as 73,393, with a tolerance of between 95% and 105% of this figure, (all recommended constituencies must have no less than 69,724 and no more than 77,062 electors) based on electorate figures as at 2 March 2020.

2. Review cycle

2.1 We agree, that as a result of the ever changing electorate and population demography the existing boundaries merit reappraisal. It is noted that the last two reviews were incomplete which further supports the importance of this review. Also noted is that this current review will complete by 1 July 2023, the next report due by the 1 October 2031, and a report every eight years thereafter.

3. Electorate data for the 2023 review

3.1 The electorate figures that are being used for the Review are those that were included in the electoral register as at 2 March 2020.

3.2 We fully understand the need to use consistent base data to assist in any review. However, it should be noted that these figures are already out of date, and furthermore by the time the Review report is submitted, the figures will be three years out of date.

4. Distribution of constituencies

4.1 It is noted that the size of the House of Commons is to remain at 650 seats, with the Parliamentary constituencies in Wales to reduce from 40 to 32. It is accepted that this reduction will require a fundamental change to the existing arrangements across most of Wales. As a result the two existing Parliamentary constituencies of Pembrokeshire, namely Preseli Pembrokeshire and Carmarthen West and South Pembrokeshire, will no longer exist.

5. Comments on Initial Proposal affecting Pembrokeshire**5.1 Caerfyrddin (Carmarthen)**

We support the Commission's proposal and agree that it would be appropriate to combine the wards of Carmarthenshire, which currently make up the existing Carmarthen West and

South Pembrokeshire Constituency, with the existing Carmarthen East wards to establish the proposed constituency of Caerfyrddin (Carmarthen).

5.2 The resulting proposed constituency, falling wholly within the principal council area of Carmarthenshire, reflects local ties and is made up of wards with social and economic similarities. The electoral wards within this constituency would generally naturally associate themselves with the area of Carmarthen.

5.3 Ceredigion Preseli

We generally have concerns relating to the loss of local ties and 'Pembrokeshire identity' as a result of proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency. The proposed constituency combines the wards Cilgerran, Clydau, Crymych, Dinas Cross, Fishguard North East, Fishguard North West, Goodwick, Letterston, Llanrhian, Newport, St David's, St Dogmael's, Scleddau and Solva of Pembrokeshire with all of the electoral wards within the County of Ceredigion.

5.4 We note that the proposed constituency of Ceredigion Preseli would be one of the largest constituencies with an area of between 2,000km² – 3,000km², accepting that this is well below the maximum size of 13,000km².

5.5 We wish to raise our further concerns with regards to its size, particularly the linear, elongated nature of the proposed constituency, which to provide some context, travelling from Ceulanamaesmawr in the North to St David's in the South (the two furthest points) is a journey of 81 miles with a travelling time of 2 hours 20 minutes – a similar travel time to that between Cardiff to Reading.

5.6 Whilst we appreciate the importance of gaining electoral parity and creating constituencies that are no smaller than 69,724 and no larger than 77,602, we feel that the geography of this proposed constituency would make it challenging for an elected Member of Parliament (MP) to effectively represent this cross section of communities. It is accepted that the majority in our communities are now digitally connected, however, it continues to be the case that some residents are not, and as a result the accessibility of their MP is extremely important. It is also the case that the MP would want to be physically visible across the whole of the constituency.

5.7 These points raise concerns that this constituency has been proposed out of administrative convenience to align these otherwise distant communities, which has resulted in a proposal that is heavily weighted on achieving the electorate balance at the expense of efficient and effective representation of that electorate.

5.8 We are not suggesting a counter-proposal. There is no obvious solution within Pembrokeshire as the options that involve Pembrokeshire are extremely limited. We wonder if there are options outside of our county that may facilitate a better solution. We would welcome an opportunity for a conversation around how an alternative can be reached.

5.9 Mid and South Pembrokeshire

Notwithstanding the concerns relating to the division of the wards within Pembrokeshire we accept that the proposal for the Mid and South Pembrokeshire, which would be made up of the remaining wards of Pembrokeshire, i.e. those remaining wards of the existing Preseli Pembrokeshire constituency not included in the proposed Ceredigion Pembrokeshire constituency, and the remaining wards of the existing Carmarthen West and South Pembrokeshire constituency. Please note 6.0 below.

5.10 It is welcomed that this proposal will resolve a situation which currently exists for the electoral ward of Martletwy. The Martletwy ward is currently split across two constituencies

and the proposals result in the ward, in its entirety, being in one constituency, that of Mid and South Pembrokeshire.

6.0 New local government boundaries

6.1 We would like to draw the Commission's attention to an issue that would cause concern in connection with the new ward boundaries for Pembrokeshire as a result of the Review of the Electoral Arrangements for the county. This situation would concern the Community Council ward of Mynachlog-ddu.

6.2 The community ward of Mynachlog-ddu currently makes up the electoral ward of Maenclochog. As a result of the boundary changes to principle council wards, by the time the Review of Parliamentary Boundaries is implemented in 2023, this community ward will have become part of the Crymych electoral ward. Crymych is to form part of the proposed Ceredigion Preseli constituency; whilst Maenclochog will form part of the proposed Mid and South Pembrokeshire Constituency.

6.3 The community ward of Mynachlog-ddu currently has 413 electors. The proposed ward of Ceredigion Preseli has 76,269 (3.9% above the UKEQ). The proposed ward of Mid and South Pembrokeshire has 74,614 (1.7% above the UKEQ).

6.4 It is suggested that the Commission considers reviewing their initial proposal, with a view to including the new electoral ward of Crymych and Mynachlog-ddu, in its entirety, in the Ceredigion Preseli constituency. This would result in the Ceredigion Preseli constituency having 76,682 electors, which is 4.5% above the UKEQ. The Mid and South Pembrokeshire constituency would have 74,201 electors, which is 1.1% above the UKEQ.

BCW-10073 / [REDACTED] / Carmarthen

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 November 2021 23:50

To: BCW <bcw@boundaries.wales>

Subject: Parliamentary seats

I strongly object to the naming of the new seat of "caerfyrddin" in place of the obvious choice of Carmarthen which most people would recognise and know the location. I am appalled by the political bias and the pandering to plaid Cymru.

BCW-10074 / [REDACTED] / Brynaman

Dear Sister,

I write to you regarding the proposed boundary changes. I have just received my community newspaper, y Llais, for Cwmtawe and am amazed that you intend to place Lower Brynaman, Gwaun Cae Gurwen, Cwmgors and Tairgwaith together with Cwmllynfell in Brecon and Radnor.

I live in a house located in Neath / Port Talbot and which borders Carmarthenshire. The idea of changing the boundaries seems ludicrous, and would place us in a new area of Brecon and Radnor. Moving these villages from Neath makes no sense.

Our area has not received much information, and I reject the suggestion of moving us from Neath.

Your proposals have not been advertised in our local papers at all, and I feel very strongly on this issue. Advertisements should have been placed in the local papers, and documents should also have been deposited in libraries in our area.

I wish to express my great disappointment with the way this matter has been handled by your Commission. It looks like the blind leading the blind, and I would urge you to reconsider our villages.

Where were the papers and maps to be seen?

I feel like taking your proposals to the Office of the Ombudsman.

I do not own a computer: I am [REDACTED] years old and have worked in local government.

I would ask you to think again, and to change this plan.

Yours very sincerely,

[REDACTED]

BCW-10075/[REDACTED] / Llangunnor

Boundary Commission for Wales Consultation

Carmarthen / Llanelli Constituencies

After 60 + years living in the parish of Llangunnor within a Carmarthen constituency, I was somewhat puzzled to find that I could soon be in a Llanelli constituency.

I've looked at the map and tried to figure why.

Llangunnor is on the edge of Carmarthen. Covid figures for the parish are reported with Carmarthen town.

I thought maybe that the River Towy had been used as a boundary. No. The neighbouring parishes of Llanarthne and Llanddarog are both within the Carmarthen constituency.

I tried the post code. Worryingly, typing my post code into the review clearly showed us in the Carmarthen Constituency but we're not. The post code for some properties west of Capel Dewi village, [REDACTED], is the same as that for houses to the East of the village which are allocated to the Carmarthen Constituency.

How many others have been directed to the wrong constituency?

If the suggested boundaries remain will we always be misinformed about information regarding Westminster and the Welsh Government?

[REDACTED]



Comisiwn Ffiniau
i Gymru

Boundary Commission
for Wales

The Commission welcomes correspondence, e-mails and telephone calls either in English or Welsh.

To contact the Commission, please write to:

Boundary Commission for Wales
Ground Floor, Hastings House, Fitzalan Court
Cardiff, CF24 0BL

Telephone: +44 (0)29 20464819
Telephone: +44 (0)29 21055521
E-mail: bcw@boundaries.wales